Case 1:011-207044-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simor Page 1 of 91 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APPEARANCES FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION ----) 3 For Plaintiffs Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs: J.B.D.L. Corp, d/b/a) Civil Action No. BECKETT APOTHECARY,) C-1-01-704 4 JAY S. COHEN, Esquire et al., 5 Spector, Roseman & Kodroff) Judge Sandra S. Beckwith 1818 Market Street Plaintiffs,) Magistrate Judge) Timothy S. Hogan Suite 2500 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-496-0300 WYETH-AYERST LABORATORIES, INC., 10 11 For Plaintiffs CVS Meridian, Inc. and Rite Aid Defendants. 12 1.0 CVS MERIDIAN, INC. AND) 13 GORDON A. EINHORN, Esquire RITE AID CORP.,) Civil Action No. Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin 14 11) C-1-03-781 Plaintiffs,) 15 30 North 3rd Street 12) Judge Sandra S. Beckwith Suite 700 16 v. 17 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101 13 WYETH. 18 717-364-1004 14 19 Defendant. 20 15 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF 21 PAUL O. SIMON, R.Ph. 16 22 17 RESTRICTED, HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 18 Thursday, October 14, 2004 19 Reported by: Lori G. Mackenzie, RPR 20 21 Job No: 163440 Video No: 163439

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

19

20

21

22

APPEARANCES (Continued) The videotaped deposition of PAUL O. SIMON, R.Ph., was convened on Thursday, October 14, 2004, commencing at 9:39 a.m., at the 3 For the End Payer Plaintiffs in the Marjorie offices of Winston & Strawn, 1400 L Street, N.W., 4 Ferrell v Wyeth Case: Washington, D.C., before Lori Goodin Mackenzie, 5 RICHARD M. VOLIN, Esquire Registered Professional Reporter, Realtime Finkelstein, Thompson & Loughran 6 Reporter, and Notary Public for the District of 1050 30th Street, N.W. Columbia. Washington, D.C. 20007 202-337-8000 10 11 For Defendant Wyeth: 12 W. GORDON DOBIE, Esquire 13 Winston & Strawn 35 West Wacker Drive 14 Chicago, Illinois 60601-9703 15 312-558-5600 16 17 18 18 And: 19 20 21

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

22

Case 1:011-207-0007044-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simon Page 2 of 91

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)	1	PROCEEDINGS
2	For Defendant Wyeth (continued):	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape
3	ELLIOT FEINBERG, Esquire	3	number one of the videotape deposition of Paul O.
4	5 Giralda Farms	4	Simon taken by Defendants in the matters of
5	Madison, New Jersey 07940	5	J.B.D.L. Corporation versus Wyeth-Ayerst, and CVS
6		6	Meridian, Incorporated and Rite Aid Corporation
7		7	versus Wyeth, in the United States District Court
8	Also present: James Laughlin, Videographer	8	for the Southern District of Ohio, Western
9		9	Division, Civil Numbers C-1-01-704 and
10		10	C-1-03-781.
11		11	This deposition is being held at
12		12	Winston & Strawn, 1400 L Street, Northwest, on
13		13	Thursday, October 14th, 2004 at approximately
14		14	9:39 a.m.
15		15	My name is James Laughlin from the
16		16	firm of Esquire Deposition Services and I am the
17		17	video legal specialist.
18		18	The court reporter is Lori Mackenzie
19		19	in association with Esquire Deposition Services.
20		20	Will counsel please introduce
21		21	yourselves.
22		22	MR. DOBIE: Gordon Dobie for Wyeth.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 5 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	CONTENTS			1	MR. FEINBERG: Elliott Feinberg for
2	EXAMINATION BY		PAGE	2	Wyeth.
3	Mr. Do	obie	8	3	MR. COHEN: Jay Cohen for Direct
4		EXHIBITS		4	Purchaser Class Plaintiffs.
5	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	5	MR. VOLIN: Richard Volin from
6	1	Mr. Simon's report	15	6	Finkelstein, Thompson & Loughran for the
7	2	Copy of the Mr. Simon's deposition		7	End-Payer Indirect Purchaser Class Plaintiffs in
8		given in the Duramed litigation	63	8	the Marjorie Ferrell case.
9	3	Press release from Barr Labs	117	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court
10	4	Press release from Wyeth	118	10	reporter please swear in the witness.
11	5	Portion of the James Hill deposition		11	PAUL O. SIMON,
12		taken on February 11th, 2004	130	12	a witness called for examination, having been
13	6	Copy of portions of expert report		13	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
14		of Dr. Kolassa	140	14	follows:
15	7	Medical Retail Network Schedule from		15	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:
16		Merck Medco	196	16	BY MR. DOBIE:
17	8	PCS Retail Pharmacy Program Services		17	Q. Would you please state your full
18		Benefit Plan	196	18	name, for the record.
19	9	Anthem Program Conditions for		19	A. Paul Otto Simon.
20		Community RX National Medicare Risk		20	Q. And, Mr. Simon, as you know my name
21		Network	197	21	is Gordon Dobie. We met once before in
22	(Exhil	oits attached to transcript.)		22	connection with your deposition in the Duramed

1	case. The same rules apply.	1	company and the same kinds of issues that were
2	If any of my questions are unclear	2	going to be described before.
3	here today, if you will let me know I will try to	3	And I was not given any commitment,
4	rephrase them for you so that you understand the	4	just asked if I was interested and available to
5	question completely before you respond.	5	discuss this with him further should the
6	Would you do that?	6	situation arise, if they needed someone like
7	A. Yes, I will.	7	myself. And I said yes.
8	Q. And, you need to respond verbally	8	Q. And, when did you begin doing work
9	just as you are so that the court reporter can	9	in connection with the J.B.D.L. case?
10	get that down.	10	A. Three to four months ago.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. In connection with the preparation
12	Q. And, also last time we had a bit of	12	of your report?
13	a habit of some time it seemed like you knew	13	A. Yes. And, it could have been even
14	where I was going with a question and you would	14	less than that, I'm going to say about three
15	begin to respond before I finish.	15	months ago.
16	If you will let me finish the	16	Q. And, who did you work with in
17	question, even if you think you know where I am	17	connection with the preparation of your report?
18	going with the question, we will have a cleaner	18	A. Just Jay.
19	record.	19	Q. And, how many hours did you spend
20	A. Okay.	20	preparing your report?
21	Q. Okay. Sir, since your deposition in	21	A. Oh, my gosh. I am going to say
22	the Duramed case, have you had your deposition	22	maybe 100, and I am guessing.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 9 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

taken in any other litigation matter?

A. No, I have not.

Q. Have you been a witness in any court
proceedings or anything since your last
deposition?

A. No.

7 Q. How were you retained to be an 8 expert in connection with the J.B.D.L. case? 9 A. I was contacted by Jay Cohen to 10 basically talk about things that I have talked 11 about before.

12 Q. And, when were you contacted by
13 Mr. Cohen?

14 A. Oh, I want to say within the last 15 year.

16 Q. Okay. So, we are in October of '04, 17 so some time within, in '04?

18 A. Within nine to twelve months from

19 now.

20 Q. All right. And what were you told 21 about the case when you were first contacted?

22 A. That basically that it was the same

4/1/2003 Z.Z/ FIVI

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Q. Does that include the time that you 2 spent reviewing documents and materials from the Duramed and the J.B.D.L. case? A. Yes, it does. 5 Q. And, you are being compensated at the rate of \$350 an hour? 6 A. Yes, sir. Q. And, that's an increase over what you got in Duramed? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. Why the increase, sir? 12 A. Basically because I thought it would be a lot shorter case. I didn't think I was 13 14 going to be putting in as much time and I felt 15 that was appropriate. 16 O. You work as a consultant, don't you, 17 sir? 18 A. Yes, I do. 19 Q. What is your rate as a consultant? 20 A. It depends on the project. 21 Q. Have you ever charged \$350 an hour 22 for any consulting project?

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 10 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 1.

Case 1:011-207-500704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simor Page 4 of 91

1	A. No, I have not. I take that back.	1	(Simon Exhibit Number 1
2	If I am working on a project where it's going to	2	marked for identification.)
3	be a very short thing.	3	BY MR. DOBIE:
4	For example, if I am going to be a	4	Q. For the record, what is Simon
5	participant in, let's say a focus group kind of	5	Exhibit 1?
6	activity, where I am only looking at a half a	6	A. This looks like my report.
7	day's worth of work, or something like that, I	7	Q. And, let me also show you the report
8	would even charge more.	8	that you prepared in the Duramed case, which was
9	Q. But, for projects that are a day or	9	Exhibit 1075.
10	more, you have not, you don't typically charge	10	And, sir, have you seen this
11	\$350 for your time?	11	document before, can you identify it for the
12	A. That's correct.	12	record.
13	Q. Let me, let's just, in terms of	13	A. Yes.
14	moving this along. Let me show you both the	14	Q. That is your report from the Duramed
15	report and let me back up.	15	case?
16	The caption on your report also says	16	A. Yes.
17	CVS and Rite Aid versus Wyeth.	17	Q. And let me show you what we marked
18	A. Correct.	18	as 1076. This is your resume that was marked in
19	Q. Are you an expert for CVS and Rite	19	the Duramed case, and I assume you recognize that
20	Aid, or are you just an expert for J.B.D.L.?	20	as well?
21	A. I am working for Jay Cohen. I don't	21	A. Yes.
22	know that I've I have never been contacted by	22	Q. Now, sir, let me ask you let's

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

(Recess -- 9:47-9:47 a.m.)

Q. Mr. Simon, what I want to do today

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

I was under the impression that he was with the

just go off the record. anyone regarding CVS or Rite Aid. You've never had any discussions 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.

with anyone from CVS or Rite Aid? The time is 9:47. A. No, sir.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the Q. And, you haven't had contact with 5

record. The time is 9:47. any lawyers in this matter other than Mr. Cohen? 6

Krishna Noreen, I did talk with, and BY MR. DOBIE: Α.

same firm, or working on the same case with is concentrate on things that either I thought

10 J.B.D.L.. 10 needed to be clarified from your last deposition,

11 Uh-huh, okay. Have you ever had any 11 or new opinions that you might have rendered

12 12

discussions with anybody with Beckett Apothecary, since the time of the Duramed case.

13 J.B.D.L.? 13 And, have you had a chance to review

Beckett Apothecary? your deposition from the Duramed case? 14 Α 14

Q. The Plaintiff in this case? A. I did. 15 15

And, is there anything in the 16 No, sir. 16 Α. Ο.

17 Q. Have you had discussions with any of 17 Duramed deposition that you thought was wrong or

18 the class members in this case about this 18 inaccurate?

19 litigation? 19 A. I did not pick out anything that I

A. No, sir. 20 20 felt was inaccurate, no.

21 MR. DOBIE: Why don't we go ahead 21 Q. Okay. And is there anything in your and mark this as Simon Exhibit 1. expert report from the Duramed case, that was 2.2 22

Case 1:011-207-5007044-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simon Pauloe 5 of 91

1	marked as Exhibit 1075, that you thought was	1	hoc basis, any information or insight that I can
2	wrong or inaccurate?	2	with regard to let me give you an example.
3	A. Well, there was one thing that I	3	There is a company called Kinetics
4	missed in this one, and unfortunately I missed in	4	that has a product called Soriatane, that is
5	this one as well, and that was a consulting	5	S-O-R-I-A-T-A-N-E, which was purchased from
6	project that I did late in the year 2000.	6	Hoffmann-La Roche the rights of the brand.
7	Q. And, who was that consulting project	7	They were concerned that this
8	for?	8	company's primary source of revenue was this one
9	A. That was for Bausch & Lomb.	9	product, and they wanted to know and to be able
10	Q. All right. Let me ask you then	10	to monitor when a generic might be coming to the
11	about what we marked as Simon Exhibit 1, and talk	11	market and, as such, exposing the company to
12	with you, if we could, more about your	12	significant losses in sales.
13	background.	13	It would be those kinds of things
14	And, in particular, I want to focus	14	that I would be involved with. And, like I say,
15	on things that you have done since your last	15	they would call me on an ad hoc basis.
16	deposition. And, let me ask you about some of	16	Q. So, where is Clinical Advisors
17	the consulting projects.	17	located?
18	If you would turn to the second	18	A. They are in the northeast. I
19	page, am I correct that all of the consulting	19	believe they are in Connecticut.
20	projects from Odyssey Pharmaceuticals at the top	20	Q. And who are the principals of that
21	all of the way through the Ruane Cunniff &	21	company?
22	Company, those are all things that you had done	22	A. I honestly could not tell you.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

and identified in connection with your last deposition? A. I believe I did. Q. All right. And so the new projects that you have done since your last deposition that are listed here begin with Susman Godfrey, looking at the second page of your expert report. A. Correct. And the Susman Godfrey project was

11 Duramed case? 12 A. Correct.

Q. Anything else? 13

14

A No

10

O. All right. Clinical Advisors, what 15

did work that you did in connection with the

did you do for Clinical Advisors as a consultant? 16 17 A. Clinical Advisors contacted me to be 18 an expert for an investment banking company, whom

19 I don't remember the names of, principally to

20 look at new, at products that are in the market.

21 Companies that are exposed to 2.2

generics and to more or less provide, on an ad

Advisors?

2

A. I don't remember her name. Q. When was it that you did the project 5 for Clinical Advisors? 6 A. The Soriatane one was probably 7 within the last year. Q. Was there more than that? A. There have not been more yet. 10 Q. All right. And how many hours did 11 you spend on the Soriatane? 12 A. Oh, maybe three or four. Q. Three or four, okay. The 13 Hoffmann-La Roche is another new consulting 14 project that you list here in your resume. 15

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Q. Who is your contact at Clinical

What did you do for 16 17 Hoffmann-La Roche?

18 Α. I do have confidentiality agreements

19 20 Basically, what I was retained to do 21 was to look at the long-term care marketplace,

2.2 develop a business plan or perspective of what is

Case 1:011-207-500704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simor Page 6 of 91

1	going on in their product category.	1	A. I could not tell you.
2	And, this encompasses not just	2	Q. Okay. But, as this project, the
3	competition, but what are the government things,	3	Hoffmann-La Roche project that you are talking
4	what kinds of things are going on in nursing	4	about, will not give you any additional insights
5	homes and long-term care environments that are	5	into how to go about contracting for
6	changing, what does the future look like.	6	pharmaceuticals with managed care companies,
7	And, to develop a business case and	7	correct?
8	strategy, along with a list of potential targets	8	A. I would say that is pretty accurate.
9	that they should be investigating, present this	9	Q. All right. Johnson & Johnson, what
10	to senior management along with the	10	did you do there?
11	recommendations and participate with them in	11	A. Johnson & Johnson, I worked for the
12	meetings where they actually developed a strategy	12	Noramco Division, who was looking to, they were
13	and a plan.	13	going through a reorganization.
14	Q. When was this project undertaken for	14	Are you familiar with Noramco?
15	Hoffmann-La Roche?	15	Q. No, I am not, sir.
16	A. This was in 2004.	16	A. Noramco is an API manufacturer,
17	Q. And, who is your contact at	17	that's active pharmaceutical ingredient, and they
18	Hoffmann-La Roche on this project?	18	had a new CEO coming into the company.
19	A. Todd Jones.	19	They wanted to understand and be
20	Q. And, what is his position?	20	able to present to their executive committee the
21	A. He is in marketing research,	21	organization that they felt was appropriate for
22	principal.	22	the company, how it should be set up.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 21 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Q. And you said this is long-term care

facilities, so these would be nursing homes and
things like that?

A. Principally nursing homes, yes.

Q. So, did any of this involve, I

assume it didn't involve anything relating to

Medicare and things like this.

Did this involve managed care

contracting at all?

A. To some extent it would include

contracting, and it would certainly include the

prices that their competition was in the market.

It did not include me putting any

Q. Okay. And, the products that are, are these pharmaceuticals that Hoffmann-La Roche was contemplating selling?

prices they should be charging him.

recommendations on the table for what kind of

13

14

15

19 A. These are not pharmaceuticals. This
20 is the diagnostic, diabetes testing supplies.
21 Q. Okay. And, how many hours have you

21 Q. Okay. And, how many hours have you
22 spent on that?

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And they looked at, they wanted me 2 to look at what are the things that are important to their customers, as well as what are the things that, how are their competitors organized, and come back to them with recommendations. Q. So, Johnson & Johnson, in addition to being a pharmaceutical company that sells products on the marketplace, oral conceptives and things like that, also sells the active 10 ingredients? 11 12 Q. And, that is what Noramco does? 13 A. Yes, sir. And so other companies buy the 14 ο. ingredients and use that to make a 15 pharmaceutical? 16 17 A. Exactly. 18 I understand. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. 20 The time is 9:57. 21 (Recess -- 9:57-9:59 a.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 22 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 22

2.2

Case 1:011-207-500704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simor Page 7 of 91

1	record. The time is 9:59.	1	kind of an interesting business model that was
2	BY MR. DOBIE:	2	being developed.
3	Q. Let's talk about the last one that	3	They would actually be contract
4	is listed on Page 2 of your resume in terms of	4	employees, at that time, of Humana, but the pay
5	consulting projects.	5	would be coming principally from the manufacturer
6	MCAccess, this is managed care	6	of the product that they were out there
7	contract sales.	7	promoting.
8	A. Correct.	8	Q. Okay. So, you have explained what
9	Q. What was that, sir?	9	MCAccess
10	A. M-C-Access.	10	A. Does.
11	Q. M-C-Access.	11	Q does or did. Are they still in
12	A. MCAccess is a kind of a similar	12	business?
13	thing to the Cardinal sales force, except they	13	A. Oh, yes. My
14	specialize in managed care. That is what the MC	14	Q. I am sorry.
15	stands for, managed care access.	15	A. Go ahead.
16	They basically get involved in	16	Q. Who are the principals of MCAccess?
17	putting together salespeople and sales forces	17	A. Frank Shea was the individual that I
18	specifically to work with managed care for	18	was working with.
19	pharmaceutical companies.	19	Q. And when was it that you did work
20	For example, there might be a	20	for MCAccess?
21	company that is relatively new coming out with	21	A. I have done small things off-and-on

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

products that need to get into managed care, and

what they want to work with managed care.

These people would go and work with that company to get the products into formularies, et cetera. Now, that is the PBM contracts, HMO 5 contracts, as well as other areas of managed care 6 like long-term care. Another project that they might

have, and where I was more involved, was they 10 have a group that would physically go out and 11 create some of the programs that managed care 12 would do, for example, PCS does something called 13 counter detailing.

These people would actually go out 14 and set up a meeting, let me pick a different 15 16 example.

17 Humana in Florida would have a

22

18

formulary. These people would go and set up 19 meetings, dinner meetings and things like that, 20 for Humana to speak with Humana doctors about the

21 benefits of using this product over that product.

They would be contracted and it was 22

years.

2 I was first introduced to them when I believe you and I talked about Sidmak and

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

22 for them for the last, I would say three or four

Odyssey at the last deposition.

They were one of the companies that I actually interviewed for Sidmak when they were

looking for a sales force for Odyssey, their

branded company.

So, I met them there. They did not get the job. But, they have maintained contact 10 11 with me, and I have done, you know, I have been 12 speaking with them off-and-on for the last four 13 vears.

14 The most recent project I am going 15 to sav was in 2003, early 2003, where I actually developed a business plan for this business and 16 17 how to grow the business.

18 Q. So, you were hired by MCAccess to 19 develop a business plan that explained to them 20 how to grow MCAccess' business?

21 A. How to actually build and structure the business, and how to look at it financially, 2.2

1	what were the ups and downs.			plan for MCAccess the only project that you did
2	Q.	So, you became familiar with at	2	for the company?
3	least their	business through this project?	3	A. That would be the only major
4	A.	Yes.	4	project yes. Let me say yes.
5	Q.	And how many hours did you spend on	5	Q. And, so you didn't have occasion to
6	putting tog	ether the business plan for MCAccess?	6	call on pharmaceutical companies in connection
7	A.	I honestly, I honestly couldn't tell	7	with MCAccess, then?
8	you. It was	s a lot of hours, and it was more of a	8	A. No, I did not.
9	contingency	thing where I frankly was	9	Q. And, you didn't have occasion to
10	anticipating	g going into business with it.	10	call on managed care organizations in connection
11	Q.	Did you go into business with them	11	with your work with MCAccess?
12	eventually?		12	A. That depends on what you mean. I
13	A.	No, I did not.	13	did participate in going to some of these
14	Q.	Was the business plan implemented	14	meetings.
15	that you pu	t in?	15	I did interact with some of the
16	A.	No, it was not. And the reason it	16	principals on both sides, the MCAccess side, the
17	was not was	there was a divorce that occurred	17	client that was actually funding, as well as the
18	that more o	r less put things in jeopardy.	18	individuals from the managed care side that were
19	Q.	How many employees did MCAccess	19	there to basically lend credibility.
20	have?		20	And, I am a person from Humana,
21	A.	I do not know.	21	let's say, to make the introductions and to get

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 29 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

When you were putting together the

many there were at the time you were putting
together the business plan?

A. No.

Q. What did you view as sort of the -did you come up with what you thought would be
the ideal sales force, or was that the nature of
your project?

A. That was certainly part of the

project, you know, what it would take in order to

business plan, how many did you, do you know how

11 do this.

12 But, because projects, like a

13 rent-a-rep sales force, if you get a job, if you

get a project, you hire people to do the job.

15 Q. Uh-huh.

22

10

14

16 A. If you have people that are already 17 calling on a managed care company at the time, 18 you may not need to.

19 But, it depends on who the targets
20 are going to be and how many people you are going
21 to need.

22 Q. Is the development of the business

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

22 the program started.

1	Q. So, you had meetings in connection
2	with MCAccess with folks that were with managed
3	care organizations?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And how many such meetings?
6	A. One or two.
7	Q. And how long did these meetings
8	last?
9	A. Anywhere from an hour to two hours.
10	Q. And, in connection with those
11	meetings, you would be discussing with them the
12	business plan that MCAccess had of doing
13	counter-detailing or things like that?
14	A. Absolutely not. When I was there, I
15	was there more as an observer to view the
16	process.
17	Q. Well, help me out here. What do you
18	mean by view the process?
19	A. To go to the physical meeting where
20	they were actually talking with the doctors.
21	Q. Oh, I see. So, you were actually at
22	meetings, these one or two meetings that take an

Case 1:011-207-5007044-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simon Pauloe 9 of 91

7

- hour or two, these are meetings that you attended
- that involve people from MCAccess whether it was
- Frank Shea or somebody else?
- It was typically not Frank Shea, it Α. would be somebody else, but, ves.
- And they would be talking to Ο.
- doctors?
 - A Ves sir
- So these would be, for example, a ο.
- 10 Humana doctor?
- 11 Yes, sir. Or a doctor that sees
- 12 Humana patients, excuse me.
- 13 Q. And, what was -- what would be the 14 subject matter, or what was the subject matter in
- 15 these one or two meetings that you attended?
- Well, I can't say what the product 16 Α.
- 17 was, but it would be something that this is why
- 18 you should use this product instead of this
- 19
- 20 Okay. So, the meetings that you
- 21 attended were meetings in which you witnessed
- 22 MCAccess employees counter-detailing doctors on

- is common to have people go in and call on
- doctors and counter-detail them, tell a doctor
- who writes, for example, a Nexium prescription
- 4 why he instead should write a Prilosec, just to
- use that as an example.
 - A. I'm saying that is very common.
 - Have you seen evidence, in this
- 8 case, that any managed care organizations were
- counter-detailing Cenestin?
- A. The only project that I took part in 10
- 11 where I, I mean, physically saw this, did not
- 12 include that category of products, no.
- Q. Okay. How about in connection with 13
- the work that you have done in this case, you 14
- 15 have told us that counter-detailing was common.
- 16 Do you know whether
- 17 counter-detailing took place as it relates with
- 18 Wyeth and its Premarin product versus Cenestin,
- either one way or another? 19
- 20 Α. That depends what you mean do I know
- 21 of. Do I know of it, yes. Even in Wyeth
- 22 documents, there are statements that, like 47

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 33 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

- the advantages of one product, and not to use
- another product?
- Α. Exactly.
- All right. And, for the record, ο.
- what is counter-detailing?
- A. Counter-detailing is what a managed
 - care company will do. They physically go in and
 - detail a physician to try and counteract what a
 - sales rep from a pharmaceutical company is coming
- in and telling a doctor. 10
- 11 For example, a physician may be
 - writing prescriptions for Nexium and PCS would
- 13 hire a, or anyone, would hire a group of
- pharmacists or other credible sales type people 14
- to go in and tell the doctor why he should be 15
- writing Prilosec, which could be dispensed 16
- 17 generically.

12

- 18 Ο. I see.
- A. And, this occurs, by the way, in all 19
- 20 of the major, at least as far as I know, all of
- 21 the major managed care companies.
- So, it is -- in your experience it 22 Ο.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

- percent of Medco's customer base gets these kind
- of efforts.
- Now, they are not physically 3
- necessarily a sales rep going in and physically 4
- doing it like is possible. But, those kind of
- counter-detailing activities do occur.
- Ο. Okay. So, that what you have seen,
- and the only thing that you have seen is actually
- references to letters being sent to physicians.
- 10 Have you seen anything, in the
- 11 record in this case, in connection with your work
 - as an expert, that there was counter-detailing as
- 13 vou have described with --
- With Premarin or Cenestin? 14 Α
- Ο. Yes, sir. 15
- 16 Α. No.

12

21

- 17 Ο. Bausch & Lomb, what was it that you
- 18 did for them?
- A. Bausch & Lomb was being requested to 19
- 20 change the structure of the way that they sell products to the wholesale marketplace.
- 22 And, this was initiated by a company

Filed 05/13/2005 simplaye 10 of 91 Case 1:01/1-200-000704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4

are you familiar with chargebacks? Q. A little bit. Why don't you explain it though, so everyone else understands it as well.

called Binley Western, who, rather than doing --

- Chargebacks are kind of a necessary evil in the business world where contracts are set up with certain individuals that purchase products through wholesalers, and where a price discount is made available to a specific 10 11
- 12 Let's say the VA, the VA can buy 13 products for let's say 50 percent or whatever, typically it is a number. It is the product that 14 has a wholesale acquisition cost let's say of 15 \$10, but the VA may have a price of \$5. 16
- 17 So, the wholesaler that is selling 18 products to the VA would physically sell the product to the VA at the \$5 price, and then 19 20 because the wholesaler paid \$10 for the product, 21 they would charge back the manufacturer the

difference between the net price and what was

22

10

11

- to go through all of this machination and the
- potential loss of revenues that would be incurred
- if they did this. 3
 - ο. Who retained you at Bausch & Lomb?
 - I believe her name was Lori Green.
- And is that, is this the outfit out Ο.
- 7 of Tampa?

4

- Α. Yes.
- ο. And, was, what was her position, do
- 10 you recall?
- 11 She was in marketing. I cannot tell 12 you what her position was.
- 13 Q. And when did you do this project?
- I am going to say late 2000 or early 14 Α
- 15 2001.
- And the Bausch & Lomb product, I 16 Ο.
- 17 assume, was contact lenses?
- It was pharmaceutical products. 18
- Oh, it was what, the products that 19
- 20 they use to clean lenses?
- 21 Lens solutions or even eye drops.
- They do have their own brand of ophthalmic 22

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And, the situation had come up where Binley Western, rather than doing chargebacks wanted to go to a net price structure just sell me everything at net, which, as you can imagine, is pretty difficult because of, you don't know, everybody doesn't have the same contract price. So, in this case, they wanted to get

actually charged to the wholesaler.

a better understanding of where the market was going, what was happening, what they should do in this particular case.

12 And it required me to go out and 13 talk to other wholesalers, other companies that

were being given the same request, get a feel for 14 how each of these different customers and 15

16 customer bases were dealing with this issue and

17 where the issue is going.

18 And, to prepare a report and a recommendation to them for how they should deal 19 20 with this request.

21 They didn't want to lose Binley Western as a customer, but they also didn't want 22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

- products that are not just for lenses.
- Ο. Are those over-the-counter products?
- Not all of them. 3
 - Not all of them. Did any of the
- issues that you did for Bausch & Lomb involve, at
- all, managed care?
- Only to the extent that managed care Α.
- would have contracts with contract pricing. But,
- that was not the major focus of the project.
- 10 Q. What do you mean, what you are
- 11 saying is that some people have, as part of their
- 12 health benefit, they have reimbursement for eye
- 13 care?

4

- 14 Α No. Okav. We are talking managed
- care in different contexts here. 15
- 16 Ο. Right.
- 17 A. I am only speaking about managed
- 18 care having contracts at lower prices. It was
- not anything to do with setting optical contract 19
- prices with managed care, no. 20
- 21 Q. So, in other words, if Binley
- Western was in turn selling to a Kaiser, or 22

Case 1:01/14/05/13/2013 SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2013 Simp สิ่ง 11 of 91

1	something like that, they might have a different	1	again?
2	price?	2	A. The Duramed documents?
3	How does that kind of fit in with	3	Q. Yes, sir.
4	the net prices versus chargebacks, is that what	4	A. No. The only thing I was sent were
5	you mean?	5	the items in B. All of the materials that were
6	A. That is true. Obviously Kaiser	6	sent to me by Susman Godfrey previously
7	would not be appropriate because Kaiser would be	7	Q. Yes, sir.
8	buying direct. They would be sending it directly	8	A were destroyed.
9	to Kaiser facilities, but, yes. This would be	9	Q. And you didn't get another there
10	more the indirect purchasers.	10	is, looking at this Exhibit B that we are looking
11	Q. All right. Have we covered all of	11	at, it says from the Duramed case you had your
12	the additional consulting or employment that you	12	report and deposition, you had the Hill
1.3	have had relating to the pharmaceutical industry	13	deposition and then you say
14	since your last deposition?	14	A. Actually I got the Hill deposition.
15	A. I would say yes.	15	Q. In this case?
16	Q. And, since your last deposition, you	16	A. In this case.
17	have also had occasion to look at additional	17	Q. And, then you reference the
18	documents that are referenced as Exhibit B to	18	October 7th, 2000 letter from Korbel Balance
19	your report, that is the last page. Hopefully it	19	Center listing the documents you reviewed.
20	is there.	20	So, those are documents you
21	A. Correct.	21	reviewed, but you reviewed them in the Duramed

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 41 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Are these all of the documents that

you reviewed in connection with this case?

1
2
A. Yes.
2
Q. How was this document prepared?
3
A. What do you mean?
4
Q. I mean, is this, did you type this
5

6 up, or was this a list that counsel prepared?
7 A. No, I requested specific documents.
8 I was given the Kolassa report. My request was

9 obviously to take things from my old document
10 that were again covered by Kolassa.

And then to request additional
information, additional things that I might need
with reference to issues that Kolassa had brought
up, as well as there were some things in my
original document that I wanted to look at again.

Not to the depth that I looked at it
in the original document, but basically things
that I had requested.

18 that I had requested.
19 Q. Did you keep the documents from the
20 Duramed case?

21 A. No, I did not.

22

22 Q. So, were you sent these documents

10/14/2004 Simon. Paul O.

22 case you didn't re-review them in the J.B.D.L.

case? A. That's exactly correct. Q. And, then the additional things that you looked at were the things from J.B.D.L. and all of the way through here, correct? 5 A. Correct. 7 And, I see you read the McDonough Ο. 8 and the Schafermeyer reports. Is there some reason why you did not read their depositions? 11 A. I didn't feel I needed to read their 12 depositions. Q. Okay. Is there any reason why you 13 did not read any depositions from folks from 14 Duramed? 15 A. I didn't ask for them. 16

17 Q. Why did you not think it was, it
18 would be helpful for your opinions to read the
19 depositions of the Duramed witnesses?

MR. COHEN: I just wanted to just

21 interpose just for clarification that, and I 22 honestly don't remember, but it may have been in

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 42 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 42 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

20

Case 1:01/1-62/1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim 🖺 สิปูโย 12 of 91

1	the Duramed case that he read Duramed	1	reading the depositions of any of the Wyeth
2	depositions, I don't know.	2	people that were in charge of, for example,
3	MR. DOBIE: Well, we have the	3	pricing or managed care contracting, or managed
4	October 7th letter here, Jay, if you want to see	4	care sales force, those type of issues, you
5	it, they're not on there either.	5	didn't think it was necessary to review in
6	MR. COHEN: Okay. I was just trying	6	connection with reaching your opinions?
7	to clarify.	7	A. Well, there was one request that I
8	THE WITNESS: I didn't feel it was	8	made that we couldn't find and that was the Don
9	necessary to look at the depositions in order to	9	Weatherhold, some of his information which Jay
10	respond to what the issues are that I am	10	was not able to find.
11	responding to here.	11	Q. And Don Weatherhold was the person
12	The major focus of this rebuttal was	12	at Cardinal that was responsible for preparing
13	to Kolassa, not necessarily to the depositions of	13	that overview study and some of those other
14	other individuals.	14	documents?
15	BY MR. DOBIE:	15	A. Exactly.
16	Q. So, I mean, just to take an example,	16	Q. And why were you interested in his
17	I mean you are talking about managed care	17	deposition?
18	contracting in part.	18	A. Because in
19	Why would you not want to, and maybe	19	MR. COHEN: Object to the form. He
20	you can explain this for me, why didn't you want	20	didn't say the deposition. He said some
21	to read the deposition of Marty Carter, who was	21	materials. He didn't refer to a deposition.
22	in charge of managed care contracting at Duramed?	22	BY MR. DOBIE:

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 45 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

A. My principal purpose here is as a

marketing individual. So, from the extent that I am looking at managed care, it is strictly from a marketing person's perspective. It is not, it is not from a managed care perspective. Q. Okay. Well, from a marketing perspective, Jeff Curran was the brand manager for Cenestin. 10 Why did you not want to read his 11 deposition? 12 A. I didn't think I needed it. Q. And, on the Wyeth side, the only 13 14 deposition that I see here that related to Wyeth was BG Schwartz's deposition. 15 How did you decide to read that 16 17 deposition? 18 There were references either in

McDonough or Kolassa, and I am not sure which, I

think McDonough, that mentioned the Schwartz, so

Q. But, you weren't interested in

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ had requested that one.

19

20

21

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	Q. I am sorry. It wasn't a deposition?
2	A. No, it wasn't a deposition.
3	Q. What was it that you wanted to see?
4	A. Don Weatherhold had made some
5	comments which were included in my original
6	document that spoke to the skills of the
7	salespeople that were hired by Cardinal to sell.
8	And I had quoted those in the
9	original, and I quote them here again, on my
10	recollection, and based on what I had in ${\mathfrak m}{\mathbf y}$
11	original deposition.
12	So or report. So, I wanted to
13	review that material just to make sure that I
14	could find it.
15	Q. Okay. So, what you are talking
16	about is there is a reference, this is where you
17	and Dr. Kolassa are disputing whether or not the
18	people were appropriately trained or what
19	experience level they had?
20	A. Dr. Kolassa had made a reference to
21	the fact that they weren't skilled and I wanted
22	to make sure that the way that I had covered the

1	first time was indeed accurate.	1	A. I would say that that is not
2	Q. Okay. And, so this is page 16 of	2	necessarily, that is not 100 percent accurate.
3	your report. And, it is the paragraph: "While	3	Q. What is not accurate about it?
4	the Cardinal sales group had less than 60 percent	4	A. I did have occasion to call on small
5	of the sales reps," and so on.	5	organizations or small groups, if you will, that
6	A. Correct.	6	were responsible for an identified group of
7	Q. And you were looking for documents	7	customers, or patients, let's say from a
8	from Don Weatherhold in connection with this	8	particular employer.
9	discussion?	9	Q. Okay. I mean, you call on
10	A. Correct.	10	hospitals
11	Q. And you were not able to find them?	11	A. Large managed care organizations, if
12	A. Correct.	12	that is your question, no, I did not.
13	Q. So, you had just basically used the	13	Q. All right. And during this time
14	material that you, or just used the same language	14	period, 1977 through '87, I mean, there really
15	that you had in the Duramed report?	15	wasn't a pharmacy benefit for most individuals,
16	A. Exactly.	16	correct?
17	Q. And, while we are on this, where you	17	A. I wish that that were true. That is
18	say that, you point to the Cardinal	18	not true. When I was a pharmacist in Akron, Ohio
19	representatives were less than one year of	19	where the rubber companies were at the time,
20	pharmaceutical experience made up of only 17	20	Goodyear and Firestone, the drugstore that I
21	percent of sales reps and all managers were	21	worked in did about 80 percent of their business

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

That was based on your recollection of the Weatherhold document? A. That was based on what I said in my original report. O. Yes, sir. A. Which was based on what was in the

Q. We will come back to that. Now, just to sum up from your last deposition, it is 10 true that when you were at Hoffmann-La Roche in 11 the 1977 through '87 time period, you did not

12 call on managed care at all, correct?

A. Correct. 13

Weatherhold document.

Q. And, when you were at Bristol-Myers 14

Squibb --15

22

veterans.

16 Wait, let me back up a step. When Α. 17 you say did not call on managed care, what do you

18

19 Q. You did not have occasion to visit 20 with HMOs or PPMs in connection with selling 21 products other than -- well, let's just stop 22 there.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

22 through these third-party cards, their PCSs and

1	paid prescriptions at the time.
2	So there was significant
3	Q. So, this would be union contracts,
4	and so on that they had with the United Rubber
5	Workers and things like that?
6	A. Theoretically, that could be. And
7	exactly what their arrangements were with these
8	third-party processors like the PCS, correct.
9	Q. But, in going back to
10	Hoffmann-La Roche, I asked you, in your last
11	deposition, during the time period you were at
12	Hoffmann-La Roche, did you have any
13	responsibility for calling on managed care?
14	MR. COHEN: Could you give a cite?
15	MR. DOBIE: This is page 23, line 9.
16	BY MR. DOBIE:
17	Q. You answered: "At Roche, we really
18	didn't have a lot of HMO or managed care
19	customers at the time I was selling, so I did not
20	call on managed care I am trying to think we
21	had one managed care customer and that was it.
22	However, I did call on hospitals."

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp สิชูย์ 14 of 91

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Is that accurate?	1	A. And, herein lies part of the
2	A. That is still accurate, I did not	2	conflict even to your last question, because
3	call on any offices of managed care or anything	3	managed care companies, for example, Medco,
4	like that.	4	because something along the line of 50 percent of
5	Q. Okay. All right. And	5	their business is mail order, you call on them
6	Hoffmann-La Roche had one managed care customer?	6	and the question then becomes, well, did I call
7	A. No, Hoffmann-La Roche had more than	7	on them for contracts or did I call on them just
8	one managed care customer.	8	to sell product.
9	When I was calling on physicians,	9	Well, you really end up doing both.
10	though, in Florida, we only had one major managed	10	Q. Well, let's start with Teva first.
11	care customer at the time.	11	A. This is at Teva I am talking about.
12	Q. Okay. So, in terms of your	12	Q. But, at Teva, sir, the only
13	experience	13	contracts that you would have entered into with
14	A. In Florida, in my geography.	14	any PBMs would be contracts to sell them product,
15	Q. So, in terms of your experience,	15	correct?
16	though, sir, I mean, you did not have any	16	A. Yes and no.
17	experience calling on managed care at Roche?	17	Q. All right. Well, other than
18	A. Correct.	18	Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Minneapolis, did Teva
19	Q. Okay. And, we go to Bristol-Myers,	19	have any other contracts with managed care
20	you didn't call on managed care or call on	20	companies other than to sell them product?
21	doctors, correct?	21	A. No.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Q. All right. And you didn't manage any departments that were responsible for managed care, correct? A. For calling on managed care, no. Ο. And, when you were with Teva, which is, I guess formerly, this is Lemmon?

Physically call on them, no.

A. Correct.

22

Q. And when you were with Teva, was it called Lemmon?

10 A. It was called Lemmon when I started 11 there. It was called Teva when I left.

12 Q. I understand. And then your 13

contracts that were -- strike that.

When you were at Teva, the only 14

15 contract that Teva had with the managed care

organization was with Blue Cross/Blue Shield of 16

17 Minneapolis, and that related to some Teva

18 products and was terminated, correct?

19 A. I would say that that is correct.

20 And you had no contracts with any

21 PBMs, correct, other than to sell them products

22 directly?

2.2

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And, at Taro Pharmaceuticals, it is

also the case that Taro had no contracts to sell pharmaceutical products to PBMs or -- strike The only contracts that Taro had with either PBMs or HMOs was to sell them 6 products, correct? A. I'd have to drop back a couple of steps. We had contracts when I was at Teva with other HMOs like Kaiser. Again, it was to your 10 point earlier, to sell product. 11 Q. 12 A. But, those, I don't know if that is 13 what you are referring to as a managed care 14 company. Q. Right. But, these are, the 15 16 contracts that you entered into with, let's say 17 between Teva and Kaiser, those were to sell 18 product? 19 A. Exactly. But, a lot of managed care 20 you do sell product that way. 21 Q. And, isn't it also true that at both Teva and Taro, when you would sell product to 22

Case 1:01/1460/4-0107/044-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simplaye 15 of 91

-		The second secon	_	
2	they would	take a basket of Taro or Teva	2	Q. Did you offer better prices to the
3	products?		3	wholesalers if you entered into a bundled
4	A.	No. Sometimes they would and	4	contract?
5	sometimes,	they wouldn't. Some customers you	5	A. It doesn't work that way. In the
6	would bundle	e products for and some you would not.	6	generic business, where there may be 13 other
7	Q.	All right. But, at Teva, it was	7	companies that offer the same product, it is
8	certainly c	ommon, was it not, sir?	8	almost exclusively a price driven decision on the
9	A.	No.	9	part of the wholesaler, or whoever it is you are
10	Q.	No?	10	selling to, for product, because the FDA says all
11	A.	No, not with managed care.	11	generics are rated as equal if they are AB, there
12	Q.	With Kaiser?	12	is no difference between them.
13	A.	With Kaiser, no.	13	Then, there is no difference in, or
14	Q.	Who was it common to have bundled	14	no reason other than getting the best price that
15	contracts w	ith at Teva?	15	would drive them to going to pick one brand or
16	A.	I could have a bundled contract with	16	another.
17	a wholesale:	r.	17	So, if you had a product, let's say
18	Q.	All right. And, how about at Taro,	18	Prilosec, and you were selling Prilosec to
19	would you ha	ave bundled contracts with	19	Cardinal. If Cardinal all of a sudden now there
20	wholesalers	?	20	is three more generics that are brought to the
21	A.	We typically did not have bundled	21	market that go to Cardinal and say, well, we'll
22	contracts a	t Taro.	22	sell you ours for \$10 instead of \$20.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Q. At Teva, why, what was the purpose

Kaiser, the contracts would be bundled products,

of offering a bundled contract to wholesalers? A. To get more products, and to make it more difficult for them to say, well, we don't want to have XYZ product anymore, we want someone else's product. ο. So, by having a bundled contract at Teva, you were able to make more sales? I don't know that I would put it 10 11 I would say that by having a bundled 12 contract, we represented a bigger customer to the wholesaler. We became more important to the 13 wholesaler. 14 Q. Well, you were a supplier to the 15 wholesaler, right? 16

17 A. That's correct.

So you were a bigger supplier to the 18 Q.

19 wholesaler?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. And, did you offer them a better deal if you gave them a bundled? Contract? 22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	You then have to go back to Cardinal
2	and say okay I will sell it to you for \$10, if
3	you keep mine.
4	So, the bundle, while it's important
5	because it makes sure that you at least get the
6	question asked of you, it is something that you
7	use for building relationships, et cetera.
8	It is not going to stop them from
9	taking a \$10 price on a competitor's product
10	rather than paying you 20 for your brand of
11	generic Prilosec.
12	Q. You mentioned Prilosec in connection
13	with Teva, were you working on the generic
14	Prilosec while you were at Teva?
15	A. No, I was not.
16	Q. That was a project, though, that
17	afterwards you were hired by Teva did do a
18	generic Prilosec, didn't they?
19	A. Not that I know of. I think they
20	may have one now, but the original was Anda (ph.)
21	And I believe Schwarz ended up winning the patent
22	fight.

A. What do you mean.

Case 1:01/1-420-6 07/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp Page 16 of 91

Didn't you work for Astra-Zeneca in things that would be more relevant to today. connection with the launch of a generic of a 2 Okay. And, one of the things that Prilosec? you thought was less attractive was that you had 3 I did. I worked with Astra in a Α. 4 successfully defended Sigma-Tau against the three project for how to deal with the generics when new generic entrants who had come into the again generics come out. market? And, did that project involve how to Ο. Α. Correct. thwart the launch of the product that was being 8 Q. All right. Let me ask you about launched in the generic? some of the substance of your report which, let's A. I am going to tell you that I have turn to page 3, which is Cenestin is a 10 10 11 confidentiality agreements, I am not allowed to 11 pharmaceutical product with value in the 12 say too much. 12 market -- well, actually before we get to that. 13 But, I will say, certainly, that the 13 MR. DOBIE: Why don't we go ahead objectives were to make them aware of what the and mark this as Simon 2 14 14 15 consequences were of specific actions and what 15 (Simon Exhibit Number 2 they could anticipate from a generic manufacturer marked for identification.) 16 16 17 and how certain manufacturers might differ with 17 BY MR. DOBTE: 18 regard to how they would market the product. 18 ο. Sir, for the record, Simon Exhibit 2 So, the product differentiation. I is a copy of the deposition that you gave in 19 19 20 was curious about that on your resume that you connection with the Duramed litigation, correct? 20

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 61 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

21

22

Ο.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

have attached to this report here, when you talk

about help me out here, it is the Greek,

1 A. Yes

2 A. Sigma-Tau.
3 Q. Sigma-Tau Pharmaceuticals, in the
4 resume that we marked as Exhibit 1076 in your
5 last deposition, you talked about, this is the
6 last bullet point under Sigma-Tau --

A. Uh-huh.

Sigma-Tau.

21

22

8 Q. -- you talk about how you
9 successfully defended our major brand against
10 three generic entrances in the primary market,
11 ESRD, and then that has been removed from your
12 resume that you have attached in connection with
13 the expert report in this case.

14 A. Right. That is to make room for the 15 current, as you will notice in that one you have 16 my consulting --

17 Q. Yes, sir.

And they tell you not to make your resume more
than two or three pages long, or two pages long
So you try and cut things out that
you would feel might be less attractive, and add

And, looking at page 250 of your deposition, right at line one, where it says: 3 4 "In fairness, do you really think that you have an understanding of the ins and outs of these contracts, such that you can give expert testimony under oath as to what the 'norm'," in quotes, "is for rebate contracts from 1991 to the 10 And, then you answer by stating: "I 11 would not hold myself out to that, you are 12 correct." 13 Is that still true, sir? I do not hold myself out as an 14 Α expert in managed care. 15

A. Yes. It certainly looks like it.

It is from October 11, 2002.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

9 present."

10 And, then you answer by stating: "I

11 would not hold myself out to that, you are

12 correct."

13 Is that still true, sir?

14 A. I do not hold myself out as an

15 expert in managed care.

16 Q. All right. Now, looking again at

17 your report at page 3, you state that: "Cenestin

18 is not substandard as Dr. Kolassa implies."

19 And, you talk about the

20 pharmokinetic profile and the improved dosage

21 uniformly compared favorably to Premarin and so

22 on.

Case 1:01/1-62/1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim 🖺 สิปูโย 17 of 91

1	Let me ask you first about that the	1	steady release of conjugated estrogens?
2	pharmokinetic benefit that you are talking about,	2	A. That would certainly be part of it.
3	that is based upon certain studies that were done	3	Q. All right. And, is there anything
4	of how Cenestin behaves in water, correct?	4	else that you are aware of that Cenestin, any
5	A. No. The dissolution tests are	5	studies or anything that indicated that Cenestin
6	relevant to how Cenestin behaves in water.	6	had a favorable pharmacokinetic profile in
7	Pharmacokinetics is a study of what	7	relation to Premarin, other than this claim of a
8	is typically called the add-me effects.	8	smooth steady release of conjugated estrogens?
9	Pharmacokinetics refers to absorption,	9	A. I looked at, in my original
10	distribution into the body, elimination of the	10	document, the Duramed studies, the actual, not
11	drug, et cetera.	11	the clinical studies, but the lab reports on the
12	So, it relates to things like how	12	things like you are talking about, the
13	well is the drug absorbed. It would be like the	13	dissolution tests, et cetera, were part of what I
14	peaks and valleys and blood levels. And it would	14	had looked at. So this was certainly part of
15	talk about how rapidly it is eliminated from the	15	that.
16	body, et cetera.	16	Q. And, if you would turn to the page
17	Q. All right. Let me show you what has	17	that is 2537, and if you look to the right-hand
18	been marked previously as Exhibit 744.	18	side it says: "The sales reps are told if a
19	For the record, Exhibit 744 is a	19	doctor asks to see the clinical benefits of this
20	copy of the detail aid that was used in the year	20	pharmacokinetic data, you should point out that
21	2000 for Cenestin.	21	the clinical significance has not yet been
22	And, it contains a discussion of	22	determined, however, you may want to point out

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

levels and so on. If you look at the page that, it is kind of hard to read, SO 2, it looks like, 2536 and 7. Do you see that? A. I see the pages. Okay. And, this is, you understand Ο. that this is the detail aid that was for use by Cenestin sales reps whether from Cardinal or 10 Solvay in order to promote the product during

12 A. Okay,

11

20

Q. And, if you look at their logo, it 13 is on the page it talks about the smooth steady 14 release of conjugated estrogens. 15

some of the peaks and the valleys and blood

this time period, right?

16 Do you see that?

17 A. Where are you looking?

It is almost on every page. 2531, 18 Q.

19 it's almost like a logo, 2533.

A. Oh, okay, I see that.

21 These are the pharmacokinetic

benefits that you are talking about, the smooth 22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	that Duramed and Solvay have initiated a
2	comparative clinical trial."
3	Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. All right. And are you aware of
6	whether or not Duramed and Solvay have ever
7	finished a comparative clinical trial between
8	Premarin and Cenestin or any other estrogen
9	product?
10	A. No, I am not, and I don't understand
11	where you are going. The reason I guess I am
12	having difficulty here is the kinds of things,
13	and these kinds of things that go to a sales rep,
14	where you are typically talking features and
15	benefits, you talk a feature, which the smooth
16	steady release is a feature, and a benefit is a
17	statement of, and this is the way you are
18	educated in the sales force, which means.
19	And, that is kind of the thing that
20	they would do here. A smooth steady release,
21	which means.
22	Now, when you look at products like,

1	and you are probably going to discuss it later,	1	appropriate dissolution.
2	ampicillin and amoxicillin, the fact that	2	But, the pharmacokinetics are things
3	amoxicillin is three times a day which means,	3	that are done in blood, and it is part of a human
4	there may not be clinical studies that say it is	4	study that every product has to go through
5	better.	5	whether it is a brand or a generic.
6	But, in the doctor's mind, all of a	6	Q. Okay. But, the only study of
7	sudden, you say these things, this is what it	7	Cenestin in patients let me ask you this:
8	means. Whether there has been a clinical study	8	Are you familiar with any study of
9	or not, you still say those things.	9	Cenestin in patients other than the study that
10	Q. Okay. But, I guess, here is what I	10	was used to get the product approved in 1999?
11	am getting at.	11	A. I am familiar with the tests that
12	The notion that Duramed's Cenestin	12	were done by Duramed in order to present the data
13	product had a smooth steady release, there is no	13	to the FDA that had to show blood levels.
14	study that has been done that indicates that that	14	Q. Okay.
15	has any clinical significance in a patient,	15	A. Not just water.
16	correct?	16	Q. Right. And, that study that you are
17	Or you are not aware of any, are	17	familiar with, you are familiar, it is actually,
18	you?	18	it is talked about to some extent even in the
19	A. I am not.	19	label for the product.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

All right. And so the idea that

they have a smooth steady release, is something,

you understand that was a test that was done in

water where they looked and compared how Cenestin behaved in water as opposed to in blood, or in the stomach, for example? A. Could be. Q. All right. So, when you talk about

20

21

22

11

Cenestin having a pharmacokinetic profile and an $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$ improved dosage --

A. However, I am not sure that that is how it is used in this piece, is that it is done 10 in water, because I can't read the chart.

Are these blood levels?

12 Q. I can't read the chart either, that 13 was the way it was produced by Duramed in the litigation. 14

But, I have seen the same studies 15 that you are talking about, and it's, the tests 16 17 are all a USP test, but it's a test, I will 18 disclose that, but it's a test in water.

19 A. The USP test is a test in water that 20 they would do on the product and they will do on 21 that product just about every batch they are 22 going to test to make sure that it has an

20

21

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

You are aware, are you not, sir,

that in that study, 77 percent of women had to

double dose in order to have any efficacy from

1	the product.
2	A. I don't think we are talking about
3	the same study.
4	Q. Well, is there any study, that you
5	are aware of, that was submitted to the FDA other
6	than the 125-woman study?
7	Do you think there is another study
8	that was submitted?
9	A. I think that data is provided to the
10	FDA that speaks to the pharmacokinetics of a
11	product, which is not a clinical study. Not to
12	this type that you are talking about that is
13	required for the submission of an NDA.
14	Q. All right. And, in fact, as
15	indicated in the detail aid the sales force could $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left($
16	not tell physicians that there was any clinical
17	significance to any of the pharmacokinetic
18	benefits relating to the smooth release of the
19	product, correct?
20	A. And, that is typical, yes.
21	Q. Now you also talk about how
22	A. Now, see, this is where, you know,

Case 1:01/1-420-1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2009 simp និង្សាម 19 of 91

if you look at page SO 2539, and maybe I should All right. Is it relevant to your have looked at this more in detail before I opinion that it comes from plant sources as a 2 marketing person? answered your questions. 3 Yes, sir. Q. 4 Α. Is it relevant? To me, as a man, I It very clearly says about blood 5 would say that if I were given a choice of using levels, estrogen blood levels for Premarin, and a product that was derived from a pregnant what they are doing is they are comparing blood horse's urine versus something that was extracted or synthesized from plant sources. I would So, this is not something that is certainly not be thrilled if I had alternative to taking something that came from horse urine. 10 done in water. 10 11 This is, you are talking about the 11 Okay. What if, hypothetically, the 12 data that they obtained from the FDA on Premarin 12 products that you are comparing, one, came from, 13 and comparing that to the data that was submitted 13 was derived from horse urine, and the other one in the study that was submitted on Cenestin was derived from goat and sheep hoofs as well as 14 14 15 correct? 15 petroleum feed stocks in principal part? A. Repeat that question. I am saying that, even if you look 16 Α. 16 17 at the headings, it says, they are talking blood 17 Q. Yes. Isn't it -- one of the 18 advantages that you are pointing to throughout 18 Okay. And, if you look at that same your report here is that Cenestin was basically a 19 19

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 73 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

20

21

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

that the clinical significance has not yet been determined," correct?

A. Yes.

page, you are talking about it says: "If the

doctor asked to see the clinical significance of

these pharmacokinetic data, you should point out

Q. And, they were going to undertake a study, a comparative trial, and to your knowledge that, you are not aware of any study that has ever been done that pointed out a clinical significance to those blood level results?

A. I am not.

10 Q. Okay. The other point that you
11 make, on page 3 of your report, you talk about
12 how Cenestin's non-animal source provided an
13 advantage within the segment of the patient
14 population.

A. Correct.

15

20

21

22

16 Q. What is the basis for your statement 17 that Cenestin was derived from non-animal 18 sources?

19 A. That is the information that was 20 given to me from Susman Godfrey originally.

21 Q. Is it --

22 A. That it was from plant sources.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

plant source product, right?

Ο.

Right.

And, I guess what I am wondering is

you would agree with me if that wasn't true, if that was a false statement, certainly Duramed wouldn't be entitled to have gotten an additional market share based on false marketing, right? 5 A. I am -- you are still losing me. O. I mean, if the assumption of your 6 report is that Cenestin is a plant-based product, and that there is a segment in the marketplace, as you said, that would pick a plant product over 10 a product derived from a horse urine product, how 11 would this impact your opinion if you found out 12 that Cenestin was instead derived more than 50 13 percent of it comes from petroleum feed stocks and that the product is also made from goat and 14 15 sheep hoofs? 16 MR. COHEN: I just want to object on 17 two bases. The first basis is that the original 18 question, which I'm understand your changing, was phrased as a hypothetical, and I don't think you 19 have phrased this question as a hypothetical. 20 21 Second, he is not saying in his report anything other than Cenestin's nominal 22

1	source provided an advantage. So he is talking	1	that would prefer a product that was
2	about non-animal sources.	2	plant-derived, correct?
3	You can answer it, if you can.	3	A. They would prefer a product that was
4	MR. DOBIE: Do you want to read that	4	not pregnant mare's urine derived.
5	question back?	5	Q. And I guess what I am wondering is,
6	(Record read.)	6	if there is a, the same way that you think that
7	MR. COHEN: So, Gordon, just sorry	7	there's a segment of the population that would
8	to interrupt, again, so if that is the question,	8	think that, would prefer a product that doesn't
9	I will object on the basis of foundation, also,	9	come from pregnant mare's urine, do you think
10	lack of foundation.	10	that there's a segment of the population that
11	BY MR. DOBIE:	11	would pick, let's say Estrotab, which is truly a
12	Q. You can answer.	12	100 percent plant-derived product, would prefer
13	A. How would it affect my decision as a	13	an Estrotab over a Cenestin if they knew Cenestin
14	user or as a marketer?	14	was more than 50 percent, or for source more than
15	Q. In terms of the opinions that you	15	50 percent from petroleum feed stocks and also
16	have offered in this case.	16	used goat and sheep hoofs in connection with the
17	A. I don't think it would change the	17	preparation of the product?
18	opinions that I have offered in this case,	18	MR. COHEN: Object to the form.
19	because I still perceive that Cenestin was every	19	THE WITNESS: The question you are
20	bit a viable product as Premarin.	20	asking is, is there going to be a segment of the
21	Q. So, were you	21	population that is going to take the product
22	A. And, I don't perceive that the	22	based on specific knowledge.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

sources are any more of a problem. What I was saying is that during their initial promotions, which occurred in 1999and 2000, I was not aware of that. So, if you asked me that question in 2004, then I would probably change my promotional structure, but would it have made a difference had I known that when I wrote my original report? Yes, sir. Q.

21

22

10 A. I honestly don't know. 11 Okay. If, I mean, you would agree 12 with me, right, just as somebody with an $\,$ expertise in marketing, that most of the material 13 that was promoting this product, at least 14 originally, related to it being a plant-derived 15 product, it was a consumer driven message, 16 17 pushing the plant-derived source?

A part of the promotions were 18 A. 19 definitely targeted towards it being a plant 20

Okay. And, you have spoken before about how there was a segment of the population

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	And the answer to that question is
2	you are always going to find a segment of the
3	market that is going to take a product because
4	they've got a stand one way or the other, just
5	like you've got Democrats and Republicans.
6	There is always going to be products
7	in the marketplace that have some segment that is
8	attracted to them for a specific reason.
9	And, can I say that, you know, the
10	use of Estrotab is only being used by people who
11	like sheep hoofs or I can't answer that.
12	The research that I saw in the
13	original case definitely showed, from the Wyeth
14	as well as the Duramed side, that there was some
15	people that felt that this was potentially an
16	issue.
17	BY MR. DOBIE:
18	Q. All right. And, I guess, all I am
19	wondering is if, at the end of the day, it turned
20	out that all of that marketing that it was a
21	plant-derived product wasn't true, how that would

22 impact your opinion?

1	A.	How that would impact my opinion	1	before, is that you take it three times a day,
2	how, as a ma	arketer?	2	rather than four times a day, correct?
3	Q.	In terms of how Cenestin would have	3	A. Because of the difference in
4	fared in the	e marketplace.	4	pharmacokinetics, yes.
5	A.	Let me say, as a marketer, that	5	Q. And, it could be taken without
6	depends.		6	regard to meals while ampicillin had to be taken
7	Q.	Is there additional work you would	7	on an empty stomach?
8	still need t	to do in order to figure that out?	8	A. That is correct.
9	A.	No. It depends on, you know, is	9	Q. And amoxicillin was better absorbed
10	someone goin	ng out and telling the market that	10	and more effective in the body.
11	this is not	true?	11	A. That's pharmacokinetics.
12		Is this going to be on, let me pick	12	Q. And amoxicillin was promoted and
13	one, on CBS	news as, or 60 Minutes as something	13	supported by a large sales force, while
14	that comes	out, maybe that, maybe that will have	14	ampicillin was only moderately promoted during
15	an impact.		15	that time frame, correct?
16		If it is something that, okay, I	16	A. I don't know that that is true.
17	didn't know	that, I've got to change my marketing	17	Q. Who was promoting amoxicillin?
18	strategy or	my marketing position, as a marketing	18	A. Beecham, which eventually went out
19	person it w	ould change my marketing position, I	19	of business, was purchased by SmithKline and then
20	wouldn't go	out and promote it anymore.	20	later Hoffmann-La Roche came out and sold it and
21		But, unless it is something that	21	I want to say this is probably about three or
22	comes to pul	plic light, how is it going to change	22	four years after Beecham had introduced the

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

the perception of people? Am I making sense? 2 MR. COHEN: Don't ask him that

question. BY MR. DOBIE:

Q. I think I am, I understand what you are saying.

You, on page 4 of your report, you say that you disagree with Dr. Kolassa regarding 10 Cenestin's initial pricing.

11 "In my opinion, Duramed followed a 12 prudent pricing strategy," and so on. You are familiar at the time of launch that Cenestin was 13 priced at near parity pricing, correct? 14

A. Correct.

15 And then you cite as to how an 16 Ο. 17 amoxicillin, it had a favorable pharmacokinetic profile, it was launched to parity and quickly 18 19 overtook ampicillin.

Right. 20 A.

21 But, the difference with ampicillin is that, and I think you have mentioned this 22

product. Roche came out and launched a product called Viratin (ph.) which is the same amoxicillin. There was another manufacturer out there with a product called Totacillin. So there were several manufacturers 7 out there selling the same product. Q. All right. And all selling amoxicillin? 10 A. All selling amoxicillin.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

11 Okay. And, so all of those having 12 these sales forces out there selling amoxicillin, 13 the three times versus four times a day regimen, absorbing better, taking on a full stomach, those 14 are all advantages and benefits that the 15 amoxicillin had that allowed it to charge a 16 17 premium price, correct? I would say those pharmacokinetics 18 A. 19 allowed them to charge a premium price, yes.

20 ο. And, then --

Q. Understood. Now, you talk about

A. Those features and benefits.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

21

22

Case 1:01/1-62/1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim 🖺 สิปูโช 22 of 91

1	how, you say that, in your opinion, that Duramed	1	whether or not Cenestin had a appropriate price?
2	followed a prudent pricing strategy.	2	A. Have I physically done
3	You are aware, are you not, sir,	3	Q. Yes, sir.
4	that there are many people at Duramed and managed	4	A. No, sir.
5	care organizations that told Duramed that its	5	Q. And, so when you say in your report
6	price was too high?	6	that it was a prudent pricing strategy, what is
7	MR. COHEN: Object to the form.	7	the basis for that statement?
8	THE WITNESS: The answer is, I'm	8	A. My basis is it is my opinion that
9	and I think I said it in my last report, I've	9	the concept of going out with a parity price to
10	never met a salesperson that wanted to have to go	10	Premarin is such that it gives credibility to the
11	out and sell a product at the same price.	11	product.
12	So, the answer is, yes, there is	12	It tells the physician or the
13	always going to be people that think the price is	13	customer that they are calling on, that this is a
14	too high, and there is always going to be people	14	product that is equal in every way to its major
15	in a company that say the price is too low.	15	competitor at this point, which was Premarin.
16	BY MR. DOBIE:	16	Q. Okay. And, again
17	Q. Are you familiar with there are	17	A. I am not going out with a generic
18	people at managed care organizations as well that	18	product.
19	told Duramed that it was pricing too high?	19	Q. Okay. And, your experience with
20	A. I am aware that some of them said	20	pricing pharmaceutical I am sorry, your
21	that, or made those statements, yes.	21	experience with doing market research on
22	Q. And, the head of Duramed's managed	22	pharmaceutical products, that relates to the work

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 85 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

2 correct?
3 A. I am aware that he said he thought
4 it was priced too high, yes.
5 Q. All right. And, let me ask you
6 this, sir.
7 Are you in any -- what experience do
8 you have in setting prices for branded
9 pharmaceuticals?
10 A. The -- I am going to have to drop
11 back a lot of steps. One of the things that you

care sales force thought the price was too high,

12 do when you are in market research is to look at pricing and pricing strategies. 13 14 One of the things that you get educated on is what kinds of market research you 15 16 do in order to develop a price for a product. 17 The -- and, by the way, I don't hold 18 myself out as a pricing expert. But, you do go 19 out and do, there is research that can be done to 20 arrive at an appropriate price. 21 Q. Have you done that type of a quantitative analysis in this case to determine 22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	that you did at principally at Teva, correct?
2	A. No, Hoffmann and Bristol.
3	Q. Hoffmann and Bristol as well.
4	A. But, again
5	Q. Let me make sure I understood this.
6	At Hoffmann, I thought you told us that you
7	weren't in well, let's start with Bristol.
8	At Bristol, you were in charge of
9	the nutritional products and you had one cycle, I
10	think you told me, in connection with women
11	health care product like Estrace, but you weren't
12	involved in the pricing of the product.
13	A. I was not involved in the pricing of
14	the product.
15	Q. Okay. And then at
16	Hoffmann-La Roche, you were on the sales side and
17	then you did some market research work as well,
18	but, again, it was, it was not a primary focus of
19	the product pricing, correct?
20	A. That is correct.
21	Q. All right. And, here is what I am
22	getting at, Mr. Simon.

1	Why is it that you think that it is	1	has widened, if anything.
2	not even necessary for you to continue the	2	I mean, their prices have continued
3	opinions, or consider the opinions of managed	3	to go up. But, not to the extent that Premarin
4	care organizations, or the Duramed managers who	4	prices have gone up.
5	all thought that the price was too high for this	5	Q. All right. Let me ask you about,
6	product?	6	you say here that Cenestin was offered in the
7	A. You've lost me.	7	necessary strengths, which is also on page 4 of
8	Q. Yes. I mean, you have told me	8	your outline.
9	let's back up.	9	A. Uh-huh.
10	You have not considered the opinions	10	Q. And you note that: "Although the
11	of the managed care organizations in telling	11	1.25 dosage of Cenestin was not approved until
12	Duramed that they thought the price was too high	12	March of '99, the .625 milligram and 9 milligram
13	in reaching your opinions here, correct?	13	(sic) dosage was approved," and so on.
14	A. I am still when you set a price	14	Now, do you know what percentage of
15	when you go to market. Those people don't know	15	the marketplace Duramed was excluded from by not
16	what the price is until it has already been set.	16	having the 1.25 milligram dosage approved until
17	Q. Okay.	17	March of '99?
18	A. How are you going to get their	18	A. Do I know the
19	input? You didn't read any input prior to them	19	MR. COHEN: I just wanted to
20	setting that price, did you?	20	Gordon, I think you are misreading, just for the

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

 ${\tt Q.}~{\tt I}$ see. So, is it your point that

the strategy in terms of how they came out with

the feedback from the managers at the company and the customers, that they should not have reconsidered pricing over time? A. I am saying that you always consider price as part of the marketing mix, which I believe Kolassa says as well. So, I can't say that the pricing strategies that they have got today are appropriate, I didn't look at today's pricing

the price initially was basically a typical strategy, but you are not saying that in light of

13 But, their pricing strategy, when they launched, to me appeared to be totally 14 15 relevant.

16 Q. Relevant or reasonable?

17 A. Reasonable.

strategies.

21

22

10

11

12

18 Q. And, you have not considered their 19 pricing strategy other than at launch, correct?

20 A. Well, I really haven't looked at

21 what has happened. It occurs to me that the pricing difference between Cenestin and Premarin 22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

21 record. You were saying the 1.25 was not

22 approved until March of '99. The report says it

1	was not approved in March of '99.
2	MR. DOBIE: Oh, I see. Yes, that's
3	right. So, it is nine months after launch so it
4	is somewhere around that same time period, June,
5	I think it is let me restate the question.
6	That is a good point.
7	BY MR. DOBIE:
8	Q. I think I have it in my notes. Do
9	you know what percentage of the market Cenestin
10	had available to it with its .625 and .9
11	milligram doses at launch?
12	A. I'm it would be a guess.
13	Q. Does 73 percent sound about right to
14	you?
15	A. It sounds about right.
16	Q. So, at the time of launch, there
17	would be a, let's say at least a quarter of the
18	dosage strengths were not available for Cenestin, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left($
19	right, a quarter of the market?
20	A. Well, a quarter of Premarin's
21	market, yes.
22	Q. All right. And, do you think that

2	initial time period until eight months after	2	size, that that too could impact the uptake of
3	launch, that that would impact the uptake of the	3	the product in the marketplace?
4	product in the market or could?	4	MR. COHEN: Object to the form.
5	A. Do I think it could?	5	THE WITNESS: Unless I saw the
6	Q. Yes, sir.	6	study, unless I saw where the patients came from,
7	A. It could.	7	unless I had a better understanding, I mean, I
8	Q. All right. And if a physician	8	don't know who these people were that came in and
9	learned, for example, that Cenestin is not	9	had to take 1.25 milligrams.
10	offered in 1.25 milligram strength, do you think	10	Were they 63-year-old women who have
11	that that calls would impact a physicians'	11	been taking 1.25 milligram Premarin for years?
12	decision to prescribe a Premarin product over	12	Were they 50-year-old women that
13	Cenestin for an initial user, just so they would	13	never had taken anything before? I don't know.
14	be in a position to titrate them up to 1.25 and	14	BY MR. DOBIE:
15	stay with the same molecule?	15	Q. All right. Well, all of that would
16	A. I think that if a physician is	16	matter in terms of if you were looking at this as
17	inclined to try the product they are going to try	17	a pharmacist or a physician in trying to decide
18	the product. If three-quarters of their patients	18	what product to prescribe as well, correct?
19	are being treated with the .625, they will try	19	A. All of
20	the product.	20	Q. Knowing the answers to those
21	Could it impact them if it didn't	21	questions, and understanding that study would be
22	work, or if they had to titrate up, certainly.	22	relevant to you in deciding whether to write a

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Q. Well, you Here is, there's a para You are awa study that was submitted indicated that three-qua percent had to titrate in order to get efficacy MR. COHEN: the form. 10 THE WITNESS

by not having those dosage strengths during that

11 BY MR. DOBIE:

18

12 Q. Were you as

I am not av 13 Α.

14 I was, it would be a sit

Q. All right. 15

you were not aware of th 16

17 A. I don't thi aware, I wasn't aware of

19 Q. Okay. Do

20 study out there that in

21 women had to double dose 22

and that is what was on

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

product, and you didn't have the 1.25 milligram

use the three-quarters.	1	Cenestin script, wouldn't it?	
llel here.	2	A. I don't think so.	
are that the clinical	3	MR. COHEN: Relevant to him or	
d to the FDA for Cenestin	4	relevant to the doctors. Object to the form.	
arters of the women, 77	5	BY MR. DOBIE:	
to a double dose to a 1.25	6	Q. Do you think it would be relevant in	
y, right?	7	the marketplace?	
Objection. Object to	8	A. I am still lost.	
	9	Q. Okay. Well, the questions, all of	
S: I, I	10	the things that you said you would want to know	
	11	in order to place any significance on the study,	
ware of that?	12	right?	
ware of that, and even if	13	A. Right.	
tuation why.	14	Q. I guess what I am wondering is why	
So, for before today,	15	would you want to know those things?	
hat, just to be clear?	16	A. To know how good the study was.	
ink I was aware. I am not	17	Q. All right. And, is it an advantage	
f it coming in here today.	18	to have multiple studies supporting a product?	
you think that there was a	19	A. Yes.	
dicated that 77 percent of	20	Q. And, if you only have one study and	
e a .625 Cenestin product	21	the study indicates that two-thirds of the I	
the label for the	22	am sorry, if 77 percent of the people have to	

Case 1:01/14204-០២/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp និង្សេខ 25 of 91

pharmacists would view the product as having the double dose in order to get any efficacy from the product, would that be, in your view, a 2 same indications? disadvantage for the product? 3 A. The same uses --A. Let me just restate it, because I am All right. So, that you could use 4 Q. still -- you said 77 percent had to double the 5 dose in order to get any efficacy from the A. -- not necessarily the same indications. product. If I knew that were true, then I Q. All right. So but, you could use would definitely want to be prescribing the 1.25 it, for example, for not only vasomotor symptoms, milligram strength. but people would assume you could use the product 10 10 11 Okay. That is what I was getting for vaginal atrophy, osteoporosis, all of those 12 at. And if you didn't have the 1.25 milligram 12 different things? 13 strength at launch, again, that could really 13 Α. Yes. impact the uptake to the product on that market. 14 14 ο. And have you done any study of 15 right? 15 pharmacists or physicians to confirm that During those initial months? opinion? 16 16 Α. 17 Q. Yes, sir. 17 A. Α. It theoretically could. 18 In your report you talk about how 18 Q. one of the key concerns motivating Premarin's Let me ask you about page 4 of your 19 19

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 97 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

You note that: "The FDA approved

Cenestin as a synthetic conjugated estrogen and

and Premarin to work in a similar, if not

identical set of indications and uses," and so

on.

So in this section of your report,

sir, is it your opinion that most physicians and

pharmacists believe that the products work in

identical ways and have identical indications?

MR. COHEN: Object to the form.

Compound.

THE WITNESS: Other than being

informed by a third party like Wyeth, my

impression is that they would perceive them to be

that pharmacists and physicians expect Cenestin

report where you say class effect.

basically the same --

20

21

22

13

14

17 A. -- and to have exactly what you

18 said, the same indications and uses.

19 Q. Okay. So, by having that name
20 conjugated estrogen on that product, unless Wyeth
21 were to tell them the difference, your experience
22 in the marketplace is that most doctors and

4/1/2005 2:27 PM

20

21

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

change of plans was Wyeth's expectation that the

pharmacists would assume that the products were

interchangeable, correct?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And, so, given that there is the
3	possibility of this unintentional interchange
4	between Premarin and Cenestin that you talk about
5	in your report, you would agree that it would be
6	natural for a company in Wyeth's position to
7	explain to pharmacists and physicians that the
8	products are not, from an FDA standpoint,
9	considered interchangeable in the same way that a
10	generic is?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And, in fact, the data that you saw
13	indicated that Wyeth might very well reasonably
14	believe that pharmacists were going to
15	interchange Cenestin for Premarin, correct?
16	I am sorry, would interchange
17	Cenestin for Premarin, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And, then you cite here how even
20	today you have a current example of some
21	educational materials provided to patients
22	getting Cenestin that's from a Medco web site.

1		And is this information that you got	1	doctor were to ask you to provide information
2	off the web	recently?	2	that talked about how this drug would work in
3	A.	Yes.	3	osteoporosis or do you have any. Whether they
4	Q.	And on Medco's web site they are	4	have the data or not, I don't know.
5	indicating	that Cenestin is indicated for the	5	But, it is certainly relevant and
6	treatment o	E let's see here, you have down at	6	certainly things that professional services
7	the bottom (of page 5 to prevent osteoporosis.	7	departments in large manufacturers provide that
8	A.	First off, you are saying words that	8	kind of information.
9	aren't there	e. They don't say it is indicated.	9	Q. So, if a doctor asked for
10	They say it	is used.	10	information, do you have, in this instance,
11	Q.	Okay. It says current example of	11	Cenestin, do you have information that this
12	educational	materials provided to patients	12	product prevents osteoporosis, you could provide
13	getting Cen	estin is from the Medco's web site.	13	him that information?
14		I'm just trying to use the document	14	A. I am not saying I could physically
15	that you've	got here in your report.	15	provide it, but, as a representative, if I have
16	A.	And that's exactly what I'm using.	16	that information, I can't provide it.
17	Q.	And, it says	17	But, someone from my professional
18	A.	It doesn't say it says uses, not	18	services, that would talk doctor-to-doctor or
19	indications		19	professional-to-professional can provide that
20	Q.	It says where does it say uses?	20	information.
21	Α.	Right there.	21	Q. Okay. But, you would agree with me,

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 101 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Oh, to treat hot flashes associated

22

with menopause, to prevent osteoporosis, brittle
bones related to lack of estrogen after
menopause.

And then you've got all these other,
these are all uses, in your view, which is
different than indications?

A. I am saying that this doesn't use

8 the word indication. It says this is what people
9 are using it for.
10 There is a lot of products today
11 that are used for things that aren't included in

12 approved indications. Q. Okay. Is somebody that has 13 14 expertise in calling on physicians over the course of the years, sir, it would be contrary to 15 good practice, would it not, for a sales rep to 16 17 go out and promote a product as being used for osteoporosis, if it didn't have the FDA 18 19 indication?

20 A. The answer to your question is it 21 would be improper. You are right. 22 However, it is not improper if a

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

22 would you not, that to have on a web site like

1	this the fact that Cenestin is used to prevent
2	osteoporosis, and to be touting the product this
3	way, would be contrary to law if this was
4	something that the Duramed or Barr Labs was
5	doing, correct?
6	MR. COHEN: Object to the form.
7	THE WITNESS: Well, I guess, I don'
8	know if I could say if it would be legal or not
9	legal. I don't know the answer.
10	BY MR. DOBIE:
11	Q. Contrary to how you were trained in
12	terms of how it should be done, though, right?
13	A. I think, and now let me back up to
14	my pharmacy training. I think that there have
15	been products that have been used for a long,
16	long time for uses that are outside of
17	government-approved indications.
18	If you were to tell a patient who
19	has a Valium prescription, that was given that
20	Valium prescription for muscle relaxation, for
21	back spasms and muscle spasms, that they were
22	taking a tranquilizer, it is not the kind of

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp Page 27 of 91

1	thing that you do.	1	fact of the matter is, is that there is no
2	You can include and ask the patient,	2	evidence that Cenestin prevent osteoporosis, is
3	you know, what are you taking it for, et cetera.	3	there?
4	So, yes, I don't think it is	4	MR. COHEN: Object to the form.
5	appropriate necessarily for me to put down that	5	THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
6	this is an approved indication, but they didn't	6	BY MR. DOBIE:
7	do this.	7	Q. When you said if you were given this
8	This was done by First DataBank and	8	example about how maybe the sales force couldn't
9	Medi-Span, who is providing this kind of	9	provide a doctor with the information, but maybe
10	information to the public.	10	the professional services group could or
11	Q. But, isn't this, I thought you said	11	something like that, if a professional service
12	this was on the Medco web site?	12	group of Medco went to Duramed or Barr Labs and
13	A. It is on the Medco web site, but	13	said, provide us all of the information that you
14	what you'll find is the majority of these	14	have about whether Cenestin prevents
15	things bless you that go to patients are	15	osteoporosis, what data could they provide, to
16	principally done by one of two suppliers,	16	your knowledge?
17	Medi-Span or First DataBank, who are perceived as	17	A. To my knowledge, they couldn't.
18	compendial sources for this kind of information.	18	Q. All right. And, in fact, you are
19	Q. Do you know whether or not Medi-Span	19	aware of the fact that the FDA has actually come
20	or First Data have a usage, a use of	20	out and said that Cenestin is not indicated for
21	preventing strike that.	21	the treatment of osteoporosis.
22	Do you know whether this information	22	A. I am aware of that.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 105 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 107

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

A. I don't know that it comes

specifically from one of those.

Q. Okay. So, in terms of whether or

not there are other companies, other managed care

organizations, or data reference companies that

are promoting Cenestin as being used for the

prevention of osteoporosis, the only one that you

are familiar with is Medco, correct?

10 A. I am saying exactly that. The only
11 one that I can say that I looked at, because it
12 is the only managed care company whose database I
13 could get in, says this.

comes from Medi-Span or First DataBank?

, ...,

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. I would be willing to bet you that 16 others say it, if I could get in it.

17 Q. But, you haven't done that work to

18 date?

19 A. I cannot get in them to look at

20 them.

Q. And, let me ask you this, though,

22 sir. In terms of going to bat or whatever, the

4/1/2003 Z.Z/ FIVI

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

So, it is not just a situation where

2 they didn't give them the indication, they have gone a step further and told the public that this is a product that is not, cannot be used for the treatment of osteoporosis, correct? A. I agree with what you are saying. But, my point here is with regard to class effects. What is it that people are going to 10 And the perception is clearly 11 demonstrated here that this is what professionals 12 are going to perceive of this product. That is 13 really the only point I am making. Q. So, in other words, despite the fact 14 that the FDA has told Medco that -- I am sorry. 15 despite the fact that the FDA has affirmatively 16 17 told Duramed that the product could not be 18 marketed for the prevention of osteoporosis, 19 despite the fact that the FDA has told Barr Labs 20 that the product is not approved for the 21 treatment of osteoporosis, your point is, is that 2.2 there are people in the medical community who may

1	just assume this anyway?	1	assumption of class effects for drugs?
2	A. Exactly.	2	A. I am aware, and I believe we went
3	Q. Okay.	3	into this in the last deposition, about these
4	MR. DOBIE: Let's go off the record,	4	kinds of things, yes.
5	he's got to change tape.	5	Q. Right. And, the recent example that
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the	6	we have all seen in the newspaper is in
7	end of videotape number one in the deposition of	7	connection with the COX-2 Inhibitor Class,
8	Mr. Paul O. Simon. We are going off the record.	8	correct, Vioxx?
9	The time is 11:29.	9	A. What about it?
10	(Recess 11:29-11:43 a.m.)	10	Q. You are familiar with that there are
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the	11	a number of different products that are COX-2
12	beginning of videotape number two in the	12	Inhibitors. There's Celebrex, Bextra and Vioxx,
13	deposition of Mr. Paul O. Simon. We are back on	13	right?
14	the record. The time is 11:43.	14	A. Right.
15	THE WITNESS: And before we get	15	Q. Those are all products that went on
16	started	16	the market within the last what, five years or
17	BY MR. DOBIE:	17	so?
18	Q. Yes, sir.	18	A. And Bextra just within the last
19	A. I need to point out that I did	19	year.
20	indeed talk to Mr. Einhorn on the phone for a	20	Q. Okay. And those are all products
21	little while regarding one of the other reports.	21	that have become multibillion dollar sellers,
22	I believe the Schafermeyer report	22	right?

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 109 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

that I had received.

Q. Okay. And having visited with

Mr. Einhorn, is it, do you have an understanding

now that you are an expert for both J.B.D.L. and

G. CVS and Rite Aid?

A. Well -- yes.

Q. You are?

A. I am aware of that now.

Q. You found that out at the break?

10 A. Well, he reminded me, it was kind of

11 interesting, that I had talked to him on the

12 phone.

Q. Okay. When we broke we were talking about whether or not people would just simply

15 assume that Cenestin provided an osteoporosis

16 benefit, and is that sometimes called a class

17 effect?

18 A. Pretty much there are other names

19 that you could use, too, but class effect is a

20 word that would fit.

21 Q. Are you familiar with articles in

22 medical journals that argue against the

4/1/2003 2.27 FIVI

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And you are familiar with the fact
3	that in the last month, Vioxx, which is one of
4	the same classes of products, it is a COX-2
5	Inhibitor used for osteoporosis and
6	A. Arthritis, primarily.
7	Q. Arthritis, that that had to be
8	removed from the market, correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. And, the other products that are in
11	the same class, Celebrex and Bextra, have not
12	been removed from the market, right?
13	A. They haven't conclusively
14	demonstrated the same side effects as have been
15	demonstrated with Vioxx.
16	Q. Right. And, there are other
17	examples of that. There's, in the statin
18	category, you've got Mevacor, you've got Lescol,
19	Lipitor and you've had products that have been
20	removed from the market and others that have com
21	in that are all in the same class, correct?
22	A. Correct.

1	Q. And, I'm thinking of Crestor as an	1	Q. There is a place in your report
2	example of a product that has was taken off the	2	where you talk about
3	market. Are you familiar with that?	3	A. That doesn't, by the way, mean that
4	A. I am familiar with Crestor. I am	4	doctors can't use it for that.
5	not aware that it had been taken off the market,	5	Q. There is a place in your report,
6	frankly. I knew they were having problems.	6	Mr. Simon, where I recall in the period after the
7	Q. Yes. I guess what I am getting at,	7	WHI study came out, that the advantage that
8	you would agree that you can't simply assume a	8	Premarin had was somehow lessened as a result of
9	class effect across all different products in the	9	the WHI.
10	marketplace that are in the same class, right?	10	Do you recall that, sir?
11	MR. COHEN: I am just going to	11	A. Yes, I do.
12	object to the form and specifically with respect	12	Q. Where is that in your report?
13	to the examples that were given because the	13	MR. COHEN: I think it is on page 6.
14	examples that were given, the drugs were actually	14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	being used as indicated.	15	BY MR. DOBIE:
16	It is really not a well	16	Q. So, you say that: "The WHI study
17	THE WITNESS: Let me answer and I	17	tarnished the image of HRT products and made the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
18	will do it by an example.	18	osteoporosis and long-term use indications for
19	Vioxx was the drug of choice in an	19	ERT products as well, much less attractive to
20	M plan in Indianapolis to the exclusion of	20	physicians and consumers.
21	Lipitor, I believe.	21	"And this, coupled with the dosage
22	So, to say that, you know, there	22	strength parity between Premarin and Cenestin,

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 113 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 115

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

businesses out there called PBMs and MCOs, as

well as physicians, that believe that this

product can be used for any indication that

Celebrex or Bextra could be used.

Now, I don't know what the situation

is today. All I know is that there is still a

lot of people, there are still a lot of medical

utilization of products, that is done because of

class effects.

BY MR. DOBIE:

aren't class effects, obviously there are

12 Q. All right. Certainly, in the case 13 of, returning back to both Cenestin and Premarin, you would agree that the FDA has told the public, 14 told Duramed, told Barr Labs, that the product 15 does not have the same indications and cannot be 16 17 marketed for the same uses as Premarin, correct? I am aware that they do not have the 18 A. 19 same indications, and that, indeed, the FDA did 20 tell Duramed, I'm assuming hence Barr, as you 21 said, that it can, it doesn't have that

indication and they can't market it for that.

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	removed the perceived product deficiencies
2	asserted by Kolassa."
3	Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. When you talk about the WHI
6	study, I assume that that's the this in the
7	sentence along with the dosage strength parity
8	remove the perceived product deficiencies.
9	Who was the deficiency perceived by
10	to your understanding?
11	A. Dr. Kolassa was stating that
12	Cenestin had some deficiencies in the product,
13	that being the lack of an osteoporosis
14	indication, as well as long-term use.
15	The Women's Health Initiative that
16	came out, it is my perception, in 2002, basically
17	removed those as, quote, "things that Premarin
18	could point at as advantages."
19	Q. Okay. Now, you understand that the
20	WHI study has resulted in the government, the
21	FDA, taking the position that both Premarin,
22	Cenestin and the other products in the ERT and

1	HRT classes all have to have additional warnings,	1	Q. And then if you look at Simon
2	correct?	2	Exhibit 4, this is a copy of the press release
3	A. Okay.	3	from the FD from Wyeth announcing that the FDA
4	Q. Were you aware of that?	4	has approved the marketing of a $.3$ and $.45$
5	A. No.	5	milligram dosage strength product, basically a
6	Q. And	6	month after Barr got its nonapproval letter for
7	A. It makes sense.	7	its product for the prevention of osteoporosis.
8	Q. All right. And, but in terms of	8	A. Uh-huh.
9	whether or not it removed the advantage, do you	9	Q. And, so you would agree with me that
10	know whether or not, in the period after the WHI	10	even after the WHI study came out in the summer
11	study came out, whether or not the FDA has, in	11	of 2002, that the FDA has continued to
12	fact, continued to be of the opinion that Duramed	12	differentiate the products and find that Wyeth's
13	is the product that is I am sorry, let me	13	Premarin product is indicated for the prevention
14	restate the question.	14	of a number of different indications that the
15	Let's just do it this way.	15	Cenestin product is not approved for, correct?
16	(Simon Exhibit Number 3	16	MR. COHEN: Object to the form.
17	marked for identification.)	17	THE WITNESS: I've got to read this.
18	BY MR. DOBIE:	18	Let me
19	Q. Have you seen the nonapproval	19	BY MR. DOBIE:
20	letters that Barr Labs received for Cenestin in	20	Q. Take your time.
21	2003 after the WHI study?	21	A. Okay. The Safe Harbor information I
22	A. No.	22	don't need. But, I don't know what it is that

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 117 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 119

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Let me show you what we will mark as

Simon 3. Now, sir, for the record -- actually let's go ahead and mark Simon 4. (Simon Exhibit Number 4 marked for identification.) BY MR. DOBIE: For the record, Simon Exhibit 3 is a Ο. copy of a press release from Barr labs announcing that they had received a nonapproval letter from

And in the third paragraph noting that Barr launched a .3 milligram tablet that was approved for the treatment of vulvar and vaginal atrophy, but not vasomotor symptoms, not osteoporosis, et cetera.

the US FDA for its .45 milligram product.

15

16 Do you see that, sir?

17 No, where are you? Α.

18 Q. Right here. Third paragraph.

19 Α.

20 Q. In August of 2002 Barr launched a

21 Cenestin --

10

11

12

13

14

22 Α. Uh-huh.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

was submitted to the FDA. 2 Was the submission for Cenestin a 3 request for approval for an additional dosage form, or in order to treat -- and it doesn't say 4 in here osteoporosis. O. They've got a nonapprovable letter for their .45 milligram dosage, per Exhibit 3from Barr Labs, and they are telling you in the third paragraph that they received an approval in 10 the year earlier, one month after WHI, an 11 approval for their .3 milligram dosage, but only 12 for the treatment of vulvar and vaginal atrophy. 13 A. Okay. Right? 14 Ο. 15 Α. Right. 16 Okay. And then I was contrasting Ο. 17 that with the FDA's approval of Wyeth's .3 and 18 .45 milligram dosage products, which are 19 indicated for the full range of indications, 20 right, including osteoporosis? 21 A. If I understand your question,

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

2.2

then --

Case 1:01/14cV-007044-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim Page 31 of 91

1	Q. Yes.	1	it tarnished the image of the use of all of these
2	A what you are asking is am I aware	2	products in, for the use in osteoporosis, and for
3	that Cenestin still doesn't have an osteoporosis	3	long-term care use.
4	indication, and Premarin does?	4	And women started going in and the
5	Q. No. I guess what you say is that	5	market started going down. My that was my
6	after WHI, this is in your report on page 6, the	6	only point.
7	perceived product deficiencies somehow went away.	7	Q. Okay.
8	And, in fact, what the FDA has	8	A. It wasn't that they all of a sudden
9	instead said is that after the WHI, Wyeth who	9	got an approval. They didn't.
10	submits an 822-women study on bone bone data,	10	Q. Okay. And that even after WHI, the
11	presents that, publishes it in the Journal of the	11	FDA has still said that for those women that want
12	American Medical Association, and has the data to	12	to take an estrogen replacement product, if you
13	support an osteoporosis indication, gets its	13	are going to take it, the lowest dosage, shortest
14	product approved.	14	amount of time, but at least the Premarin product
15	A. Right.	15	has got the FDA approval based on a study of 822
16	Q. That information is out there in the	16	women that it actually provided an osteoporosis
17	public, and so on for physicians, you can't do	17	benefit, correct?
18	any better than the Journal of the American	18	MR. COHEN: Object to the form.
19	Medical Association for getting that out there to	19	THE WITNESS: I think I answered
20	marketplace, right?	20	this already. Premarin still has the indication.
21	A. Yep.	21	I think that is what, I mean, I don't know what
22	MR. COHEN: Object I am sorry.	22	you are asking. Yes. The FDA still allows them

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 121 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

	BY MR. DOBIE:	1	to have that indication.
	Q. And then, on the other hand, the	2	BY MR. DOBIE:
	Duramed product, Cenestin, they got a	3	Q. And, then have you made any
	nonapprovable letter, post WHI, for the .45	4	examination well, here is all I am getting at.
	milligram product, and even their .3 dosage	5	In your report here where you say
	product they only get approval for the treatment	6	that the WHI has removed the perceived product
	of vaginal atrophy.	7	deficiencies asserted by Dr. Kolassa, who did you
	MR. COHEN: Object to the form. If	8	talk to that somehow concluded that the WHI
	you know the question, you can answer it.	9	somehow has removed the perceived product
0	THE WITNESS: I don't know the	10	deficiencies asserted by Dr. Kolassa?
1	question. And that is why where I am coming	11	A. I didn't talk to anybody. I looked
2	from. I don't know the relevance of one to the	12	at what was happening in the marketplace and what
.3	other.	13	is happening to prescription trends that clearly
4	BY MR. DOBIE:	14	indicates that the sales of these and
5	Q. Okay.	15	prescriptions of these products are going down.
6	A. I will say that Cenestin still does	16	And it occurred as a result of
7	not have an approval for use in osteoporosis.	17	Women's Health Initiative, as well as some of the
8	But, my comments, and this is in physician's	18	documents, internal documents, from Wyeth that
9	minds, all of the women, all of the doctors, I	19	want to state that this is the reason for some of
0	mean, the Women's Health Initiative was last on	20	the decreases.
1	Good Morning America and everywhere else.	21	Q. But, okay. But, as between Premarin
2	And women got very, very scared and	22	and Cenestin, right, the entire category of

1	products, you are saying, the whole category had	1	You talk about, at page 6, you say
2	a decline in sales, right?	2	that Kolassa, Schafermeyer and McDonough point to
3	A. The market itself did decrease, yes.	3	the financial consideration as being secondary to
4	Q. Okay. And, then I guess the final	4	clinical aspects in physician and consumer demand
5	question that I have for you, given that this	5	when it comes to formulary position.
6	information concerning Cenestin is on the Medco	6	A. Uh-huh.
7	web site	7	Q. Kolassa goes on to state that when
8	A. Yes.	8	the multiple drugs with similar clinical profiles
9	Q is there, if a patient wants to	9	however, the attractiveness of products from a
10	get a Cenestin prescription during this time	10	cost standpoint may be examined.
11	period, all of this information was out there and	11	And, do you disagree with the
12	available for people that had a Medco drug	12	statements of Kolassa, McDonough and Schafermeyer
13	benefit, correct?	13	that state that all financial considerations are
14	A. Correct.	14	secondary to clinical and patient and physician
15	Q. Okay. And, so Wyeth, at least as	15	demands?
16	far as you know, hasn't taken any action as it	16	A. That depends on how you define
17	relates to Medco to prevent Medco from promoting	17	patient clinical demand or patient and physician

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 125 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 127

18

19

20

21

2.2

business issue.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

This is intended to be patient deducational materials and the source is not in the business of doing promotions. BY MR. DOBIE: Q. Okay. But, Medco has the information available for its customers, whether

Cenestin as indicated in your report, correct?

Medco is promoting or that this is a form of

of the word promotion.

MR. COHEN: Object to the form, use

THE WITNESS: Yes, I don't know that

10 A. Medco does, at least Medco does.

11 Q. And so Medco, at least then, are you

aware of any actions that Wyeth has taken with

Medco that somehow disadvantaged the sales of

you want to call it customer service or

14 Cenestin?

15

22

18

19

20

21

22

A. Are you talking about currently?

16 Q. Currently.

17 A. No.

educational.

18 Q. Do you know how long this has been

on the web site?

20 A. Absolutely not.

21 Q. Let me ask you about the heading in

your report: Lack of Demand was not an Issue.

125 4/1/2005 2

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

demand and how you define the clinical pieces of

it, because the things that I have read and it

has been at least the experience, where I have

had experience with managed care, principally a

1	The clinical departments, and I
2	think Mr. Hill stated it very well, that they
3	will have a process whereby they say we
4	absolutely have to have it, you make the
5	decision, or there are so many side effects with
6	this drug it is going to kill people we can't
7	have it.
8	My understanding of the process is
9	that the clinical review, in the majority of
10	cases, at least as Hill states, come back and say
11	you make the decision, as far as we are concerned
12	it is okay.
13	And then it goes to a financial
14	contractual whatever kind of decision, whether
15	they decide to put the product on the market, in
16	their formulary or not.
17	Q. Okay. So, you agree with the notion
18	that when you do have similar clinical profiles,
19	then you can consider financial considerations
20	for product and for formulation inclusion?
21	A. I agree at least that. Yes.
22	Q. And do you know of any P&T

1	committees, or any PBMs or HMOs that thought that	1	on February 11th, 2004, and is this the
2	Cenestin had a similar clinical profile to	2	deposition that you read previously
3	Premarin?	3	A. Oh, my gosh.
4	A. I have no idea whether they thought	4	Q or a portion thereof?
5	it was a similar clinical profile and I didn't	5	A. I am going to assume you are not
6	have any idea, similarly with the Vioxx, why	6	going to give me something that is not. So, I
7	M-Plan took Vioxx, who obviously didn't have the	7	will say yes.
8	same clinical as Celebrex.	8	Q. Okay. Well, I don't know for sure
9	I think that a lot of these come	9	what you read. It is certainly the deposition we
10	back based on here is what we think the products	10	took.
11	is basically conjugated estrogens, go ahead. And	11	But, what I want to draw your
12	there are places or instances where those were	12	attention to is on page 94 of the deposition,
13	pointed out.	13	which is in the bottom left-hand corner.
14	Q. So, you cited a moment ago to	14	A. Okay.
15	Mr. Hill's deposition, and for the record,	15	Q. Mr. Cohen's associate asked the
16	Mr. Hill, is whom, sir?	16	question, on line 1:
17	A. Jim Hill?	17	"Why would ESI place," ESI is
18	Q. Yes, sir.	18	ExpressScripts, "place a more expensive product
19	A. I want to I think he is with	19	in that category?
20	ExpressScripts.	20	"In this instance, Premarin is a
21	Q. Okay. And, you were citing him for	21	clinically superior product to Cenestin in the
22	the proposition that when there is a similar	22	CSU."

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 129 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

consider cost issues? A. Yes. Q. And, it is not your testimony, is it, that the ExpressScripts P&T committee concluded that Cenestin had a similar clinical profile to Premarin, is it? A. No.

clinical profile of the product that you would

Q. Okay.

A. I have not attended any of these P&T

11 meetings. I have no idea. 12

Q. All right. Well, you read

Mr. Hill's deposition, at least it is on your --13

A. Correct. 14

Q. -- your witness list. And just so 15

we are clear here, let me show you what we have

marked as Exhibit 5.

(Simon Exhibit Number 5 18

19 marked for identification.)

20 BY MR. DOBIE:

16 17

Q. For the record, sir, I am handing 21

you a portion of the James Hill deposition taken 22

2	committee."
3	She asked: "So you, your P&T
4	committee has characterized Premarin as a
5	clinically superior product.
6	"Answer: They have."
7	And so on, and it goes onto the next
8	page.
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. So, you are aware, in fact, that
11	the, that Mr. Hill, who you cite in this section $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$
12	of your report, that the P&T committee at
13	ExpressScripts, in fact, concluded that these
14	were not substantially similar products.
15	And, in fact, there was some
16	significant differences that resulted in Premarin
17	getting formulary positions that were not
18	available to Cenestin.
19	MR. COHEN: Object to the form.
20	THE WITNESS: But, if I am not
21	mistaken, Cenestin did get, and then Wyeth went
22	back and threatened them and they took it off.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And he answered: "Per our P&T

1	And then they tried to get it on,	1	A. I think I understand your question.
2	and then they went for the bid grid. Am I	2	And I am going to say that, in my estimation,
3	mistaken?	3	these PBMs have the option of making any decision
4	BY MR. DOBIE:	4	that they want.
5	Q. This is, what he is discussing here,	5	What I am saying is that the
6	and I understand you have read his deposition,	6	clinical decisions that typically come back for
7	what he is discussing here is in the period after	7	products are going to allow, in the majority of
8	ExpressScripts added Cenestin to its formulary.	8	cases, for the business people to make the
9	She was asking why wouldn't you put	9	decision about what is or is not going to be
10	it on all of these different categories, and if	10	added to the formulary as was an example here.
11	you read the testimony here he says: "The P&T	11	I am not saying that the P&T
12	committee has determined," I am reading from page	12	committee didn't do their job, or that they
13	96, "that Premarin is clinically superior to	13	didn't come up with a statement that Premarin is,
14	Cenestin. That's why we have a clinical	14	in their estimation, clinically superior,
15	parameter that says if you are going to go	15	certainly for osteoporosis indications that
16	exclusive, you have to use Premarin, meaning that	16	Cenestin doesn't have.
17	is starting an exclusive column."	17	But, what I am saying is that the
18	Premarin is the one that would be	18	decisions that are being made in the majority of
19	listed, and then we would carry through the rest	19	cases have approval from these committees and
20	of the columns, because you have to have the	20	they are being based on financial considerations.
21	other clinically appropriate drugs on the	21	Q. I'm but the only thing that you
22	formulary" and so on.	22	had cited in your report is ExpressScripts so

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 133 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 1

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

A. Okay. So what is your question?

Q. I guess my, you are not, it is

certainly not your testimony that the P&T

committee of ExpressScripts in any way determined

that Cenestin was equivalent to Premarin,

correct?

A. I am not saying -- and I am not

privy to P&T committee meetings, no. I am not

10 equivalent.

11 What I am saying is that the product
12 did manage to get, eventually they managed to get

saying that they said it was clinically

did manage to get, eventually they managed to get
them to consider the product.

Right. But. I guess, the point is.

Right. But, I guess, the point is, sir, if, in fact, there is a clinical difference 15 between the two products as determined by a P&T 16 17 committee, as Mr. Hill discusses here in 18 Exhibit 5 from his deposition, then you certainly 19 would agree that it would be all right for 20 ExpressScripts to then consider cost issues in 21 determining what products should, in fact, go in a preferred formulary position. 22

4/1/2003 Z.Z/ FIVI

2

3

Do you have some basis for saying that ExpressScripts made the decision to put

Cenestin in a -- where it did on formulary for a financial reason as opposed to a P&T committee determination?

A. Yes, I do. And principally it is

that is what I am asking about.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

8 from the fact that in a lot of instances Wyeth
9 had to go back and threaten people like
10 Prescription Solutions that if you put it on you
11 are going to lose your rebates.
12 Q. Okay. But, ExpressScripts, in fact

12 Q. Okay. But, ExpressScripts, in fact,
13 and this is, you've got two examples in your
14 entire report where that happened, you say
15 ExpressScripts and Prescription Solutions.
16 A. I don't think I even said

16 A. I don't think I even said17 Prescription Solutions in here.

18 Q. Okay. But, in ExpressScripts what
19 happened was, if you read Mr. Hill's deposition,
20 is, in fact, Wyeth did allow Cenestin to go onto
21 the ExpressScripts formulary, and didn't cancel
22 its contract, did it?

Case 1:01/1-420-1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp និង្សេខ 35 of 91

1	MR. COHEN: Objection to form.	1	Q. And how about, you mentioned, was it
2	THE WITNESS: When did they do that,	2	MedImpact or Prescription Solutions?
3	in 2002?	3	A. MedImpact is a part of
			-
4	BY MR. DOBIE:	4	ExpressScripts, I think, isn't it.
5	Q. In 2000, and went on formulary in	5	Q. Is there another example, sir, that
6	2001.	6	you have where Wyeth was unwilling to
7	A. Okay.	7	renegotiate?
8	Q. And, so when you say that the Wyeth	8	A. Other than the ones that were cited
9	wouldn't allow them to renegotiate, in fact,	9	in my original report, I have not gone back
10	ExpressScripts they very much did, didn't they?	10	through the contracts.
11	A. If what you are saying is true, then	11	Q. Okay. So, sitting here today, do
12	yes, they did.	12	you know whether there is anything beyond
13	Q. Have you read enough	13	ExpressScripts strike that question.
14	A. I have not read any of the I	14	You say, on page 6, that: "There is
15	haven't gone over the contracts again. No.	15	no way that Duramed could provide the financial
16	Q. Okay. And, you haven't read the	16	incentives needed to fight the Wyeth rebates."
17	depositions close enough to know whether or not	17	A. Yes.
18	that is true or not?	18	Q. And, then you talk about how Kolassa
19	A. Correct.	19	presents a financial analysis and so on.
20	Q. All right. So when you are saying	20	Did you review the Kolassa report,
21	here that Wyeth wouldn't renegotiate, can you	21	sir?
22	I mean, is that based upon the work you did in	22	A. Yes.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 137 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

the Duramed case?

16

17

A. Principally, yes.

And, so you don't know to what extent Wyeth, in fact, was willing to renegotiate with ExpressScripts, correct? A. I would -- yes. I can't say, my recollection today, that they were willing to negotiate with them since the original report, 10 Q. You can't say that Wyeth was willing 11 12 A. I can't say that I remember anything 13 that occurred from my original report that would change my opinion. 14 Q. Okay. But, you haven't done the 15

18 to be placed on the formulary at ExpressScripts,
19 correct?
20 MR. COHEN: Object to the form.
21 THE WITNESS: Correct.
22 BY MR. DOBIE:

work to look and see whether or not, in fact,

Wyeth was willing to negotiate and allow Cenestin

4/1/2005 Z.Z/ PIVI

marked as Exhibit 6. 3 (Simon Exhibit Number 6 marked for identification.) 4 BY MR. DOBIE: 5 Q. For the record, Exhibit 6 is a copy 6 7 of portions of the expert report of Dr. Kolassa. Is this the expert report that you reviewed in this case, sir? 10 A. It looks like part of it. 11 Okay. And, let me draw your 12 attention to page 20 of Exhibit 6, again, the expert report of Dr. Kolassa. 13 And, he provides examples here of 14 15 different strategies that Duramed could have 16 employed, where a managed care organization would 17 receive the exact same amount of rebates from 18 Duramed, if they had not had a contract with 19 Wyeth on Premarin. 20 Do you see that? 21 A. That is what he is trying, yes. Q. And, do you have any reason to 2.2

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

MR. DOBIE: Let me show you what we

Case 1:01/1-20/-010704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Sim Page 36 of 91

1	disagree with the math that he uses here?	1	Q. Okay. But, when you say, sir, that
2	A. With where he gets his numbers, no,	2	there is no way that Duramed could provide
3	I don't have any reason to disbelieve that.	3	financial incentives needed to fight the Wyeth
4	Q. Okay. And, in other words, if, in	4	rebates he just told me that you haven't examined
5	fact, Duramed had achieved a 10 percent market	5	Duramed's margins, so I guess I am wondering why
6	share and offered a 40 percent rebate, and Wyeth	6	you don't believe that they could financially
7	hadn't offered any rebate, in fact the managed	7	provide the incentives to fight the Wyeth
8	care organization would basically be in the same	8	rebates, to use your words, exactly as
9	position vis-a-vis rebates, right?	9	Dr. Kolassa shows in his report.
10	A. On Premarin.	10	A. I don't see what margins have to do
11	Q. Right. Your point is, is that there	11	with it.
12	are, is that they had the, they, a company	12	Q. Well, what do you mean when you say
13	competing against Wyeth would have also had to	13	that Duramed could not, or there is no way that
14	have offered rebates on other products in order	14	Duramed could provide the financial incentives
15	to compete with Wyeth for Premarin?	15	needed to fight Wyeth rebates?
16	A. That is a big part of it. But, I	16	A. First off, there's two things. One,
17	don't, by the way, believe that this is feasible	17	there is the rebate that Premarin uses, and then
18	either.	18	there is the fact that Premarin has rebates for

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 141 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 143

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

I don't argue with the math, I have

a problem with the logic in how that a company

like Cenestin is going to accomplish that 10

percent market share and what are the rebates

going to be in the following year. If I am giving you a 40 percent rebate today, what are you going to ask me for tomorrow and the next year, and how long do $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ have to continue giving you 40 percent rebates.

Well, have you examined what Ο. Duramed's margin was on Cenestin?

A. No.

19

20

21

22

1

2

10

11

20

ο. I mean, you are not suggesting that they couldn't have profitably sold Cenestin with a 40 percent rebate, are you?

12 A. That is not relevant.

13 Q. Well, why would it not be, if it would be profitable for them to sell the product 14 with a 40 percent rebate, or even let's say a 60 15

percent rebate, why would it not be relevant? 16 17 A. Well, let me reverse the question,

18 would it be relevant for Premarin to offer 40 or

19 a 60 percent rebate?

Q. I don't follow you.

21 That is what you are asking for, a 22 40 or 60 percent rebate from Cenestin.

19

20

21

2.2

all of their products included.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

PBM whole, when Premarin or Wyeth comes back and

And Kolassa goes on to state that

this is how a company like Duramed could go about

getting these rebates or keeping the GPO or the

says, we are no longer going to give you rebates on any of these products, that is way the contract reads so you are going to lose everything. There is no way it could be done. 5 In the first place, there is no way 7 that a company is going to go in, or should go in, with a branded product and put the price of that product at a 40 percent rebate where that rebate is going have to continue year after year. 10 11 Okay. So your first point is that 12 the rebate would be too rich and it would make 13 the sale of the product unprofitable for Duramed? A. I am saving that Duramed did go in. 14 15 They did offer some people very high rebates in order to try and get the product on the market, 16 17 and it still didn't matter. They still didn't 18 get the business, for a couple of reasons, one of which is achieving that 10 percent market share 19 20 in the first year is going to be a monumental 21 2.2 If they could increase that rebate

Case 1:01/14204-០០/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp Page 37 of 91

1	by 10, or that market share by 10 percent for	1	just as high as Premarin, correct?
2	Premarin, what would that have been?	2	A. Yes.
3	I mean, if I am on the other side of	3	Q. And, despite that, Wyeth, with its
4	the fence, it is a PBM looking at this from the	4	Protonix product and TAP Pharmaceuticals with its
5	financial perspective, I would say, okay, you	5	Prevacid product, have all competed and are now
6	increase 10 percent I get three million bucks,	6	in a position where they have rebate contracts
7	Premarin increases 10 percent, oh my God, I get	7	with managed care organizations, you're aware of
8	30 million bucks, I don't want your three.	8	that, correct?
9	Q. Do you, let's walk through these.	9	A. And I believe they had them even
10	When you say that it would be hard for Duramed to	10	before Prilosec went off the bank.
11	get a 10 percent market share for Cenestin, do	11	Q. So, if that is the case why could
12	you think that they could have gotten an 8	12	not Duramed compete the same way in the face of
13	percent market share, a 9 percent market share?	13	these rebates?
14	What do you think is a reasonable	14	A. Because there is nowhere in the
1.5	market share?	15	Prilosec rebates that said you cannot use
16	A. I don't think it is relevant because	16	Protonix, or you get no Prilosec or Astra-Zeneca
17	you are only talking about one customer, and here	17	rebates.
18	is part of the problem.	18	Q. And, what is the basis for your
19	If you have one PBM that is trying	19	belief that those contracts don't exist as it
20	to influence physician behavior such that they	20	relates to Prilosec?
21	are going to try and get these physicians to	21	A. I'm not allowed to say.
22	convert to Cenestin, one PBM, and you've got the	22	Q. Okay. And, if there are witnesses

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 145 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 147

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

doctor has got 12 different plans.

Now you get one of those saying I've got to increase it to 10 percent, and the doctor is saying, well, guess what, 90 percent of my patients are here I am not going to do this.

Q. So, you don't think it is possible

for pharmaceutical companies to basically compete in the face of a rebate contract then?

A. No. I am not saying that at all.Q. Okay. Well, aren't there many

examples of products, I mean, you did work on Prilosec and helping Astra-Zeneca, when it was facing the launch of the generics and you told me in the last deposition you didn't work on the branding strategy, but you certainly are aware of the fact that there are a number of different branded products that have come out and have

18 competed in the PPI category against rebate

19 contracts that Astra-Zeneca offers, correct?

20 A. Yes.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

21 Q. All right. And, at one time,

Prilosec was a product that had a market share

4/1/2003 2.27 FIVI

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

in this case that have testified that, in fact,
they had those exact same kind of contracts for
Prilosec, are you just not aware of that?

A. You need to define what that means,
when you say that kind of contract.

Q. A contract that provides that youwould be the exclusive PPI within the class.

8 A. I am not saying that, I am not
9 saying that. I am saying that you don't have a
10 Prilosec contract that specifies one specific
11 product cannot be on there.

product cannot be on there. 12 There are contracts that are going to reward for one drug being there. There are 13 products (sic) that are going to reward and a 14 15 majority I believe, at least that I am aware of. 16 from my experience, had two of the proton pump 17 inhibitors as being available, Prilosec being one 18 of them, and then the others fighting it out. 19 But, there is no contract that I was 20 aware of, while looking at Prilosec, that 21 specified this product will not be on --

22 Q. So, the, you are talking about the

22 Q. 50, the, you are tarking about th

Filed 05/13/2005 simplage 38 of 91 Case 1:01/1-60-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4

4

7

- Medco agreement in this case where Medco and Wyeth affirmatively stated that adding Cenestin and three other products and increasing their market share was a way to earn additional rebates?
- Is that what you are referring to?
- Α. No.
- ο. Is it your understanding that the contracts in this case affirmatively provide that Cenestin could not be added to formulary? 10
- 11 They affirmatively state that no 12 other conjugated estrogen could be added.
- 13 Cenestin is the only one that was available.
- 14 Ο Okav
- 15 A. So, by reflection, yes.
- All right. So, how was that 16 Ο. 17 operationally any different from a contract that 18 said that Prilosec is going to be the exclusive product within the PPI category? 19
- 20 Because then you are saying, that 21 would be like Premarin saying I am going to be 22 the exclusive ERT.

- want to make sure I understand here, when you are
- talking about the bundling, which is in this same
- section of your report, the total rebate package 3
 - and how could Duramed compete against the total
- rebate package --
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- have you made any examination in
- 8 terms of the amount of rebates that Wyeth was
- paying on products beyond Premarin to these
- various managed care organizations? 10
- 11 Only during the initial, the first 12 report that I wrote, where there was some data
- 13 provided with the preemptive plan.
- Okay. So, in essence, in the 14 Ο
- 15 preemptive plan there's examples of how a
- particular managed care organization could lose 16
- 17 rebates beyond Premarin, it could lose it in OCs
- 18 and so on?
- A. Exactly, and there was some 19
- 20 representative discussions back and forth to home
- 21 office about, you know, here is what I told Joe
- Takitomo (ph.) at Prescription Solutions, 22

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 149 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

- Right. And, that is, in essence, what Prilosec did within PPIs, right?
 - What are you saying?
 - Here is what I am getting at. With
 - Premarin, there is numerous, consumers have
- numerous other estrogen and hormone replacement
- therapy choices in the typical formulary.
- A. Correct.
- And, in contract, in the Prilosec
- category, a product that you worked on, it is 10
- 11 often the case that it, that consumers will only
- 12 have one or two choices at most, correct?
- Let me rephrase that because the 13 Α.
- connotation that there is only one is. I don't
- believe, accurate. 15
- The majority of them will have two, 16
- 17 will have two PPIs on the market, Prilosec and/or
- 18 one other one.
- Now, Prilosec would give a larger 19
- 20 rebate, certainly, if theirs could be the only
- 21

14

Right. Okay, the other part, I just 22 Ο.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

- whatever, was going to cost him if they decided
- to do this.
- Q. Okay. Well, in -- and I want to
- make sure I understand this, because I asked you 4
- this last time, but, I am not sure I fully
- understood vour answer.
- Why is it that you believe that a
- 8 big organization like, let's take Advanced PCS,
- has got what 70 million covered lives, it is a
- Fortune 500 --10
- 11 Α. It is more. I think the latest
- 12 thing that I saw was in 2003 they had 55 or 56
- million, similar, the same size about as Medco, 13
- 40 million of which are actually covered by their 14
- 15 formulary.
- 16 Ο. This is a publicly-traded company,
- 17 right, Advanced PCS?
- 18 Α. Yes, it is.
- Q. Is it a Fortune 500? 19
- 20 I don't know.
- 21 Okay. I mean, it is a sophisticated
- company you would certainly agree with that. 2.2

Case 1:01/1-62/1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim 🖺 สิปูโย 39 of 91

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	A. Uh-huh.	1	would not be financially advantageous for a
2	Q. Is there some reason why in a	2	company to switch to another supplier of oral
3	company like in Advanced PCS you couldn't simply	3	contraceptives, PPIs, sedatives and so on, if
4	turn to, let's say if they had a contract with	4	Duramed, in fact, offered a better rebate deal
5	Wyeth that covered both oral contraceptives and	5	than what they were getting from Wyeth on
6	Premarin and others, why they couldn't simply	6	Premarin?
7	turn to a Johnson & Johnson and say we will take	7	A. I think that if you are looking at
8	oral contraceptives from you, if you could offer	8	PBMs and these business entities, as you put it,
9	us a better deal?	9	the large ones that are in Fortune 500, this is
10	If you would turn to Lilly and buy	10	the kind of thing that they are doing as a course
11	their, put Prozac on formulary, rather than	11	of business.
12	Effexor. Go to Astra-Zeneca, put Prilosec on a	12	They are looking at, you know, their
13	formulary, rather than Wyeth's PPI Protonix.	13	contracts on a daily basis, what am I paying for
14	Why could is it your belief that	14	this, what am I paying for that.
15	a company like Advanced PCS couldn't do that?	15	What other monies am I getting for
16	A. No. My belief is that if Advanced	16	Wyeth for doing, for being on the preferred drug
17	PCS wanted to do that, they could do it.	17	list at PCS, for doing the substitution programs,
18	Q. Okay. And so	18	for doing the announcements to pharmacists every
19	A. I don't believe Duramed could	19	time a Cenestin prescription comes in.
20	motivate them to do that. I think that the	20	What's Wyeth paying me to send a
21	decision that they are going to be making is	21	message back to the pharmacist that says Premarin

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 153 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

what else do I have to do? Q. And, so when they are undertaking that analysis in terms of whether they are better off going to a Johnson & Johnson, you would have to compare the prices that Wyeth is offering on its oral contraceptives and its sedatives and its PPIs and so on, and compare that from what you could get from the competitors, correct? 10 A. I think that would be part of it. 11 And, have you made any analysis in 12 terms of how Wyeth's prices for these other products that were, to use your word, bundled, 13 how Wyeth's prices compared to the prices that 14 were being offered by Wyeth's competitors in 15 those categories? 16 17 A. How would I know that? 18 Q. Okay. So the answer is, no, you

based on financially am I better off going to

Johnson & Johnson, and what am I going to lose,

19 haven't?

22

2.2

20 A. No.

21 Q. All right. Well, and, if you

haven't done that, then how do you know that it

22 is on my list.

1	And, by the way, I don't know that
2	Premarin is paying for that, but, those are
3	ancillary monies that they are getting.
4	They are looking at their whole book
5	of business. They aren't going to come in and
6	say, oh, you know what, these people have a
7	product that I am going to have to go back and
8	redo all of my contracts, redo everything, and
9	they actually want me to go out and say Wyeth,
10	I've got to cancel your contract.
11	That is just I have never heard
12	of such a thing. And, there is no way that
13	Duramed, by the way, is going to be able to talk
14	to these other manufacturers with competitive
15	products to make that happen.
16	I don't even know that it would be
17	legal for them to talk to those other
18	manufacturers.
19	Q. Well, if, as you said, if Advanced
20	PCS is so sophisticated and they are doing this
21	on a daily basis, why would Duramed have to do
22	anything other than offer a better price for its

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp สิชูย์ 40 of 91

- Premarin products, and then the Advanced PCSs of the world or Medcos then can then compare the prices that it's getting on the other products that Wveth is offering?
 - A. I think that is my point. They went in, they offered them a price, and obviously there is no way that they could do that and get them to make that switch so that they could get on formulary.
 - Q. So, your point is, is that on an overall basis, you think that Wyeth was offering better prices across the basket such that it had the lowest prices for all of these products?
- I am saving that they were getting 14 15 rebates, let's say PCS, as an example, that they were getting rebates on products beyond just 16 17
- 18 Whether it was the lowest price or not, I don't know. But, you have got to look at, 19 20 and you've got to compare the entire market 21 basket that they are getting from Wyeth.
- Q. How many of these, you haven't 22

1	the	contracts	t.hat.	have	been	produced	in	the
1	CITE	CONCLACES	LIIaL	11ave	DEELL	produced	111	CIIC

- litigation, counsel didn't provide those to you
- then for you to review and --3
- A. I didn't ask for them, they didn't 4
- 5 provide them. Q. All right. And so if you wanted to
- determine how many of these contracts were bundled, you could have looked at those contracts, but you didn't, correct?
- 10
 - A. Correct.
- 11 Let me ask you about the section in 12 your report that is Roman Numeral IV, it says that: "Cenestin did not have equal access to 60 13 to 70 percent of managed care lives." 14
- 15 Sir, let me show you what was marked previously as Exhibit 301. I am sorry, I just 16 17 don't have enough copies, so maybe you guys can 18
- Sir, for the record, Exhibit 301 is 19 20 a copy of a document that I think you have seen 21 at least once before in your last deposition, 2.2 correct, sir?

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 157 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

reviewed all of the contracts in this case, have

Nο

10

11

12

13

- Have you made an analysis of how many of the contracts, in fact, were bundled, the way you have described it?
 - Α. No.
- ο. Have you looked to see whether or not Duramed was more successful with companies where it didn't have a bundled contract? 10
- 11 I, you know, that is really a 12 difficult question, because even the Wyeth
- 13 research that I reviewed in the first case.
- showed that something like 2 percent of contracts 14 15 are bundled.

- 16 And, that these HMOs, et cetera, 17 they don't like that. They are getting away from
- 18 putting bundled contracts together, they want to
- deal on specific products. 19
- 20 So, I wouldn't know where to go to
- 21

22

Q. Okay. Well, in terms of looking at

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And, this document is a document that was prepared by Duramed, and from the head 3 4 of its managed care group, Marty Carter, and it lists the HMO formulary breakdown. 5

And if you turn to the page that is 7 indicated here they go through these one-by-one, they've got the percentage of the market that is in open lives, the percentage that is three-tier, the percentage that is closed, at least as it 10

11 relates to Cenestin, correct?

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. Do you see that on Page 10964?

14 Α Okav

17

O. And, it shows, it looks like, 65 15

16 percent is in the open, three-tiers 11 percent,

24 percent closed within HMOs, correct?

18 That is what it says.

Q. And then within the PBMs, they show 19 20 on the very last page of Exhibit 301, 62 percent

21 of the PBMs are open lives, three-tier is 30

percent, and closed lives 8 percent, right? 22

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp สิชูย์ 41 of 91

1	A. Okay.	1	paragraph, that: "At this time, Cenestin is
2	Q. And, then you have seen before	2	considered non-formulary, however is being
3	Exhibit 1079?	3	reimbursed in the majority of their plans at the
4	A. Right.	4	\$13 co-pay level."
5	Q. For the record, Exhibit 1079 is a	5	A. Right.
6	copy of a document that you were shown last time	6	Q. Okay. Now, and you are aware, sir,
7	in your deposition, prepared by Viking Managed	7	in fact that Viking recommended to Duramed that
8	Care Update, the group that called on managed	8	they not seek rebate with certain managed care
9	care for Duramed during the '99 to 2000/2001 time	9	organizations specifically because they were,
10	period.	10	Cenestin was being covered and Duramed was
11	And, just drawing your attention to	11	avoiding having to pay rebates to the managed
12	the discussion on PCS on the very first page	12	care organizations.
13	A. Okay.	13	A. I am not aware of that. I was aware
14	Q during this time period, looking	14	that this person who wrote this was suggesting
15	at the first paragraph, PCS was a group that had	15	that. But, I am not aware that they actually did
16	what, somewhere around 45 million lives?	16	that as a strategy.
17	A. I take your word for it.	17	Q. Okay. Well, do you know of anybody
18	Q. That is just what the document says,	18	within well, what is your understanding of the
19	does that sound about right to you?	19	role of Viking other than to provide strategic
20	A. Uh-huh, yes.	20	advice and to call on the major national PBM
21	Q. And the Viking person that wrote	21	companies?
22	this document, Mr. McNealey (ph.), notes that:	22	A. I don't believe it was strategic

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 161 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

"PCS has decided to table the discussion review of Cenestin. "The decision was due to the unavailability of the 1.25 milligram strength which comprised 25 percent of the Premarin utilization.

"However, due to the low net cost of Cenestin versus competitive PRT products, they agreed not to target Cenestin in the active 10 intervention program. 11 "This means that Cenestin will be

12 available at the same co-pay level as products 13 accepted for inclusion in the 2000 formulary program and at least 90 percent of their book of 14 business or over 45 million lives." correct? 15

That is what it says. 16 Α. 17

Q. And, I mean, just another example, they are talking about United Health Care, which is a big HMO company is discussed at the second page of Exhibit 1079.

21 A. Right.

18

19

20

Q. And they say, on the second 22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

advice, but it certainly could have been. I believe their role was to call on the managed care companies. Q. Did Duramed have any prior experience with managed care before? A. Not to my knowledge. No. Okay. And, do you understand that Ο. the person that they hired to call on small managed care companies, Marty Carter, had 10 formally been a high school gym teacher? 11 I didn't know that. 12 Q. So, the only managed care --A. I used to be a newspaper boy. I 13 mean, what is the relevance? 14 O. Well, the point is, is that the only 15 16 people advising Duramed on managed care update, 17 the only people advising Duramed on how to handle 18 managed care during this time period that had 19 experience was Viking, correct? 20 Α. No. 21 Q. Who else?

A. They had a consulting company, ${\tt I}$

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

22

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp Page 42 of 91

1	believe, that they worked with when they	1	A. I don't believe that they had that
2	developed their initial plan.	2	as a responsibility.
3	When they put together their initial	3	Q. Do you think that they should have
4	marketing plan at least that is what I remember	4	had that responsibility?
5	from my original, from my deposition two years	5	A. I, again, will just have to say it
6	ago.	6	depends on what kind of an agreement they had. I
7	Q. Who was the consulting company?	7	don't know.
8	A. I don't remember.	8	Q. So just by business terms, do you
9	Q. Are you talking about	9	think it would have been advantageous, from a
10	A. Viking was doing the calling. No	10	marketing standpoint, to have Solvay, if they
11	question. But they had consultation with other	11	were at, let's say, an ExpressScripts, also
12	individuals with regard to managed care and what	12	putting in their two cents for Cenestin?
13	would be needed to do.	13	A. I think it could have been good. It
14	Q. Before they launched the product?	14	could have been good, and it could have been bad,
15	A. I believe before they launched the	15	depending on their relationship.
16	product.	16	Q. Right. In fact, it could have been
17	Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not	17	a detriment if, in fact, Solvay was out telling
18	that company considered, continued to consult	18	managed care companies about the products that it
19	with Duramed after they launched the product?	19	wanted to have on formulary that were in the
20	A. I do not.	20	estrogen class as opposed to Duramed's Cenestin
21	Q. Okay. Are aware of any company	21	product, correct?

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 165 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 167

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Duramed on managed care strategy, other than Viking, after the launch? A. Other than, more than likely Solvay, no. Q. When you say more than likely Solvay --A. I can't imagine that Solvay was not

managed care industry that was consulting with

other than Viking that had experience in the

2.2

10 Q. Do you think it would be appropriate 11 to have Solvay involved in calling on managed 12 care for Cenestin?

being involved in one form or another.

A. That is kind of a two-part question. 13 Do I think it is appropriate? It depends on 14 their relationship, and I don't know what their 15 relationship was, but I cannot imagine that 16 17 individuals from Solvay weren't calling on 18 managed care customers and being asked about 19 Cenestin, seeing as they were promoting it.

20 Q. Do you think that the managed care 21 organization of Solvay was, in fact, promoting 22 Cenestin?

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

A. Theoretically, yes.

Q. Okay. Have you looked at all to see 2 whether or not Solvay, in fact, obtained formulary placement from its managed care group for its estrogen products to the detriment of Duramed? A. No. 7 Ο. The data that we were talking about from Duramed, Exhibits 301, 1079, and, I mean, I have got lots and lots of these, where does that fit within the chart that you have on page 8 of 10 11 your report? 12 A. Where does this fit? Q. Yes, sir. 13 A. I have no idea where this fits, 14 because I am not looking at data that is provided 15 16 by a pharmaceutical company. I am looking at an 17 audit source like IMS in this table. 18 Q. Okay. And, the information that you 19 had here relates to pharmaceutical products 20 generally, it is not Cenestin specifically, 21 right?

A. Correct.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

22

Case 1:01/1-4201-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp Page 43 of 91

1	Q. Okay. And, so we don't know,	1	understand is are you, when you say it is not
2	sitting here today, whether or not Duramed was	2	possible that they are being, that there is
3	only reimbursed by HMOs for Cenestin, and only, I	3	enough plans, in fact, in a low cost category
4	don't know, just picking one that is here, 33	4	like estrogens, you are not disputing, are you,
5	percent is in the third tier is what I see as the	5	sir, that in fact the individual plan might be
6	overall number.	6	structured to have one, two-tier, or three-tier
7	A. For open formularies?	7	formulary couldn't make the decision to simply
8	Q. Yes. I am looking at, at your	8	reimburse Cenestin at the second tier?
9	overall open formulary, you are not assuming that	9	A. I am not saying that. What I am
10	for Cenestin the HMOs that had three-tiers are	10	saying is I guess I am disputing his number for
11	not reimbursing Cenestin, I guess, in the second	11	open, what he defines as an open formulary,
12	tier, are you?	12	versus what the audits say are open formularies.
13	A. I don't understand your question	13	Q. Okay. So your point is, he is

because this is not product specific.

14 What this is saying is basically 15 what the Wyeth data was saying, and that is that 16 17 when you look at formularies for HMOs, what you 18 are going to find is that there is only 9.7 19 percent of those defined as open that strictly 20 have one tier.

21 Of all of the formularies in HMOs, 9.7 percent of those formularies can be defined 22

saying, as you say in your report, that 65 to 70 14 15 percent are open. You are saying that that is not 16 17 possible, but you are not disputing that, in 18 fact, the products could still be being 19 reimbursed at a second tier co-pay. 20 A. I am saying that I don't know who 21 would be doing that, correct. Q. Okay. Are you aware of any data 2.2

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 169 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

as totally open, or just one tier, everybody pays the same thing. Q. Okay. I guess what I am trying to

understand is how are you trying to use this data as it relates to how Cenestin was treated within

Well, what I am basically saying is the fact that there is only so many HMOs that is open. He is referring to 60 to 75 percent of managed care lives being in open formularies, and that is just not true.

12 Q. Okay. But, if, in fact, what was going on is like let's take the example of, as 13 Exhibit 1079 that we were just looking at, United 14 Healthcare handles HMOs across the country, 44 15 different regional plans. 16

17 A. Uh-huh.

10

11

18 And they say that at the time 19 Cenestin considered non-formulary, however it is 20 being reimbursed at the majority of their plan at 21 the \$13 co-pay, right?

22 And so what I am trying to

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	that shows how Cenestin was being reimbursed by
2	HMOs, other than the documents produced by
3	Duramed in this case?
4	A. Yes. By Wyeth documents.
5	Q. Okay. Wyeth documents that you are
6	talking about, that's the Scott Levin data?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Let's look at your report here. You
9	say oh, "Wyeth personnel," this is on Page 9
10	of your report, "reported that Duramed's access
11	to managed care was restricted by Wyeth's
12	contracts."
13	A. Uh-huh.
14	Q. And, the basis for that, you've got
15	this Footnote 3 here, this is WYE 23598 and
16	117064, correct?
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q. Now, I looked, both of those
19	documents relate to ExpressScripts. And those
20	are situations where, you know, you've got the
21	Sally Miller e-mails that we talked about before, \ensuremath{S}
22	where she told somebody at ExpressScripts that

Filed 05/13/2005 simplaye 44 of 91 Case 1:01/1-60-60704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4

they had a contract and expected people to live care, there are documents in this case, sir, that up to it and so on. show what would happen when people would take a Α. Uh-huh. 3 ο. That's what you have cited in your 4 So you would have salespeople within report. 5 Duramed who would take a script and take it to There were others. This, these are the Walgreen's and see what would happen, whether Α. the ones, right, that I cited. it would go through or not, and they would pull ο. All right. Well, if there are out I think a United Healthcare card, have you others, why didn't you cite them in your report? seen those documents? You know I am new at this. 10 10 A. No, I have not. 11 Okay. Well, I mean, this in terms 11 Would that be relevant at all to you 12 of fairness to the Wyeth side, I mean, we try to 12 in terms of your understanding as to whether 13 look at what you have cited and make, see if we 13 these products would be reimbursed at the same can understand why you've reached the conclusions co-pay? 14 14 A. Probably would. 15 and probe that. 15 And, if the documents show that in 16 What documents are you thinking of 16 17 that lead you to believe that Wyeth had the, its 17 the majority of instances, well over the own belief that Cenestin was not reimbursed in 18 percentages that are indicated here, the products 18 60 to 75 percent of HMO lives at the same co-pay are being approved at a brand co-pay rate the 19 19 20 as Premarin? 20 same as Premarin, would that impact your

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 173 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

21

22

conclusions at all?

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

A. I don't remember. This was from my

Ο. Here is what I am trying to co-pay, then it might. understand. 2 Is it your view, based upon the 3

documents that you have seen in this case, that 60 -- strike that. Is it your view, based upon the documents that you have seen in this case, that,

in fact, it is not accurate to say that 60 to 65

percent of formularies are open?

10

A. I am saying that.

11 Okay. And, here is what I am trying 12

to figure out what you are not saying.

13 You are not disputing that, in fact,

Cenestin may be reimbursed at a second-tier 14

co-pay in 60 to 75 percent of lives, correct? 15

I am saying that it would be very 16

17 hard to believe that that is happening, but it is

18 possible.

21

22

original report.

19 Okay. Have you looked at the 20

documents that in addition to sort of the reports

21 that come in from Viking, or the summary reports

from Marty Carter, who is in charge of managed 22

A. If the only thing occurring was that

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

The issue that I would have is if you look at the way PBMs are dealing with a lot of these issues, and as we started to get into before, the programs that PBMs offer like counter detailing to the physicians, like the messages that come up on the prescription at the prescription counter for their preferred drug 10 And even though a company like PCS 11 is reputed to have Cenestin on at the same price 12 or co-pay as Premarin, there are still a half a 13 dozen other things that can go on that would make it more difficult for that prescription to be 14 filled or for someone to try and change the 15 doctor's mind and give them Premarin. 16 17 Q. Let me ask you a couple more 18 questions about your chart on page 8. 19 Do you know what portion of the 20 market falls within the commercial group, the 21 Medicaid group, and the Medicare HMO market? I believe, and I don't have the 22 Α.

Case 1:01/1-60/-010704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simplifye 45 of 91

1	numbers right here, so, anything I am going to	1	managing healthcare costs and more restrictive in
2	give you is a guess, but that the Medicaid and	2	terms of plan design than, let's say, PBMs?
3	Medicare are very, very minimal.	3	A. I don't know that to be the case.
4	And you can certainly see that when	4	Q. Okay. Do you know one way or the
5	you look at Medicaid, which has open formulary as	5	other?
6	38 percent versus the total at 9.7, so it can't	6	A. Don't know.
7	be impacting it too much.	7	MR. COHEN: Gordon, excuse me, it is
8	And certainly I believe they are	8	one o'clock. I was wondering if you are getting
9	less than 10 percent both. But, I can't swear	9	close to a break time.
10	to it.	10	MR. DOBIE: Yes, let's take a break.
11	Q. And certainly, within Medicaid and	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
12	Medicare, those would both be situations, though,	12	record. The time is one o'clock.
13	where, by law, Cenestin would have to be	13	(Recess 1:00-1:40 p.m.)
14	available at the same level as Premarin, correct?	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the
15	A. I don't know that. Is there a law	15	record. The time is 1:40.
16	that says that?	16	BY MR. DOBIE:
17	Q. Well, regulations or however.	17	Q. Mr. Simon, I want to ask you about
18	A. I think each state handles their own	18	Page 9 of your report, the portion of the report
19	Medicaid thing, and there are, even states have	19	that is headed: "Restriction in 25 to 40 percent
20	lists of drugs that they would prefer, or list as	20	of Market is Sufficient to Seriously Affect

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 177 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 1

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Cenestin was on all of those lists?

A. No.
Q. Or whether they tried to get on the lists?

A. No.
Q. Would there be any formulary impediment, that you are aware of, that Wyeth had

with any state in terms of formulary placement?

A. In terms of formulary, there is only

examination, in this case, whether or not

Q. Okay. Have you made any

21

22

10

three states that are really tough, New Jersey,

Illinois and the other one escapes me. It could

be Connecticut or Massachusetts, I don't

remember.

But, there are other issues, because

But, there are other issues, because
when you get programs like in Tennessee you've
got TennCare which their programs are managed by
Promark. Promark will actually manage their
formulary and they will manage it for Medicare
and Medicaid, I believe.

21 Q. Okay. Is it true generally, in your 22 experience, that HMOs are more aggressive about

You note in that section, you've

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

give an example of a drug being disadvantaged by

21 Cenestin's sales."

2.2

a third of the doctors managed through patient load, and you say, assume a doctor has written 10 prescriptions for a drug Cenestin that is not approved by three of those 10 patients' health That translates into three pharmacy calls, the office nurse must pull the chart and the doctor has to review it during and at the end 10 of office hours, et cetera. 11 Here is my question for you, sir, 12 are you aware as to whether or not that has 13 happened in this case? A. Specifically to Cenestin, no. 14 O. All right. In fact, are you aware 15 16 of whether or not prior authorizations are used 17 in any significant extent by managed care as it 18 relates to Cenestin and this entire low cost 19 20 A. I do not know if they have 21 implemented prior authorization to Cenestin, no.

And do you know whether or not NBC

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 178 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 18

2.2

Ο.

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp Page 46 of 91

1	blocks are w	used in connection with Cenestin?	1	Q. Doesn't that suggest that there
2	A.	I do not know that.	2	might not be a market for determining that
3	Q.	Now, you cite, in the footnote to	3	there is not a market for a product that tells
4	this section	n you cite your experience with	4	doctors whether products were on formulary or
5	Medi-Span,	correct?	5	not?
6	A.	Correct.	6	A. I don't think that because a product
7	Q.	And, at Medi-Span you did a survey	7	isn't on the market means that there is not a
8	of 12 to 24	physicians, correct?	8	market for it.
9	A.	Right.	9	Q. Well, I mean, this is something that
10	Q.	And, the purpose was to determine	10	you thought of doing, I guess at Medi-Span.
11	whether the	re is demand for a product that would	11	Have you read Dr. Gibson's report?
12	tell doctors	s whether drugs were on formulary or	12	A. Yes.
13	not?		13	Q. This is the same idea that
14	A.	Correct.	14	Dr. Gibson had at one point in his career, are
15	Q.	And, no one ever brought the product	15	you aware of that?
16	to market?		16	A. No, but I take your word for it. It
17	A.	Correct.	17	is a problem.
18	Q.	And, so, in other words, no one was	18	Q. Does the fact that there is not a
19	willing to b	pasically put out money into a product	19	service that tells doctors what products were on
20	that would t	tell doctors whether or not products	20	formulary, isn't that supportive of the idea that
21	were on form	mulary or not?	21	formulary status, by itself, doesn't matter?

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 181 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

That would be a convenient

1 conclusion, but that would not be right. The
2 reason the product never came to market was
3 because the company was sold, was purchased by
4 First DataBank.
5 Q. All right. Are you aware of anybody

that has ever sold a product that tells doctors
whether the products are on formulary or not?

A. Absolutely, yes.

Q. And what product

Q. And what product is?

10 A. The pharmaceutical companies
11 continue to take publications around to the

continue to take publications around to the

doctors that show what products are preferred or

what products are on the formulary in their book

14 of business.

12

13

22

15 Q. All right. That wasn't my question.
16 My question is: Do you know whether or not
17 anyone has ever developed a product that is sold
18 that would tell doctors whether a product is on

19 formulary or not?

20 A. I don't know.

21 Q. You are not aware of any?

22 A. No.

that on the market.

Q. Let me ask you about, you talk about spillover, it sort of starts there and then it 4 5 carries on to Page 10. And, there is a quote that is in the 7 middle of the page on Page 10 that starts out with: "I have patients from several, some claim as many as 12 managed care organizations," and 10 you go on there. 11 Where did you get the language for 12 that quote, sir? A. This is not a quote, and there is no 13 quotation marks. This is more a example of the 14 kinds of feedback that I was getting when I 15 talked to physicians. 16 17 Q. All right. When you -- you are 18 talking about when you talked to physicians in 19 connection with the Medi-Span project?

I fail to draw that conclusion. And

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

I also don't know that there isn't a product like

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 182 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 18

20

21

2.2

Α.

for spillover?

Yes.

Q. Where did you get your definition

Filed 05/13/2003 simplage 47 of 91 Case 1:01/1-60-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4

these payer categories, it is basically the same. Okay. 2 This is just the way I perceive, 3 Do you think that doctors are unable Α. what I perceive to be spillover. 4 to differentiate between cash paying customers, Is your thought of spillover almost ο. Medicare and Medicaid customers that they are in the, as akin to habit? not, the doctors just aren't cognizant of the Could be. fact that these are people that are, don't have Α. ο. Okav. So, for example, what you are an insurance plan? saying is that in the, to the extent that a I don't know, your use of the word physician gets used to writing a prescription for cognizant, I don't think that this is the top of 10 10 11 Premarin, because it is on formulary, that that 11 mind thing for physicians when they have a 12 could carry over into the Medicaid or cash or 12 patient in the room is which healthcare plan do 13 Medicare market, something like that, correct? 13 they belong to, because I am going to use the drug that is on that formulary. 14 Α T agree 14 O. All right. And, do you think that 15 O. There are drugs that have been very 15 there is also habit that could be developed, for successful in being promoted to the cash market 16 16 17 example, from the physician writing a 17 that are not on the managed care formularies, 18 prescriptions for Premarin for 40 years, that aren't there? 18 without even knowing that that is on formulary or 19 19 A. There probably are. 20 not, that that too could carry over into the cash 20 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Lescol, 21 or Medicaid market? 21 for example? A. I agree. A. I am familiar with Lescol. 22 22

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 185 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 187

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And, do you think that there could also be spillover in the sense that to the extent that a physician learned, for example, that Cenestin didn't have the 1.25 dosage, and they had a significant portion of their patient population that needed that size of a product, that that could spill over into their prescribing habits in the future for Cenestin? I think that is possible for the time that the product doesn't have a 1.25 product 10 11 on the market. I think that frankly that really is

Top of my head.

12 13 a paraphrase, which is what I did here, of what I am saving later on in the document where I sav 14 that, you know, if you look at the different 15 categories of business, and tell me if I am off 16 17 track from what your question was. 18 But, if you look at the different categories of business, doctors do write the same 19 20 product for managed care, for cash, for Medicaid,

22 If you look at the market shares of

for Medicare.

21

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Cenestin in all of these categories, or all of

And Lescol is a product, it is a 2 lipid to reduce -- it is like a Lipitor and the other cholesterol-reducing products, correct? 3 Uh-huh. 4 Α. 5 And, Lescol was a product that was introduced into the largely into the cash market 6 by having a deep discount, right? A. But, they also tried to get contracts with managed care as well. Q. And, do you know what Lescol's 10 11 market share is within the cash market as it 12 compares to the managed care area? A. I do not know. 13 You said that you know that they 14 were trying to get contracts. Would you assume 15 that Lescol's market share would be the same 16 17 within managed care as it is within the cash 18 marketplace? I wouldn't assume that at all. I 19 20 mean Lescol and Cenestin, it is a different 21 situation. 22

Lescol doesn't have the same generic

Case 1:01/1-62/1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim 🖺 สิปูโย 48 of 91

A year ago.

2	category of products. So, I don't know that.	2	Q. Well, isn't the first thing you do
3	Q. So	3	when you go in to see the physician they ask you
4	A. I think it is possible.	4	for your insurance card?
5	Q. I mean, so in other words, you would	5	A. Yep.
6	recognize that there isn't always spillover, the	6	Q. When you go to the emergency, even
7	doctors are able to differentiate between	7	in the emergency room
8	products and patients within the cash and insured	8	A. Now, wait a minute though. Who is
9	market, correct?	9	it that asked you for the insurance card, it
10	A. I think that there may be times when	10	isn't the doctor.
11	a doctor could make that. I don't think it is a	11	Q. Doesn't that go into the folder that
12	doctor doing it as a course of business, asking	12	is then handed to the doctor when you are sitting
13	his patient, well, are you going to be buying	13	down with him?
14	this.	14	A. And in the two minutes that you see
15	If a mother comes in and says, oh,	15	the doctor, I promise you, he is not looking,
16	my God, doc, I'm taking that Lipitor, and that	16	geez he's got MediMed prescription plan, that is
17	stuff, I'm paying \$110 for.	17	XYZ. It's not happening.
18	So now the doctor says, well, you	18	Q. You don't think that the doctors
19	know what, let me give you Lescol, because it is	19	know, though, whether they have a patient that is
20	40 percent cheaper.	20	a cash-paying patient, versus a patient that has
21	So, I don't think that what you are	21	got insurance?

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 189 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

2.2

Α.

They might.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

asking and what the net result are necessarily

name as anything else that is in Lescol's

the same. Do you understand where I am coming No, I don't. I mean, sir, if in fact the market share of Lescol within the cash market is four times that within managed care, doesn't that tell you that, in fact, doctors are drawing those types of distinctions? No, for just the reason I told you. 10 If the patient, the doctors finds 11 out after the patient comes back and complains 12 about what he is paying for a medicine, then the 13 doctor might change the prescription to something that he knows is less expensive, that the Lescol 14 representative told him was less expensive. 15 I don't know that the doctor is 16 17 making an informed decision at the time they 18 write that first prescription for Lescol, that,

oh, this is a cash patient, I've got to give them

Q. When was the last time you went to

something that is cheaper. I don't think so.

19

20

21

22

the doctor?

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Do you think doctors know that they 2 have a patient that is a, let's say a Medicare patient versus an insurance or cash-paying patient? 5 A. They might. 6 O. Have you ever looked at the data to see to what extent the doctors are, in fact, aware of whether the patients are paying cash versus insurance? 10 A. Do you have such data? I have not 11 looked at it. 12 Q. All right. And so, in connection with preparing your report, you didn't look for 13 that type of data? 14 А. 15 No. You are not familiar with that type 16 Ο. 17 of data? 18 No, I am not. 19 Q. You state here that your own 20 experience with physician interviews is they tend 21 to use the products that generate the least calls from pharmacists and patients. 2.2

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp สิชูย์ 49 of 91

1	Is that based upon your Medi-Span	1	Q. And, the difference between the
2	interviews?	2	Premarin price and the Cenestin price at launch
3	A. It is based on that as well as my	3	was less than a dollar, correct?
4	experience in the field.	4	A. This is correct.
5	Q. Okay. What experience in the field	5	Q. And, what you are trying to explain
6	specifically are you	6	in this section in this report is that this
7	A. My experience calling on physicians.	7	doesn't have to do with the cash market as much
8	Q. Okay. And when was the last time	8	as it has to do with what insureds, what insured
9	you called on physicians?	9	individuals would pay for Cenestin in the event
10	A. '83.	10	that the product was not reimbursed at the
11	Q. Okay. So, you are relying on your	11	second-tier co-pay, correct?
12	experience calling on physicians in '83 and your	12	A. Yes.
13	Medi-Span experience, anything else?	13	Q. And, so what you have done is you
14	A. Of a formal nature, no.	14	have compared the cash prices for Cenestin versus
15	Q. How about on an informal nature?	15	the second-tier co-pays and that is on Table 3,
16	A. Just talking to friends and being in	16	for example.
17	marketing meetings and things like that. You	17	A. Correct.
18	continue to hear these things.	18	Q. Now, what is the basis for your
19	Especially when you go to like	19	belief that insured women that are going in to
20	they're having a convention in town right now for	20	get a script for Cenestin that do not, that
21	health information management companies.	21	aren't, I guess, being reimbursed at the
22	Some of these things have, they have	22	second-tier for the product would pay the cash

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 193 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 1

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

physicians that are on these committees. You
talk to doctors, you hear the kinds of things
that they are saying.

But I can't say that anything
relevant to this issue that we are discussing

heard nothing since that would change my mind. ${\tt Q.} \qquad \hbox{Let me ask you about Section F of} \\$

right now, that I have discussed, but I have

your report, that you say: "Retail prices are not insignificant compared to MCO co-payments."

A. Right.

Q. Now, and you have, for example, you have the cash prices of Premarin and Cenestin on Table 2 of your report on page 12, correct?

15 A. Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

Q. And, if you look through it, you've
got for cash prices, for example, the difference
between Premarin at launch and the .625 -- let me
back up.
The .625 milligram product is the

21 most prescribed product, correct?

22 A. Yes.

4/1/2003 Z.Z/ FIVI

manufacturer? A. I called about a half a dozen or so pharmacists and asked that question. And they gave me, frankly, cash prices that were significantly higher than these. Q. Okay. No, they told that you the cash prices are higher than what is in Table 2? 10 A. Yes. But, you know, you can't go 11 back and look at 2003. I can't call someone 12 today and say what was your price in 2002. Q. My question is a different one? 13 For the record, let me hand you what 14 I have marked as Exhibit 7. Let me give you what 15 we will mark as Exhibit 8. 16 17 (Simon Exhibit Numbers 7 and 18 8 marked for 19 identification.) 20 BY MR. DOBIE: 21 Q. I will have you look at these while I am handing them to you, if you would, sir. 2.2

price, as opposed to the pharmacist, retail

pharmacist's negotiated price with the

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	(Simon Exhibit Number 9	1	MR. COHEN: Gordon, excuse me, just
2	marked for identification.)	2	for the record, I assume, since there is a lack
3	BY MR. DOBIE:	3	of Bates numbers here, that these were not
4	Q. I will also give you Exhibit 9.	4	documents that were produced to us previously,
5	Now, for the, record sir, Exhibits 7, 8 and 9	5	correct?
6	are the first, Exhibit 7 is a document from	6	MR. DOBIE: These are not documents
7	Merck Medco, it is the retail Medicare Retail	7	from Wyeth. These are documents that I obtained
8	Network Schedule A and describes how they are	8	for purposes of cross-examination of the witness.
9	going to reimburse the pharmacist.	9	MR. COHEN: Okay.
10	Exhibit 8 is the PCS Retail Pharmacy	10	BY MR. DOBIE:
11	Program Services Benefit Plan, and Exhibit 9 is	11	Q. And, so, for the record, sir, are
12	the Anthem Program Conditions for Community Rx	12	you familiar with contracts like this between
13	National Medicare Risk Network. And I have got	13	PBMs and pharmacies?
14	more of these if you need to see them.	14	A. I no, I was not.
15	But, my question is, if you look,	15	Q. Are you, did you ever ask
16	for example, at Exhibit 7, it indicates that the	16	Mr. Einhorn, who represents CVS or Rite Aid in
17	pharmacy is agreeing to charge the lower of the	17	the one discussion you had with $\ensuremath{\text{\text{him}}},$ about what
18	pharmacy's usual and customary price, or the	18	contracts CVS and Rite Aid might have with
19	average wholesale price minus some percentage	19	managed care organizations?
20	plus a dispensing fee.	20	A. No, I did not.
21	Do you see that?	21	Q. And, you understand this, sir, that
22	A. Yes.	22	in terms of your charts here, when you are

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 197 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Right. And, if you go to Exhibit 8, this is the PCS version of their pharmacy contract. In the very first bullet point the pharmacy is being asked to accept reimbursement based on the lower of the average wholesale price less a percentage --

Under Part A?

A. Where are you now?

First bullet point. And a dispensing fee, or the, what's called the MAC for applicable generic drugs plus a dispensing fee, or by a pharmacy's usual and customary price.

And then in Exhibit 9 we've got the

15 Anthem version and they again talk about, for those products not on our MAC list, the pharmacy 16 17 is supposed to charge the lesser of the AWP minus 18 a percentage plus a dispensing fee, or the

19 dispensing pharmacy's usual and customary charge.

20 Do you see that?

21 Yep.

10

11

12

13

14

22 Q. Okay.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

comparing the co-pays as indicated in Table 3 to

the cash price, that, in fact, for insured customers at least as indicated within Merck Medco PCS and Anthem, that, in fact, the pharmacy would charge the patient the lower of the usual customary or the AWP minus a percentage plus a 10 11 12 13 14 15

21

dispensing fee? Uh-huh. You have to respond verbally. And, so this chart -- here is what I did to try to move the discussion along. You have that data on page 12, at least as it relates to Cenestin prices for one year. And so, here, for example, down at 16 the bottom you've got Cenestin prices and you've 17 got PBM, you've got an AWP minus 12 percent plus 18 a \$2 dispensing fee.

19 20

Q. Again, it is blacked out in these documents.

22 Α. Right.

1	Q. But, do you know if that is	1 on Table 2.
2	A. Why is it blacked out?	2 Q. Right. But, when patients pay
3	Q. This is the way it was produced to	3 16.92, that is what they pay, that is what a
4	me by the pharmacies.	4 situation where they are paying cash.
5	A. Okay.	5 That is not a situation where they
6	Q. Do you know whether or not the this	6 are paying the negotiated price.
7	formula that you have on page 12, AWP minus	7 A. Correct. Yes, it is. It includes
8	12 percent plus \$2, is a fairly common formula	8 all of them. This is all of it.
9	that is used in connection with determining the	9 Q. Okay. And, what is the basis for
10	negotiated price between a pharmacy and a managed	10 your belief that that is, in fact, the case?
11	care organization?	11 A. Because this is from IMS data, every
12	A. I do not know that. I used a number	12 patient that goes in and pays cash for a product
13	that was used by	13 comes up with, adds up to this average price.
14	Q. Dr. Schafermeyer?	14 Q. Well, look at your example here, for
15	A. Or McDonough, one of the two.	15 example, where you've got the negotiated
16	Q. Schafermeyer. Do you have any	16 you've got this \$19.89 here, which is an AWP
17	reason to disagree with that?	17 minus 12 percent plus a \$2 dispensing fee.
18	A. With regard to PBM reimbursement?	18 A. Right.
19	Q. Yes.	19 Q. That is for the time period first
20	A. No.	20 half of 2002, all right. 19.89, right, compare
21	Q. Do you have any reason to disagree	21 that to what you have in the first half of 2002
22	that that is not a with Dr. Schafermeyer's	22 for the cash price, you are showing \$23.08 for

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 201 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 203

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

conclusions that pharmacies typically, their the same size dosage.

2	negotiated price is an AWP minus a certain	2	A. I am showing \$22.24.
3	percentage plus a dispensing fee?	3	Q. Oh, for Cenestin, all right. So the
4	A. Based on what you are showing me	4	difference is \$2.80 or something?
5	here, I have no reason, but I don't have any idea	5	A. Something like that.
6	what the negotiated price would be or how many	6	Q. Here is all I am getting at. You
7	companies would offer that.	7	don't, when you prepared your chart, and you put
8	Q. Okay. If, in fact, an insured	8	this table together, you are comparing the cash
9	patient paid the negotiated price because it was	9	prices to the, to second-tier co-pays, as opposed
10	lower than the usual and customary cash price,	10	to the pharmacist's negotiated price, correct?
11	then you would have to, you would have to adjust	11	A. Correct.

- Q. All right. Now, let me ask you 12 your Table 2 and Table 3, correct? 12 about --13 13
- And that was, in fact, what 14 typically happened for insured customers? 14 A. No. Actually to the PBM price.
- A. Actually, you know, that is an Q. To the PBM, okay. Let me ask you 15 15
- interesting -- an interesting approach. But, the 16 about page 5, I am sorry, page 14, Roman 16
- 17 data that you are looking at in Table 2 is audit 17 Numeral V.
- data that frankly should include that. MR. COHEN: Just, are you finished 18 18
- 19 Q. This is data that shows the cash 19 with this line of questioning? 20 price for the product. 20 MR. DOBIE: No, I am not quite done.
- 21 A. This is data that shows what 21 MR. COHEN: I just wanted to patients paid for the product, not the cash price interpose what will be a continuing objection to 22 22

Case 1:011-1601-0107041-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 simplifye 52 of 91

1	the use of these documents.	1	minus 12, which is \$88 plus the \$2, minus any
2	Two of these documents have no date	2	co-pays they collect.
3	on them. One of these documents is dated	3	Q. And that is how it worked when you
4	November of 1997. So, I object on the basis of	4	worked in a pharmacy?
5	the relevance, because there is no date on these	5	A. Yes. Is that different than what
6	documents.	6	she is saying?
7	BY MR. DOBIE:	7	Q. I believe so. But that's
8	Q. Well, if I showed you ones from	8	A. Interesting.
9	other time periods, would that make any	9	Q. The heading here, Duramed marketing
10	difference to any of the testimony as you gave	10	contains all elements in the standard
11	here today, if they have the same formula?	11	pharmaceutical market elements were executed
12	A. From my testimony?	12	in a standard manner.
13	Q. Yes, sir.	13	Now, sir, in your report that we
14	A. No.	14	marked at the beginning of the deposition you,
15	Q. You say, at page 11 of your report:	15	this section of your report you removed a number
16	"Retail pharmacies typically built PBMs and MCOs	16	of different paragraphs, and you are welcome to
17	on a formula lower than the manufacturers' list	17	look at it to see, but it was basically a
18	price," you say, "it's an AWP minus 12 percent	18	discussion of how the plan was typical for a
19	plus the \$2 dispensing fee, e.g., McDonough	19	small company.
20	report at 20." Okay?	20	Do you recall that?
21	A. Okay.	21	A. Uh-huh.
22	Q. Is it your understanding that that	22	Q. And, you have changed that, or taken

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 205 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 207

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And, you deny in your report, on

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

100, they sell it, they bill it back at the AWP

22

1	is now reta.	II pharmacies bill PBMs?	1	that language out. Is there any reason why you
2	A.	Pretty much, yes. It is my	2	did?
3	understandi	ng that is what McDonough thinks.	3	A. No.
4	Q.	Where did you get that	4	Q. Do you think that the plan was
5	understandi	ng, just from McDonough?	5	typical for a small company like Duramed during
6	A.	No. That is pretty much how we used	6	that time period?
7	to do it who	en I was a pharmacist.	7	A. I think it was typical for a
8	Q.	Okay. Does a pharmacy pay AWP for	8	Duramed company, yes.
9	a, for produ	act?	9	Q. For a company of its size and
10	A.	No.	10	sophistication and so on?
11	Q.	What do they pay?	11	A. Yes.
12	A.	Something less than AWP.	12	Q. Now, you'll recall the Duramed's
13	Q.	So, they'll pay just a list price?	13	sales goals for the first 18 months were 100 to
14	A.	Let's say AWP minus 14 percent, 15	14	150 million in sales?
15	percent.		15	A. I believe it was a 6 percent market
16	Q.	All right. And, then they get	16	share at the end of 18 months, yes.
17	reimbursed a	after they fill a prescription by in	17	Q. And which, I think we talked about
18	terms billin	ng back the PBM at the higher AWP rate	18	this last time, Mr. Arrington told stock analysts
19	even though	they bought it at 14 percent less	19	that he would hit 100 to 150 million in sales; do
20	than that?		20	you recall that?
21	A.	They bought it at 80, the AWP is	21	A. I do recall that.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 206 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 208

22

1	page 14, that a company needs to spend 100	1	MR. DOBIE: Well, let's go off the
2	percent of expected first year annual sales on	2	record. And while we do that, I will ask you to
3	promotion.	3	look at pages, it is explained in more detail on
4	A. Yes.	4	pages 91 and 304 of the exhibit.
5	Q. And, you say that is not a standard	5	You can change the tape.
6	formula, but you don't have any cite in your	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the
7	report.	7	end of videotape number two in the deposition of
8	Do you have a cite for that	8	Mr. Paul O. Simon. We are going off the record.
9	statement, sir?	9	The time is 2:13.
10	A. No.	10	(Recess 2:13-2:16 p.m.)
11	Q. And, in your last deposition, I	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the
12	showed you what we marked as Exhibit 1080.	12	beginning of videotape number three in the
13	A. Right.	13	deposition of Paul O. Simon. We are going back
14	Q. Right. For the record Exhibit 1080	14	on the record. The time is 2:16.
15	is a copy of a document produced by the United	15	BY MR. DOBIE:
16	States Congress, Office of Technology Assessment,	16	Q. Mr. Simon, I drew your attention to
17	and it includes the esteemed Stephen Chantelmeyer	17	a few different pages in the document, we had
18	(ph.), one of the experts that have been hired in	18	page 79, page 91, as well as page 304, that all
19	this case as one of the project staff and	19	talk about United States Congress, Office of
20	principal contractors.	20	Technology Assessment assuming marketing expenses
21	Do you recall this document?	21	in the first year after product approval
22	A. Yes, I do.	22	equalling their total worldwide sales and the

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 209 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 2

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And you recall that this study was

based upon an analysis of six large United States pharmaceutical companies that make up 65 percent of pharmaceutical sales?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And, that 100 percent, on average they spent 100 percent of their sales on marketing during the first year, right?

A. I could believe that. I don't remember reading that. But, I could believe

12 Q. Let me show you page 79 of the 13 report. It is a --

13 report. It is a --

10

11

16

17

18

14 A. Okay.

15 O. It is a chart that shows promotion

and advertising costs as the second item from the

bottom. And it shows 100 percent of year one

sales, 50 percent for year two.

19 Do you see that?

20 A. I see it. I don't know what it

21 means, but I see it.

22 Q. Okay.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

second year being equal to 50 percent of worldwide sales. 3 Do you see that? A. We are back on page 91 now? 4 That was off 304, but, I think it is 5 the same data on all three of those pages. All right. I am still thinking this thing through. On page 304, right. Repeat that again. 10 Q. Those are all examples from United 11 States Congress' document here that we have 12 marked as Exhibit 1086 of how that being essentially a standard rule of thumb the 13 companies are spending 100 percent of their 14 worldwide sales on marketing during their first 15 16 year. 17 And, I guess, in light of that, you 18 know, does that, how do you square that, sir, 19 with the fact that you are denying in your report 20 that there is any standard formula that companies 21 are expected to spend first year annual sales on 2.2 promotion?

Case 1:01/1-4201-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp Page 54 of 91

1	A. I think that if you look at here and	1	marketplace.
2	they even say that they assumed that they were	2	Q. Let me ask you this, because I asked
3	going to be spending 100 percent of their first	3	you this in your last deposition and you asked
4	annual year sale.	4	for a copy of that report, and you got your
5	It doesn't say that they measured	5	deposition, you got the exhibits, and that was
6	and that is what they did. But, besides the	6	two years ago.
7	fact, even if they did what you are going to find	7	Two years ago, sir, I asked you the
8	is like any other product some are going to be	8	question can you think of any brand
9	higher, some are going to be lower, and it	9	pharmaceutical product that got \$100 or \$150
10	depends on the kind of product.	10	million in sales without spending \$100 to \$150
11	If you are coming out with a	11	million in marketing and you said, off the top of
12	product, first off, there is six companies in	12	my head, your answer was I cannot.
13	here at least from the names that I have picked	13	A. No.
14	out, all of them are large pharmaceutical	14	Q. Sitting here today, two years later
15	companies that aren't going to bother with a	15	having had this document, can you name any
16	product, frankly, would never even consider	16	branded pharmaceutical that got \$100 or \$150
17	bringing a product to the market that is going to	17	million in sales without spending \$100 or \$150
18	generate \$100 million in sales.	18	million in advertising expenses.
19	Q. Okay.	19	A. I have not researched it.
20	A. So, to the extent that they are	20	Q. Okay. And you have been looked at
21	going to spend, you know, to build a product, I	21	the marketing issue either?
22	would love to see, and one of the products that	22	A. No.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 213 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

would love to see, because there were other manufacturers that came out with preparations of verapamil, what that second or third manufacturer actually put in to their sales efforts for their product. 0. Why would you want to see that? A. To see if they invested in 100 percent to get that.

they mentioned in here was verapamil, Isoptin, I

10 Q. All right. And, if they did, what 11 would that tell you?

12

13

A. It would tell me that that is what they did. They invested 100 percent.

O. And would that also tell you that 14

that would be a rule of thumb? 15

It would tell me that in that 16 Α. 17 particular case as well, they would do that. 18 When I look at this and the one

19 thing they do say is these are all NCEs, these 20 are all new chemical entities that are being

21 introduced, not a product that, as Kolassa calls

22 it, a me-too drug that is already in the

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And let me show you a document that was marked in your last deposition as Exhibit Number 1081. A. This is the same one you told me last time; isn't that right? Q. Yes, sir. And specifically, this is the IMS study that was done of products that were launched throughout the whole 1990s, and the average amount of promotional spend, average amount of share of voice that they spent --10 11 promotion spend in order to achieve certain 12 results. A. Uh-huh. 13 Q. And, we looked last time, and let me 14 15 draw your attention to page 18 of the report, "According to this IMS data, there is no 16 17 product" -- this is page 18 -- "that ever 18 achieved more than a 5 percent market share after 19 one year without spending at least or having at 20 least a share of voice of 20 percent or more," 21 A. That is what this says. 2.2

1	Q. And. I asked you, at your last	1	force that was inexperienced," and so on.
2	deposition, at pages 205 and 206 of the	2	And your response to all of that is:
3	deposition: "Can you name for me one product	3	"Well, it was done in a standard manner and it
4	that didn't have a 20 percent share of voice that	4	was done in an appropriate manner."
5	got a 5 percent market share, one branded	5	A. No, my response is that that is not
6	product?"	6	what the audits show.
7	And you answered: "I just haven't	7	Q. What you are saying is that at least
8	thought about it, I will get back to you.	8	in terms of the sales call they are just at
9	"Okay," I said.	9	effective as Premarin or almost as effective and
10	"Answer: I'll think of some.	10	so on.
11	"Question: Please do."	11	I guess my question to you is this,
12	And then you say: "Can I keep	12	though, sir, if this is a marketing plan that is
13	this?"	13	typical for a small company that is executed in a
14	And I said: "Yes."	14	standard manner, all right, take away all of my
15	A. But, you never gave me your business	15	criticism.
16	card.	16	Why do you think that Cenestin would
17	Q. In the two years, sir, since your	17	nevertheless do much better than any other
18	last deposition are you now able to name one	18	product in terms of achieving sales three times
19	product that achieved a 5 percent market share	19	what would be predicted based upon its
20	that didn't have a 20 percent share of voice?	20	promotional span and share of voice?
21	A. I have not done the research to see	21	A. I am saying that no matter what they
22	what products might. I could tell you a few	22	had done, if they hadn't had the roadblocks of

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 217 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

formularies in stopping them from getting the prescriptions filled, they would have done

better.

products I might look at. Q. All right. What products would you look at? 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

J	100% ac.	5	Deceel.
4	A. Nexium.	4	I am saying they had the right
5	Q. Okay. Any others?	5	promotional messages, they did what they needed
6	A. That would be the first one, I mean	6	to do to research and see what the take was from
7	that would be the one that would come to mind.	7	the physicians.
8	Q. All right. Any others?	8	They changed the messages at
9	A. No. If you are now, let me back	9	appropriate times. They did the detailing and
10	up a step. Depending on where we're what	10	sales efforts that they needed to do. They were
11	we're talking about, there are certainly products	11	reaching the physicians with messages that were,
12	on the market that would get more than a 20	12	they felt to be important.
13	percent share with less than 20 percent share of	13	But, if the prescription can't be
14	volume in the generic market.	14	filled at the drugstore, so what.
15	Q. Right. I am talking about branded	15	Q. But, here is my point. When you say
16	products.	16	that they were doing all of these things, okay,
17	A. Okay.	17	my question is let's assume you are right.
18	Q. Let me ask you this, the point of	18	Let's assume that they are
19	your Section 5, if I understand it correctly, is,	19	absolutely right, they are doing all of these
20	"I can be critical, obviously, that Cenestin is	20	things, in an adequate manner, and I disagree
21	an inferior product, poorly marketed with limited	21	with that. But, let's set that aside and say
22	indications, advanced by too small of a sales	22	that they were.

Filed 05/13/2003 simplage 56 of 91

Why do you think that they would do or on the market. any better than all of the other pharmaceutical 2 companies with all of the other pharmaceutical 3 And, at the time that Wyeth did that products that have launched their products and 4 study, no one knew what the indications were advanced them in the exact same way that Duramed going to be for the product; were you aware of did? that? What difference did that make? When Because they weren't launching a Α. Α. product the same way that these people are. When 8 they asked the question to the pharmacists would you are going to market with a product like you, and they clarified it I believe later on in Cenestin, or something that is a new chemical the research, would you consider making this 10 10 11 entity, it is different than if you are going to 11 switch, they said yes. 12 the market with a product that is already in the 12 Q. Okay. And do pharmacists prescribe 13 marketplace and you can position yourself 13 drugs? directly against it, such as Premarin. 14 14 Α No. They fill prescriptions.

to go out and put promotional dollars to the 16 17 market to say, hey, you should be using 18 conjugated estrogens, they are already being 19

You don't need to go, I don't have

20 Well, by that logic, Wyeth could 21 have decided when it launched Protonix, that because Prilosec was already out there with 22

2

15

223

They are different I believe in the

O. Okav. So the doctors (sic) who fill

Q. Okay. And, as a chemical matter,

Premarin and Cenestin aren't identical, are they?

prescriptions, what research were you aware of

where physicians said that they believe that

Cenestin and Premarin were interchangeably?

A. I am not aware of any.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 221 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Astra-Zeneca, and Prevacid was already out there with Tap that it didn't need to spend any dollars either Α. That is not true. Because that was an NCE.

Ο. Wyeth's was a new chemical entity?

Yes, it was.

Α.

And your view was that Cenestin was ο. the same chemical entity as Premarin?

Yes. In physicians' minds, in 10 11 patients' mind, in the pharmacists' minds, in the 12 research that Wyeth did, their conclusion was 13 that 83 percent of these pharmacists could make this lean 14

Ο. 15 Okav.

And, if there is a class effect, if 16 17 there is a belief that the two products, even 18 though the FDA says you can't use it for osteoporosis, if that exists --19

All right. The study that you are 20 21 making this opinion on, that is a study that was done by Wyeth before Cenestin was even approved 22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

whatever the 8-9 Delta, whatever, but, yes, you're right. 3 O. And --4 A. They are not generically equivalent, 5 they are not AB rated, if that is what you are 6 asking. And, is it your view that Duramed Ο. could nevertheless achieve sales of \$100 to \$150 million with a share of voice of 8 percent and not spending even half of what they expected 10 11 first year sales to be on marketing, because the 12 product was similar to Premarin? 13 Is that your point? A. I guess, what I need to tell you is 14 I can't predict what they would have done, or 15 16

what their market share would have been had they 17 put in another four, five, \$600,000 for 18 promotion. I don't know. 19 Did you examine what the other 20 pharmaceutical companies achieved in terms of

21 sales in relation to the number of details?

What, as in here? 22 Α.

1	Q. Yes, sir.	1	consider, or you did not or were not able to do
2	A. I took this to be accurate. This is	2	written, and you did not do, did not do an
3	true.	3	analysis of the number of prescriptions filled in
4	Q. Have you examined, for example, the	4	proportion to the detailing.
5	James' report in this case? I didn't see it on	5	A. No.
6	your list.	6	Q. Would it be relevant to you in
7	A. No.	7	determining whether or not Duramed's, Duramed
8	Q. Dr. James went through and analyzed	8	faced an impediment as a result of Wyeth's
9	the number of details and then correlated the	9	contracts, how other pharmaceutical, or how other
10	details to market share, somewhat like	10	pharmaceutical companies selling the estrogens
11	Dr. Kolassa's report.	11	did in this category?
12	Would you think, look at your	12	A. No, because none of them were
13	Table 6, for example, in truly determining the	13	excluded, or were included in the sole source
14	effectiveness of a detail, isn't the best way to	14	situation, so what they did doesn't matter, does
15	do it to compare the number of prescriptions that	15	it?
16	are generated per detail?	16	Q. Well, if have you considered at
17	I mean, if you want to figure out	17	all whether or not because the others, as you put
18	how your sales force is selling to doctors, isn't	18	it weren't included in the sole source contract
19	the best way to do it to see whether or not the	19	language, whether their prescriptions were any
20	doctors write scripts as a result of those	20	higher, or whether they achieved any more
21	details?	21	prescriptions per detail than let's say Wyeth
22	A. You raise an interesting point. In	22	did, or Cenestin? Let me restate it.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 225 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 227

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

a perfect world, I think you are probably right.

If the prescription can't be filled,
what good is it?

Q. But, here's the question, did you
look at how many prescriptions were written for
Cenestin in relation to the number of details
that were done?

8 A. First off, you can't look at the 9 number that are written. You can only look at 10 the number that are dispensed.

Q. Okay.

2

11

15

12 A. So, did I look at what was dispensed $\mbox{13} \qquad \mbox{as opposed --}$

14 Q. Yes, sir.

A. No.

16 Q. And, did you look at how many were
17 written in relation to the number of details?

18 A. Again, you mean filled?

19 Q. Your point is that there is not a

20 data source to do written?

21 A. Exactly.

Q. All right. And, so you didn't

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Have you considered at all how any

2	other pharmaceutical companies did in terms of
3	their sales of estrogen products by comparing th
4	number of prescriptions written in relation to
5	the number of details that their sales force did
6	on physicians?
7	A. Here, again, it gets back to the
8	same issue that we have talked about here. If
9	you are talking about products that are
10	different, I can't compare them. It is not an
11	analogous situation.
12	Q. So, your point is, is that overall
13	your view is Duramed could spend less money
14	marketing this product because it could
15	essentially be viewed in doctors' minds as much
16	like Premarin?
17	A. That is part of it. The other part
18	is if you are looking at a product like FemHRT,
19	for the sake of argument, you are looking at it
20	as a promotion against Premarin, Estrace,
21	Estropipate, the generics and all of those other
22	things.

Case 1:01/14cV-007044-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim Paye 58 of 91

1	If you are looking at a product that	1	A. I never said that.
2	is a conjugated estrogen, showing against a	2	Q. No?
3	conjugated estrogen, you are not, how do you	3	A. No.
4	define this market?	4	Q. Okay.
5	What is the market, is there a	5	A. Kolassa said that.
6	market just Premarin? And are you only looking	6	Q. "Is it your opinion, based on years
7	at how the details, et cetera, would affect	7	in the pharmaceutical arena, that the sales force
8	against the conjugated estrogen?	8	is the most important thing in marketing a
9	Is that the market, or now is it all	9	product?"
10	ET and HT? So, I don't know that it is totally	10	"Answer: Definitely."
11	relevant.	11	A. In terms of promotion, I think that
12	Q. I just want to understand your	12	is true.
13	opinion. We may disagree on this, but I just	13	Q. Okay, and you continue to believe
14	want to make sure that I understand it.	14	that it is also true that the sales force is the
15	Is it your opinion that Duramed	15	most important tool that a company has in
16	could spend less money on launching its product	16	marketing a pharmaceutical product?
17	than Wyeth spends in promoting its product, or	17	A. It is the most important promotional
18	any of the other competitors spend on their	18	tool they have in marketing a product.
19	product marketing in share of voice, because it	19	Q. Okay. But all right
20	is a conjugated estrogen?	20	A. If you don't, if you can't get your
21	A. It is my opinion that is if you have	21	product filled though, and I think I make this at

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

deposition, feet on the street, number of --

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

1	marketplace, build awareness and usage, and do it	1	product filled, all of the marketing in the
2	effectively and efficiently, that there is no	2	world, if I would spend \$500 million on the
3	standard rule, if you will, that would apply that	3	product, wouldn't generate \$100 million for me.
4	would say here is what you've got to spend.	4	Q. Okay. You keep talking about if you
5	I mean, I don't know of anybody that	5	can't get it filled. In this, there is no
6	goes to the market and says, well, if I spend	6	instance where a doctor would write a
7	\$100 million, I'm going to get a \$100 million	7	prescription and couldn't, and a patient couldn't
8	product.	8	get it filled.
9	If I spend \$500 million, then at the	9	What they would do is if it is a
10	end of this I am going to have a \$500 million	10	cash patient, they would pay cash, if it was an
11	product at the end of the first year. I don't	11	insured patient, they would pay either the cash
12	think it works that way.	12	price, they could pay the negotiated price, or it
13	I think what you do is you go to the	13	could be a situation where it is on formulary or
14	market, you look at what is out there, you look	14	at that option it could be a situation where even
15	at the doctors. You look at what their comfort	15	though it is not on formulary it is reimbursed
16	level is with other products, you define your	16	like it is, right?
17	marketplace, and you sell your product.	17	A. You keep coming back to that. But I
18	Q. Okay. But, I don't think that	18	say that the if you look at the shares, they
19	answers my question.	19	are not different for cash, for Medicaid, for
20	I mean, you, yourself, said that	20	managed care. The doctor is not drawing that
21	basically at the beginning of your last	21	conclusion.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

22

If the patient calls the doctor and

says, what the heck are you doing to me, doc, I Do you know how Duramed defined the can't take this product, or it is not on my 2 relevant market? formulary, or whatever. I do not. 3 Even if the cash price is only 15 4 Q. Do you know its rebate contracts cents different, the doctor is taking that 5 defined the relevant market? information, he is putting it in his head, and he How Duramed's --6 Α. is saying I never had this problem with Premarin. 7 Yes, sir. Ο. The heck with it I am just going to write 8 A. -- rebates? No, I haven't seen You've seen the Wyeth rebate 10 Q. 10 11 Am I talking too fast, I apologize. 11 contracts, how did Wyeth define the relevant 12 We have covered this already and you 12 market? 13 told me you don't know whether that really ever 13 A. Wyeth basically defined it as the 14 happened 14 whole category 15 But, let's go back to the guestion I 15 ο. Right. And you have looked at IMS asked a moment ago, if you would scroll up here, data, how does IMS define the relevant category? 16 16 17 because I don't think I got an answer to it. 17 It depends. 18 (Record read.) 18 Have you looked at the formularies ο. BY MR. DOBIE: that various managed care organizations and HMOs 19 19 20 Q. That is the question. have produced in this case? 20 21 Let me answer it this way. How are 21 you going to define the market? What is the 22 22 Ο. Do you know whether or not they

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 233 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

typically list, within the category, eight or 10

If the market, if I am Duramed, and I say that my market is Cenestin and Premarin, do you follow me? 5 Then guess what, I've got a 30 percent share of voice, because I do about half of the details that Wyeth does. Q. Okay. Is there anybody who has defined share of voice as, for purposes of marketing research, or any business purpose, that 10 11 only includes Cenestin and Premarin in the 12 relevant products for purposes of measuring share 13 of voice? 14 Α I can tell you that when I was at Bristol we struggled with these questions all the 15

1

16

market to you?

17 products, like BuSpar, which is theoretically a 18 new category product. 19 And, if you are a company like, 20 unfortunately the Vioxx came out there were two 21 or three, Celebrex, at the same time. So, I don't know that. 22

time, especially when coming out with new

A. No. It used to be, for example, like PPIs, that would be its category, there 4 would be three or four products in there. O. Okay. But, let's go back to my 6 7 question. 8 If you assume that the relevant market is the same way that it was defined by Wyeth and Duramed in its contracts, it includes 10 11 all of the ERT and HRT products, is it your belief that Duramed could spend less money and could have a smaller share of voice and still achieve the same sales, or the sales that you had the name conjugated estrogen?

different products?

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

12 13 14 think that they could have achieved because they 15 16 17 A. I think the conjugated estrogen name 18 was worth something to them. I don't know how I 19 am going to answer your question. 20 The conjugated name has a value, and 21 I think that they clearly positioned their 22 product against Premarin.

Case 1:01/1-20/-01/07/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim 🖺 สิปูโต 60 of 91

1	So, even when Arrington went before	1	structuring a contract to make Cenestin totally
2	Wall Street or whenever it was that he made that	2	unavailable in the marketplace.
3	pronouncement, my understanding was he was	3	A. In yes.
4	looking at, you know, positioning it directly	4	Q. Let's talk about that for a minute.
5	against Premarin as well.	5	In your experience selling
6	Q. All right. So, if that was their	6	pharmaceutical products, I am sure you have had
7	goal, if that was Duramed's goal to position its	7	exclusive contracts, right?
8	product directly against Premarin and actually	8	A. Exclusive in terms of
9	take advantage of or use the benefit of the	9	Q. You would be let's say the only
10	conjugated estrogen name, and the history and	10	provider of generic, I mean
11	physicians prescribing experience with that,	11	A. Absolutely.
12	don't you think, as a marketing matter, that any	12	Q Coumadin to a certain company?
13	pharmaceutical company would react to that and	13	A. Right. That's true, that's true.
14	attempt to maintain its customers?	14	Q. And when you have an exclusive
15	A. Absolutely.	15	contract like that, there is somebody else that
16	Q. And, they would have a marketing	16	also makes a generic Coumadin that can't sell it,
17	plan to do so, right?	17	correct?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. What do you mean they can't sell it?
19	Q. And, they would have a strategy for	19	Q. They can't sell it to whoever you
20	how they were going to try to beat Cenestin in	20	have the exclusive contract with.
21	the marketplace, correct?	21	A. First off with generics oh, sure
22	A. Correct.	22	they can.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 237 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 2

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

And, they would try to enter into

contracts with managed care organizations to protect their market share, correct? A. Correct. And, so the only thing that Wyeth is doing that is different than any other pharmaceutical company in its position would or has done is what, sir? I think -- it is in the report. I 10 think they have done several things. And just 11 the fact that they do it, to me the biggest, the 12 biggest problem with regard to the contracts is the fact that they singled out one product, 13 Cenestin, and made that totally unavailable to 14 the marketplace through the way that they 15 structured their contracts. 16 17 So, what do I think? I think that

impossible for Cenestin to be successful.

Q. Okay. When you talk about Wyeth

potentially lose all of your rebates, made it

and the way that they structured their contracts

such that you would lose all of your rebates, or

18

19

20

21

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

All right. Well, then let's back 2 3 They can come back. I have a contract with Cardinal, or whatever, the 4 5 wholesaler, my contract price is at \$25 a hundred. 6 There is nothing to stop company ABC 8 from coming in and saying Cardinal, I am going to give that to you for \$20. 10 Q. That's competition? 11 A. That's right. 12 So, even if you have an exclusive 13 contract, another company can always come in and offer a better price? 14 A. Correct. 15 16 A better product? Ο. 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. And you don't have any objection to 19 that?

20 A. No.
21 Q. And, that's a situation that you are
22 describing where you might be, until that better

Case 1:01/1-420-1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp និង្ហាម 61 of 91

out of the formulary, and can't be filled, there deal is offered, you might be the only provider of that product to that particular company, is nowhere else to go, but Premarin. correct? You would need a new script for 3 Α. Could be. 4 Premarin versus Cenestin, they are not generics, ο. And, when you talk about Cenestin right? being excluded from contracts as a result of In generics, you write a Wyeth's agreements with PBMs, they are not even prescription for Capoten and anybody's Captopril agreements that -- all of these contracts do is can be used make Premarin the preferred product on the If the prescription were written at formulary, right? the physician's office for conjugated estrogens, 10 10 11 It is not an agreement between Wyeth 11 then the pharmacist would have the ability to 12 and let's say ExpressScripts, that they are going 12 give Premarin or Cenestin, either one he wanted, 13 to be the only one selling estrogen products to 13 they aren't written that way. Can you point to anything that would ExpressScripts, right? 14 14 Ο A. I missed that. 15 15 suggest to you that -- well, let's assume that the data that is in IMS is correct, that no You said a moment ago that these 16 Ο. 16 17 contracts make Cenestin totally unavailable in 17 product has ever achieved more than a 5 percent the marketplace. 18 share of prescription market without having a 20 18 percent share of voice. 19 19 20 And my point is, is, in fact, these 20 Can you point to anything about 21 contracts are much less of an exclusive type of 21 Cenestin that would let it defy those odds?

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 241 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 243

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

you can enter into with a generic company because

agreement than even the type of agreements that

they -- let me just finish -- these contracts aren't even an exclusive sale, they are just a contract looking for formulary position. How does a contract that's -- is it your view that any contract that seeks a preferred formulary position for its product makes the other products that are not on formulary totally unavailable in the marketplace? A. It makes it totally unavailable on 10 11 that formulary. And, as I said earlier in the 12 document, even if, you know, even if there is availability, but you're only getting like 30 or 13 40 percent of the product excluded, that will 14 impact the way positions -- physicians prescribe. 15 And you are making a leap, because 16 17 when you are talking about a product like 18 Captopril, which has 13 different generics, I could go to any other manufacturer and get that 19 20 product. 21 With regard to Cenestin, there is

only one manufacturer of Cenestin. If it is off,

22

22

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

A. Yes. The way it defined its market.

1	Q. That being?
2	A. If Premarin was its market, then it
3	had more than a 20 percent share of voice.
4	Q. Okay. But, other than you saying
5	that that is how you define the market, you are
6	not aware of anybody else, whether at a PBM,
7	Wyeth, or Duramed that had ever defined the
8	market as just conjugated estrogens, are you?
9	A. I am not aware of that. I don't
10	know how, you keep coming back to that question.
11	I don't know how to answer it.
12	Q. I mean, if it is your view that, I
13	mean I don't mean to be difficult about it. If
14	it's your view that they could simply achieve the
15	sales that you think that they should have had by
16	virtue of the name conjugated estrogens, much
17	like a generic, then, you know, then that's your
18	opinion and I understand that.
19	But, I guess I don't understand why
20	you think that if they achieve basically a
21	standard marketing program in every other way,
22	that they somehow would buck all odds?

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 24 242 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 24

Case 1:0¹/្រី²/្ ⁵/្រី⁷/្ ⁵/្ ⁵/្ ⁵/₅ SB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 ⁵ ⁵/₅ Fäge 62 of 91

2	million. I don't know, I mean, the 6 percent,	2	Q. Do you think it did?
3	whatever, it depends on how they view their	3	A. I don't know.
4	market.	4	Q. All right.
5	As a company that is looking	5	Oh, in the Wyeth contracts that we
6	specifically at Premarin as their business and	6	have talked about, what is your understanding of
7	that is where they are going to promote against,	7	the extent to which the contracts can or cannot
8	it makes perfect sense to me.	8	be terminated?
9	Q. Oh, let me ask you about this, on	9	You cited the example in the
10	the sampling.	10	generics you have got a deal to sell somebody
11	You disagree with Dr. Kolassa, on	11	Coumadin, or whatever, for \$25, and somebody else
12	page 19 of your report, and you say that: "The	12	comes in and offers a \$20 price for their
13	30-day sample package was not misapplied and that	13	product, and that that is competition.
14	it slowed the prescription uptake."	14	A. My understanding was that the
15	But, what Kolassa's biggest	15	contracts could be terminated in like 90 days.
16	criticism was that the samples were mailed,	16	Q. All right. And, is it your
17	right?	17	understanding that all of the contracts had those
18	Do you think it was that mailing	18	provisions?
19	samples, which are sometimes viewed as the cost	19	A. I am pretty sure.
20	of admission in a physician's office at least	20	Q. And, in light of that being the
21	during this time period, would be the most	21	case, why isn't this the situation with Premarin
22	prudent way to try to get use by doctors of	22	having contracts with managed care organizations

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 245 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 247

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

The magic number for me was not \$100

1 much like what you describe with Teva and 2 generics?

2	A.	I think the most prudent way is to
3	be able to h	and them to the physician, yes.
4	Q.	And, on the giving away of 800,000
5	30-day sampl	es, your point is
6	A.	Is it 800,000?
7	Q.	I believe so. Your point is, is
8	that if they	were used effectively and that they
9	resulted in	women then continuing on with the
10	product, tha	t that would be money well spent?
11	A.	It was 600,000.
12	Q.	600,000,
13	A.	And yes.
14	Q.	But, you don't disagree, do you,
1 5	that if the	galog if what they had prejugted in

13 A. And yes.

14 Q. But, you don't disagree, do you,

15 that if the sales, if what they had projected in

16 sales for the first year was 600,000 30-day doses

17 of being sold, and they instead gave them away as

18 samples, don't you think that that might have

19 been impacted sales at least during that first

20 1999 year?

21 A. Could it have?

22 Q. Yes.

Cenestin?

A. Because I don't go to a company and say you can't use this product. I wouldn't go to a wholesaler and say you can use anybody but Mylan's Captopril. I don't go to Cardinal and say, hey, you know what, if you don't buy my Captopril, I am not going to give you a discount on Tegretol, 10 or Carbamazepine or any of these other products 11 that you are buying. 12 It is not where I am going to take 13 every single thing away from you. We have done bundled contracts and 14 our bundled contracts specifically are, you know, 15 if you take 10 products you get this percent. 16 17 If you want to cut one out, okay you cut one out. Maybe you will only get 9 percent, 18 19 or maybe I can negotiate with you to take this 20 product, and we will keep the 10 percent. 21 Q. So, in your view, one of the

hallmarks to whether the contracting is fair is

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

It could have.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 246 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 2

2.2

Case 1:01/1-420-1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp និង្សេច 63 of 91

1	whether or not the pharmaceutical company is	1	Q. And, if the product was found to be
2	willing to negotiate the extent to which it does	2	the product of the century
3	or doesn't require a buyer to take all of the	3	A. Maybe Synthroid.
4	products?	4	Q. Okay. I think Premarin is the only
5	A. I think you are putting words in my	5	one. If the product was determined by
6	mouth. I think I said that is a part of it. I	6	pharmaceutical marketing to be the product of the
7	think that another part is I don't pick out one	7	century, wouldn't you expect it to be on more
8	particular competitor and say you can't use him.	8	formularies in the exclusive position than any
9	I am not out to destroy another	9	other product in its class?
10	generic manufacturer in my contracts and say	10	A. I don't expect one to have any
11	anybody but this guy.	11	relation to the other.
12	Q. Don't you think, do you think, sir,	12	Q. Okay. The fact that it has been
13	that Duramed was out to beat Wyeth?	13	around for, since the 1940s, would that at all
14	They were out to basically free ride	14	make you think that the product would be more
15	on their conjugated estrogen name, weren't they?	15	likely to be in the exclusive position than the
16	A. I think	16	other products in the class?
17	Q. And they were the ones who pushed	17	A. Not at all.
18	for that label.	18	Q. All right.
19	A. I think it is an interesting	19	A. If anything, just the opposite. How
20	question to which any answer I give you is going	20	many drugs can you mention that have been around
21	to be glib, because the product that they	21	40 years, are exclusive? One? So, no, I

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 249 4/1/2005 2:27 PM 2

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

generic to Premarin.

Q. Right. So you understand that they
were trying to basically take advantage, just
like any generic does, of the marketplace that is
created by the branding company?

A. Exactly.

Q. Now, you say that -- oh, I wanted to

originally were trying to launch was an AB rated

just see -- are you familiar with other exclusive

contracts, and we talked about PPI's, how about

10 $\,$ OC's, insulin, ACE inhibitors, asthma or

11 diabetes?

22

12 A. I not look at them, no.

13 Q. Okay. Can you list any of the top

14 branded drugs in 1974 that are still on the top

15 100 drug list?

16

2.2

A. Oh, my gosh. No, I can't.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. I can tell by the grin on your face

19 that you didn't expect me to answer it.

20 Q. Would you surprise you to learn that

21 the only one is Premarin?

A. I would not be surprised.

7 7/2000 E.E. T III

22 wouldn't expect that.

Premarin has? A. I don't know. Q. Oh, have you ever looked at Merck, 5 Lilly or Pfizer contracts? Α. No. O. Do you know to what extent they commonly bundle? 10 A. I can tell you, and I think we had 11 this discussion last time, that contracts are 12 relatively confidential things. They are not 13 necessarily shared with people like myself. Q. Can you list any examples where 14 Cenestin, at its rebated price, was lower than 15 Premarin's rebated price? 16 17 A. No. What do you mean rebated price? 18 The net price including rebates. 19 A. I can tell you in the, because I 20 haven't re-reviewed the contracts, but, I can 21 tell you that during the initial review in 2002,

there were instances where price concessions were

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Q. Okay. How many products in the

category have all of the indications that

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 250 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

2.2

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp สิชูย์ 64 of 91

The time is 3:05. made that were considerably lower priced than Premarin, but they still didn't get the business. 2 (Recess -- 3:05-3:17 p.m.) Sir, you mentioned a moment ago that 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the Q. record. The time is 3:17. you thought that Wyeth was specifically targeting 4 BY MR. DOBIE: Cenestin. Mr. Simon, I just have a few more When you were at Sigma-Tau and Ο. working on defending the amino acid product for 7 questions for you. If we could go back to your diabetes -- I am sorry, for dialysis? 8 report at pages 12 and 13. Α. And let me ask you if you could look Yes, sir. Weren't you targeting specifically at Table 3, which is the chart that 10 10 11 those generic companies that were, in your view 11 compares Cenestin's retail price versus the 12 unfairly trying to market their product without 12 second-tier co-pays commonly utilized as 13 the same indications and so on that Sigma-Tau had 13 indicated in the Takeda report during this time for its product? period, and you show, for example, the difference 14 14 15 A. Interesting question. At Sigma-Tau. 15 between the second-tier co-pay and the cash price retail for Cenestin in 1999 was \$3.43, correct? we had contracts, but the contracts called not so 16 16 17 much for us, and we never really matched prices 17 Α. Correct. 18 that a generic would have. 18 And if you take it all of the way ο.

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 253 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

19

20

21

2.2

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

We offered them contracts that would

call for us to provide services to them like

this. So, we provided value-added services.

education of their office nurses and things like

In terms of the contracts, the contracts themselves, when the FDA decided not to, how do I want to say this, they decided that they weren't going to discriminate on how they paid for the product because all of this goes through Medicare.

O. Right.

Q. Right.

19

20

21

22

10

12

13

14

15

A. When they decided they were not going to get involved in how the product was paid for, it became, you know, we will offer you this price, we will provide these services, you can go with us.

The large providers went with, in most cases, the lower priced generic. So, did I try and keep my business, absolutely.

16 Q. And sell your customers on the 17 indications for the Sigma-Tau product versus the 18 generic?

19 A. In terms of -- yes, yes.
20 MR. DOBIE: Why don't we go off the
21 record.

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

through 2002, it is as much as \$5.38, the

retail price for Cenestin, correct? ${\tt A.} \qquad {\tt That is correct.}$

difference between the typical co-pay and the

1	Q. For that dosage size?
2	A. Well, for that dosage size and using
3	the data from the Takeda report as to what is the
4	appropriate, you know, what were the tiers,
5	actually tier prices.
6	Q. And what I am wondering, sir, is are
7	you aware of any data that would indicate that
8	patient behavior is different based upon in terms
9	of what products they might demand based upon a
10	co-pay differential of the magnitude that is
11	indicated for the .625 dosage, one month?
12	A. I no, I don't know that. I don't
13	know that that research has ever been done.
14	Q. All right. And so, do you know
15	whether or not a co-pay differential as strike
16	that.
17	When you said I don't know if that
18	research has ever been done, you don't know
19	whether or not research indicates that a \$1.00
20	co-pay differential makes a difference to
21	patients or a \$15
22	A Fyactly

Case 1:01/1-420-610704-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 Simp สิชูย์ 65 of 91

1	Q. And, how about if we took, if we	1	Whether there was actually
2	asked the same question as it relates to	2	sensitivity study or something like that going
3	physicians, do you know if research has been done	3	on, I don't know.
4	in terms of whether a \$3.43 to the \$13.40 per	4	Q. Wait a minute, Tagamet is a billion
5	month co-pay differential would make any	5	product, you are telling me that Hoffmann would
6	difference to physicians?	6	have launched that product without doing a
7	A. I don't know.	7	study
8	Q. At the time that Cenestin was	8	A. Hoffmann didn't launch Tagamet.
9	launched, you mentioned that there was a	9	Tagamet was a SmithKline
10	consultant that was working with Duramed in	10	Q. All right. Is it your view that
11	connection with launching the product, do you	11	SmithKline would have launched Tagamet without
12	recall that?	12	doing a pricing study on their product?
13	A. There was a company or someone that	13	A. I am saying that back in the early
14	was giving them guidance with regard to managed	14	'80s and '70s, late '70s, there was not a
15	care.	15	tremendous sophistication to do primary research
16	Q. Do you know whether or not there	16	with what should be the price of a product when
17	were any studies that were done in connection	17	it is launched.
18	with the decision to set Cenestin's initial price	18	At least not to the extent that they
19	at near parity to Premarin?	19	are going to doctors and asking them what is
20	A. No.	20	their, you know, where are they going to resist.
21	Q. In your experience with branded	21	Q. Okay. How about
22	pharmaceutical companies, is it typically a case	22	A. In the mid-'80s, Baces Burke (ph.)

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 257 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

where a study would be done of what would be the appropriate price at launch? A. Typically, yes. Q. Are you aware, have you been involved in any situations where a branded product was launched, and there was not a study

that was done to determine the appropriate price

at launch?

Α. In terms of brands?

10 Q. Yes, sir.

11 I cannot conclusively say, no. I 12 can't answer that question, I don't know. I 13 mean, to my knowledge some of the products that I launched or that were launched at 14

Hoffmann-La Roche could very well have been done 15

without dollar studies. 16

17 It would be just something that 18 says, here is what the average patient cost is or 19 you take a product like Tagamet, who is brand new 20 to a category, here is what it costs for an

21 ulcer, here is what our product price should be

22 based on the savings we can make.

market with ways to measure them and to look at what is the value of specific things. Q. And, you have -- so, would you say by the late '90s it was common for branded companies to do studies on what would be the appropriate price at the time that they are launching a branded pharmaceutical? I would say that that would be 10 11 Have you ever read Dr. Kolassa's 12 book on pricing separate and apart from any work 13 in connection with this case? Α 14 Nο 15 Q. In your report you talk about the Solvay sales force and the Duramed sales force on 16 17 page 16. 18 A. Uh-huh. 19 Q. Let's start with Solvay. Is it, do 20 you have any understanding as to whether Solvay, 21 whether the sales people that were tasked for selling Cenestin were also involved in selling 2.2

and some of the others started coming to the

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

Case 1:01/1-62/1-01/107/04-SSB-TSH Document 167-4 Filed 05/13/2005 sim 🖺 สิปูโช 66 of 91

1	physicians other estrogen and hormone replacement	1	data that you used for purposes of that table?
2	products?	2	A. This table was originally provided
3	A. I don't understand your question.	3	to me from, I want to say from Duramed during the
4	Q. Okay. You talk about, look at the	4	original.
5	last sentence of the section right before	5	Q. The data that's here?
6	effectiveness, that sentence that says: "The	6	A. The data that's here.
7	Cardinal sales reps all had over one year of	7	Q. So, for example, under Table 5, that
8	sales experience and were only charged with	8	is on page 17, you have got Solvay Pharmaceutical
9	obtaining product knowledge for one product."	9	and you've got Estrotab and you've got Cenestin.
10	Do you see that?	10	A. Right.
11	A. Correct, yep.	11	Q. But, you don't list, for example,
12	Q. All right. So, maybe let's just	12	Estratest, which is another Solvay product, you
13	start with Cardinal. With Cardinal, is it your	13	don't list Prometrium?
14	belief that they were only selling Cenestin?	14	A. That's absolutely right. I only
15	A. Yes.	15	looked at these products in these categories. I
16	Q. And, you have no understanding that	16	didn't look at anything other than that.
17	they were also selling Solvay's products as well?	17	Q. Why is that?
18	A. Correct.	18	A. Because this is the way the data
19	Q. And, is it your belief that that was	19	came to me. This is what I had originally asked
20	true throughout the time period that Duramed and	20	for, and I didn't feel it necessary to go back
21	Solvay were working together as partners?	21	and ask for more.
22	A. I don't know what happened	22	Q. Okay. But, you have got, for

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 261 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

throughout the whole -- when did it -- well, I
don't know.

Q. Okay. And, with Solvay, is it your

understanding that the Solvay sales reps were selling Cenestin and Solvay products or just Cenestin?

.

7 A. No, no, no, Cenestin and Solvay 8 products.

Q. And, do you have any understanding as to what product was prioritized from what position when the Solvay reps were out promoting

12 it?

10

11

13 A. Well, in here, at least when it
14 talks to the estrogen products, it shows that
15 Solvay clearly, by a factor of five times, was
16 spending more time on Cenestin than they were on
17 Estrotab.

Q. Do you know how it compared to the time that they spent on Prometrium (ph.) or Estratest or Luvox?

21 A. I did not.

22 Q. How did you go about pulling the

4/1/2003 2.27 FIVI

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

example, you do have, with Wyeth, you've got the Premarin, you've got the ERT and the HRT

3 products.

4 With Solvay, you've only got some of 5 the ERT products.

6 A. Well, in the same context, I also
7 don't have the fact that, you know, in certain,
8 some of the data that was provided on the Nexus
9 reports from Wyeth, I don't include the data that
10 they have that talks about, you know, this is the
11 product they were talking to before they were
12 talking about Premarin.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. So, I didn't include anything that 15 was extemporaneous or outside of this 16 marketplace.

17 Q. So, this is data that was provided

18 to you by Duramed?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. In electronic format?

21 A. I don't remember.

22 Q. Okay. Did you get any of the data

```
that is used for any of these tables in an
      electronic medium?
            A. The data that I got in electronic I
      gave to you.

    0. Okav.

            A. Or I shouldn't say I gave it to you,
      I gave it to Jay, and I think he forwarded it to
      vou.
                  MR. DOBIE: Mr. Simon, I have no
10
      other questions for you at this time.
11
                  MR. COHEN: Thank you.
12
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You do have
13
      questions or you don't?
                  This concludes today's videotaped
14
     deposition of Mr. Paul O. Simon. This is Tape 3
15
      of three. Going off the record, the time is
16
17
18
19
             (Deposition adjourned at 3:28 p.m.)
20
                    (Signature waived.)
21
22
```

4/1/2005 2:27 PM 265

10/14/2004 Simon, Paul O.

```
CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )
      DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
             I, LORI GOODIN MACKENZIE, the reporter
      before whom the foregoing deposition was taken.
      do hereby certify that the witness whose
      testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was
      sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness
      was taken by me in machine shorthand and
10
      thereafter transcribed by computer-aided
11
      transcription; that said deposition is a true
12
      record of the testimony given by said witness;
13
      that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
      employed by any of the parties to the action in
14
15
      which this deposition was taken; and, further,
16
      that I am not a relative or employee of any
17
      attorney or counsel employed by the parties
18
      hereto, or financially or otherwise interested in
19
      the outcome of this action.
20
                           LORI GOODIN MACKENZIE
                           Notary Public in and for the
21
                           District of Columbia
22
      My Commission expires April 14, 2006
```

\$	1] [210:6,7,17] [212:14] [213:3] [214:8,13] [250:15]	2:16 [211:14] 20 [60:10] [140:12] [205:20]	38 [177:6] 3rd [3:15]
\$1.00 [256:19]	1050 [4:7] 1075 [15:9] [17:1]	[216:20] [217:4,20] [218:12 ,13] [243:18] [244:3]	4
\$10 [37:16,20] [59:22]	1076 [15:18] [62:4]	2000 [17:6] [39:14] [43:18]	
[60:2,9] \$100 [213:18] [215:9,10,16	1079 [161:3,5] [162:20] [168:8] [170:14]	[65:21] [78:4] [137:5] [162:13]	4 [6:10] [82:8] [91:7] [97:19] [118:3,4] [119:2]
,17] [224:8] [230:7] [232:3]	1080 [209:12,14]	2000/2001 [161:9]	40 [141:6] [142:2,5,11,15,18
[245:1]	1081 [216:3]	20007 [4:8]	,22] [144:9] [152:14] [179:19]
\$110 [189:17] \$13 [163:4] [170:21]	1086 [212:12] 10964 [160:13]	2001 [39:15] [137:6] 2002 [63:22] [116:16] [118:	[185:18] [189:20] [242:14] [251:21]
\$13.40 [257:4]	11 [63:22] [160:16] [205:15]	20] [119:11] [137:3] [196:12]	44 [170:15]
\$15 [256:21] \$150 [215:9,10,16,17]	11:29 [109:9,10] 11:2911:43 [109:10]	[203:20,21] [252:21] [255: 19]	45 [161:16] [162:15] 47 [35:22]
[224:8]	11:29-11:43 [109:10]	2003 [28:15] [117:21] [152:	496 [3:9]
\$19.89 [203:16]	11:43 [109:14]	12] [196:11]	
\$2 [200:18] [201:8] [203:17] [205:19] [207:1]	117 [6:9] 117064 [172:16]	2004 [1:18] [2:3] [6:12] [7:13] [21:16] [78:6] [131:1]	5
\$2.80 [204:4]	118 [6:10]	2006 [266:]	5 [5:4] [6:11] [101:7] [130:17
\$20 [59:22] [240:9] [247:12]	11th [6:12] [131:1]	202 [4:9]	,18] [134:18] [204:16]
\$22.24 [204:2] \$23.08 [203:22]	12 [146:1] [181:8] [184:9] [194:14] [200:13,17] [201:	2023378000 [4:9] 202-337-8000 [4:9]	[216:18] [217:5,19] [218:19] [243:17] [263:7]
\$25 [240:5] [247:11]	7,8] [203:17] [205:18]	205 [217:2]	50 [37:13] [55:4] [76:12]
\$3.43 [255:16] [257:4] \$350 [12:6,21] [13:11]	[207:1] [255:8] 125 [72:6]	206 [217:2] 215 [3:9]	[79:14,15] [95:12] [210:18] [212:1]
\$5 [37:16,19]	125 [72.0] 125woman [72:6]	215 [3.9] 2154960300 [3:9]	500 [152:10,19] [155:9]
\$5.38 [255:19]	125-woman [72:6]	215-496-0300 [3:9]	50yearold [95:12]
\$500 [230:9,10] [232:2] \$600,000 [224:17]	13 [59:6] [242:18] [255:8] 130 [6:12]	23 [52:15] 23598 [172:15]	50-year-old [95:12] 55 [152:12]
\$88 [207:1]	14 [1:18] [2:3] [204:16]	24 [160:17] [181:8]	558 [4:16]
	[206:14,19] [209:1] [266:]	25 [162:5] [179:19]	56 [152:12]
<u> </u>	140 [6:14] 1400 [2:4] [7:12]	250 [64:2] 2500 [3:7]	6
.3 [118:12] [119:4] [120:11,17]	14th [7:13]	2531 [66:18]	
[122:5] .45 [118:10] [119:4] [120:7,18]	15 [6:6] [206:14] [233:4] 150 [208:14,19]	2533 [66:19] 2536 [66:4]	6 [6:13] [115:13] [121:6] [127:1] [139:14] [140:2,3,6
[122:4]	16 [49:2] [260:17]	2537 [67:17]	,12] [208:15] [225:13]
.625 [91:12] [92:10] [93:19]	16.92 [203:3]	2539 [73:1]	[245:2]
[94:21] [194:18,20] [256:11] .9 [92:10]	163439 [1:22] 163440 [1:21]	3	60 [49:4] [81:13] [142:15,19 ,22] [159:13] [170:9] [173:19]
	17 [49:20] [263:8]		[174:5,8,15]
0	17101 [3:17]	3 [6:9] [63:10] [64:17] [74:11]	600,000 [246:11,12,16]
01 [1:4] [7:9]	18 [208:13,16] [216:15,17] 1818 [3:6]	[117:16] [118:2,7] [120:7] [172:15] [195:15] [200:1]	60601 [4:15] 606019703 [4:15]
03 [1:11] [7:10]	19 [245:12]	[202:12] [255:10] [265:15]	60601-9703 [4:15]
04 [10:16,17] 07940 [5:5]	19.89 [203:20] 19103 [3:8]	3:05 [255:1,2] 3:053:17 [255:2]	62 [160:20] 63 [6:8] [95:10]
	1940s [251:13]	3:05-3:17 [255:2]	63yearold [95:10]
1	196 [6:16,18]	3:17 [255:4]	63-year-old [95:10]
1 [1:4,11] [6:6] [7:9,10]	197 [6:21] 1974 [250:14]	3:28 [265:17,19] 30 [3:15] [145:8] [160:21]	65 [160:15] [171:14] [174:8] [210:3]
[14:22] [15:1,5] [17:11]	1977 [50:11] [51:14]	[234:5] [242:13] [245:13]	
[131:16] 1.25 [91:11,16,21] [93:10,14]	1990s [216:8]	[246:5,16] 301 [159:16,19] [160:20]	7
[94:6] [95:1,9,11] [97:9,12]	1991 [64:8] 1997 [205:4]	[168:8]	7 [6:15] [66:5] [196:15,17]
[162:4] [186:4,10]	1999 [71:10] [78:3] [246:20]	304 [211:4,18] [212:5,8]	[197:5,6,16]
1:00 [179:13] 1:001:40 [179:13]	[255:16]	30day [245:13] [246:5,16] 30-day [245:13] [246:5,16]	70 [152:9] [159:14] [171:14] 700 [3:16]
1:00-1:40 [179:13]	2	30th [4:7]	70s [259:14]
1:40 [179:15]	2 [6·7] [25·4] [62·14 45 40]	312 [4:16]	717 [3:18]
10 [141:5,21] [144:19] [145:1,6,7,11] [146:3]	2 [6:7] [25:4] [63:14,15,18] [66:4] [158:14] [194:14]	3125585600 [4:16] 312-558-5600 [4:16]	7173641004 [3:18] 717-364-1004 [3:18]
[177:9] [180:3,5] [184:5,7]	[196:9] [202:12,17] [203:1]	33 [169:4]	73 [92:13]
[236:1] [248:16,20] 100 [11:22] [51:2] [79:12]	2:13 [211:9,10] 2:132:16 [211:10]	337 [4:9] 35 [4:14]	744 [65:18,19] 75 [170:9] [173:19] [174:15]
[206:22] [208:13,19] [209:	2:13-2:16 [211:10]	364 [3:18]	77 [71:21] [94:5,20] [96:22]

[97:5]	according [216:16]	[149:2,9,11]	[117:13] [121:2,22] [122:11]
79 [210:12] [211:18]	accurate [23:8] [49:1] [51:2	afterwards [60:17]	[124:4] [130:21] [131:5]
75 [210:12] [211:10] 7th [43:18] [45:4]	,3] [53:1,2] [150:15] [174:8]	again [42:10,15] [43:1]	[132:20] [133:2,12] [134:7
/ til [45. 10] [45.4]			,8,11] [135:2,5,11,17]
0	[225:2] ace [250:10]	[48:9] [56:9] [61:6] [64:16] [77:8] [87:16] [88:4,18]	[136:1] [142:2] [143:5]
8			[144:14] [145:3] [146:5,9]
9 [6:2 47] [445:42] [460:22]	achieve [216:11] [224:8]	[97:13] [137:15] [140:12]	
8 [6:3,17] [145:12] [160:22]	[236:14] [244:14,20]	[167:5] [198:15] [200:20]	[148:8,9,15] [149:21]
[168:10] [176:18] [196:16,18] [197:5,10] [198:3] [224:1,9]	achieved [141:5] [216:18]	[212:9] [226:18] [228:7]	[150:4] [152:5] [153:22] [154:1] [155:13,14,15]
	[217:19] [224:20] [227:20]	against [62:9] [63:4] [110:22]	
80 [51:21] [206:21]	[236:15] [243:17] achieving [144:19] [219:18]	[141:13] [146:18] [151:4] [221:14] [228:20] [229:2,8]	[156:7] [157:14] [159:16]
800,000 [246:4,6]			[163:13,15] [168:15,16] [169:8] [170:3,7,22] [171:9
80s [259:14]	acid [253:7]	[236:22] [237:5,8] [245:7]	
822 [121:10] [123:15]	acquisition [37:15]	aggressive [178:22]	,10,20] [173:10] [174:1,10,11
822women [121:10] 822-women [121:10]	across [113:9] [157:12]	ago [11:10,15] [129:14] [165:6] [191:1] [215:6,7]	,16] [177:1] [186:14,16] [187:13,22] [190:2] [192:18]
	[170:15]		
83 [193:10,12] [222:13]	action [1:] [125:16] [266:14	[233:16] [241:16] [253:3]	[196:22] [204:2,6,16,20]
87 [50:11] [51:14]	,19]	agree [76:1] [78:11] [100:5]	[212:7] [218:15] [219:21]
89 [224:1]	actions [61:15] [126:12]	[103:21] [108:6] [113:8]	[220:4] [223:19] [230:10]
8-9 [224:1]	active [23:17] [24:9] [162:9]	[114:14] [119:9] [128:17,21]	[233:8,11] [234:2] [236:19]
0	activities [36:6]	[134:19] [152:22] [185:14,22]	[239:6] [240:8] [244:9]
9	activity [13:6]	agreed [162:9]	[247:19] [248:9,12] [249:9]
9 [6:19] [52:15] [91:12]	actual [67:10] actually [21:12] [26:14]	agreeing [197:17] agreement [149:1] [167:6]	[253:8] [256:6] [259:13] [266:13,16]
[145:13] [172:9] [179:18]	[27:3] [28:6,15,21] [31:17]	[241:11,22]	america [122:21] [266:2]
[197:1,4,5,11] [198:14]	[32:20,21] [36:8] [38:1]	agreements [20:18] [61:11]	america [122:21] [200:2] american [121:12,18]
[248:18]	[43:14] [63:12] [71:17]	[241:7,8,22]	amino [253:7]
9.7 [169:18,22] [177:6]	[107:19] [113:14] [118:2]	ahead [14:21] [27:15] [63:13]	amount [123:14] [140:17]
9:39 [2:3] [7:14]	[123:16] [152:14] [156:9]	[118:3] [129:11]	[151:8] [216:9,10]
9:47 [16:3,4,6]	[163:15] [178:18] [202:15]	aid [1:] [3:11] [7:6] [13:17,20]	amoxicillin [69:2,3] [82:17]
9:479:47 [16:4]	[204:14] [214:5] [237:8]	[14:1,3] [65:20] [66:8]	[83:9,12,17] [84:4,9,10,12
9:47-9:47 [16:4]	[256:5] [259:1]	[72:15] [110:5] [199:16,18]	,16]
9:57 [24:20,21]	ad [18:22] [19:15]	akin [185:6]	ampicillin [69:2] [82:19,21]
9:579:59 [24:21]	add [62:22] [65:8]	akron [51:18]	[83:6,14]
9:57-9:59 [24:21]	added [133:8] [135:10]	al [1:]	analogous [228:11]
9:59 [25:1]	[149:10,12]	allow [135:7] [136:20]	analysis [86:22] [139:19]
90 [146:4] [162:14] [247:15]	adding [149:2]	[137:9] [138:17]	[154:4,11] [158:4] [210:2]
90s [260:5]	addition [24:6] [174:20]	allowed [61:11] [84:16,19]	[227:3]
91 [211:4,18] [212:4]	additional [23:4] [41:12,17]	[147:21]	analysts [208:18]
94 [131:12]	[42:11,12] [44:3] [76:3]	allows [123:22]	analyzed [225:8]
96 [133:13] 99 [91:12,17,22] [92:1]	[81:7] [117:1] [120:3] [149:4]	almost [59:8] [66:18,19] [185:5] [219:9]	ancillary [156:3] and/or [150:17]
[161:9]	addme [65:8]	along [13:14] [21:8,10]	anda [60:20]
	add-me [65:8]	[55:4] [116:7] [200:12]	announcements [155:18]
A	adds [203:13]	already [30:16] [89:16]	announcing [118:8] [119:3]
	adequate [220:20]	[123:20] [214:22] [221:12,18	annual [209:2] [212:21]
a.m [2:3] [7:14] [16:4] [24:21]	adjourned [265:19]	,22] [222:1] [233:12]	[213:4]
[109:10]	adjust [202:11]	alternative [75:9]	answer [64:10] [77:3,12]
ab [59:11] [224:5] [249:22]	admission [245:20]	although [91:10]	[80:1,11] [85:8,12] [102:20]
abc [240:7]	advanced [152:8,17] [153:3	always [80:2,6] [85:13,14]	[104:9] [113:17] [122:9]
ability [243:11]	,15,16] [156:19] [157:1]	[90:6] [189:6] [240:13]	[132:6] [152:6] [154:18]
able [19:9] [23:20] [47:10]	[218:22] [221:5]	am [7:16] [9:17] [11:21,22]	[215:12] [217:10] [231:10]
[49:11] [58:8] [156:13]	advantage [74:13] [77:1]	[13:2,4,6,21] [17:19] [23:15]	[233:17,21] [236:19] [244:
[189:7] [217:18] [227:1]	[96:17] [115:7] [117:9]	[27:14] [28:14] [31:20]	11] [249:20] [250:19] [258:
[246:3]	[237:9] [250:3]	[39:14] [40:17] [45:10]	12]
absolutely [32:14] [126:20]	advantageous [155:1]	[46:3,19] [48:1] [52:20]	answered [52:17] [73:3]
[128:4] [182:8] [220:19]	[167:9]	[55:11] [61:10,11] [68:10,11]	[123:19] [132:1] [217:7]
[237:15] [239:11] [254:15] [263:14]	advantages [34:1] [75:18]	[69:11,19] [70:8] [71:11] [73:16] [74:9] [75:22]	answers [95:20] [230:19] anthem [6:19] [197:12]
absorbed [65:13] [83:9]	[84:15] [116:18] advertising [210:16] [215:18]	[76:5] [79:5] [80:18] [82:2,6]	[198:15] [200:4]
absorbing [84:14]	advice [163:20] [164:1]	[85:20] [86:3,10] [87:17,20]	anticipate [61:16]
absorption [65:9]	advice [163.20] [164.1] advising [164:16,17]	[88:21] [89:14] [90:6]	anticipate [01.10]
accept [198:6]	advisors [18:15,16,17]	[94:13,17] [96:8,14,22]	anybody [14:12] [124:11]
accepted [162:13]	[19:16] [20:2,5]	[97:4] [100:16] [102:7]	[163:17] [182:5] [230:5]
access [25:15] [159:13]	affect [77:13] [179:20]	[103:14] [106:10] [107:22]	[234:8] [244:6] [248:5]
[172:10]	[229:7]	[108:13,15] [110:8] [111:2]	[249:11]
accomplish [141:21]	affirmatively [108:16]	[113:4,7,11] [114:18]	anybodys [243:7]

anymore [58:5] [81:20]
anyone [14:1,3] [34:13]
[182:17]
anything [10:4] [16:16,19,21
[18:13] [22:6] [36:10] [40:19] [53:3] [67:3,5]
[76:22] [91:1] [95:13]
[138:12] [139:12] [156:22]
[177:1] [189:1] [193:13] [194:4] [243:14,20] [251:19
[263:16] [264:14]
anyway [109:1]
anywhere [32:9]
apart [260:12] api [23:16]
apologize [233:11]
apothecary [1:4] [14:12,14]
appearances [3:1] [4:1]
[5:1] appeared [90:14]
appears [266:7]
applicable [198:12]
apply [9:1] [230:3]
approach [202:16] appropriate [12:15] [23:21]
[41:7] [71:1] [86:20] [87:1]
[90:11] [105:5] [133:21]
[166:10,14] [219:4] [220:9]
[256:4] [258:2,7] [260:7] appropriately [48:18]
approval [120:3,9,11,17]
[122:6,17] [123:9,15]
[135:19] [211:21]
approved [71:10] [91:11,13 ,16,22] [92:1] [97:21] [102:
12] [105:6] [108:20] [118:13
[119:4,15] [121:14] [175:19
[180:5] [222:22] approximately [7:13]
april [266:]
area [188:12]
areas [26:6] arena [231:7]
arent [101:9] [102:11] [114:
1] [146:10] [156:5] [187:6,18
[195:21] [213:15] [223:21]
[242:3] [243:13] argue [110:22] [141:19]
argument [228:19]
arise [11:6]
aronchick [3:14]
around [92:4] [161:16] [182:11] [251:13,20]
arrangements [52:7]
arrington [208:18] [237:1]
arrive [86:20]
arthritis [112:6,7] articles [110:21]
aside [220:21]
ask [15:22] [17:10,16]
[44:16] [63:8] [65:1] [71:7]
[82:3] [86:5] [91:5] [97:19] [103:1] [105:2] [106:21]
[126:21] [142:3] [159:4,11]
[176:17] [179:17] [184:3]
[191:3] [194:8] [199:15]

[204:12,15] [211:2] [215:2] [218:18] [245:9] [255:9] [263:21] asked [11:4] [52:10] [60:6] [73:21] [78:5] [103:9] [131:15] [132:3] [152:4] [166:18] [191:9] [196:5] [198:6] [215:2,3,7] [217:1] [223:8] [233:16] [257:2] [263:19] asking [79:20] [121:2] [123:22] [133:9] [136:1] [142:21] [189:12,22] [224: 6] [259:19] asks [67:19] aspects [127:4] asserted [116:2] [124:7,10] assessment [209:16] [211: **associate** [131:15] associated [101:22] association [7:19] [121:12 assume [15:19] [22:6] [39:17] [99:10,21] [109:1] [110:15] [113:8] [116:6] [131:5] [180:3] [188:15,19] [199:2] [220:17,18] [236:8] [243:15] assumed [213:2] assuming [114:20] [169:9] [211:20] assumption [76:6] [111:1] asthma [250:10] astra [61:1,4] [146:12,19] [147:16] [153:12] [222:1] astrazeneca [61:1] [146:12 ,19] [147:16] [153:12] [222:1] astra-zeneca [61:1] [146:12 ,19] [147:16] [153:12] [222:1] atrophy [99:11] [118:14] [120:12] [122:7] attached [6:22] [61:21] [62:12] attempt [237:14] attended [33:1,15,21] [130:10] attention [131:12] [140:12] [161:11] [211:16] [216:15] attorney [266:17] attracted [80:8] attractive [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] attractiveness [127:9] audit [168:17] [202:17] audits [171:12] [219:6] august [118:20] authorization [180:21] authorizations [180:16] availability [242:13] available [11:4] [37:10]

[92:10,18] [125:12] [126:7]

[132:18] [148:17] [149:13]

[162:12] [177:14]

average [197:19] [198:7] [203:13] [210:6] [216:9] [258:18] avoiding [163:11] aware [61:14] [67:4] [68:5] [69:17] [71:20] [72:5] [74:6] [78:4] [85:3,20] [86:3] [94:3,12,13,16,17,18] [107:19,22] [110:8] [111:2] [113:5] [114:18] [117:4] [121:2] [126:12] [132:10] [146:15] [147:7] [148:3,15 ,20] [163:6,13,15] [165:21] [171:22] [178:8] [180:12,15] [182:5,21] [183:15] [192:8] [223:5,16,19] [244:6,9] [256:7] [258:4] awareness [230:1] away [121:7] [158:17] [219:14] [246:4,17] [248:13] awp [198:17] [200:6,17] [201:7] [202:2] [203:16] [205:18] [206:8,12,14,18,21 ,22] [37:21] [50:8,16] [52:9]

В baces [259:22] back [13:1,15] [16:5] [24:5,22] [56:7] [60:1] [77:5] [86:11] [89:9] [104:13,21] [109:13] [114:13] [128:10] [129:10] [132:22] [135:6] [136:9] [139:9] [144:1] [151:20] [155:21] [156:7] [179:14] [190:11] [194:19] [196:11] [206:18,22] [211:13] [212: 4] [217:8] [218:9] [228:7] [232:17] [233:15] [236:6] [240:1,3] [244:10] [255:3,7] [259:13] [263:20] background [17:13] bad [167:14] balance [43:18] bank [147:10] banking [18:18] barr [6:9] [104:4] [107:12] [108:19] [114:15,20] [117: 20] [118:8,12,20] [119:6] [120:8] base [36:1] based [48:10] [50:1,3,6] [65:3] [76:4] [79:22] [123:15] [129:10] [135:20] [137:22] [153:22] [174:3,6] [193:1,3] [198:6] [202:4] [210:2] [219:19] [231:6] [256:8,9] [258:22] bases [38:16] [76:17] basically [10:10,22] [12:12] [20:20] [25:16] [31:19]

[42:17] [49:13] [75:19]

[90:1] [98:14] [116:16]

[119:5] [129:11] [141:8]

[146:7] [169:15] [170:7]

basis [19:1,15] [74:16] [76:17] [77:9] [87:7,8] [136:2] [147:18] [155:13] [156:21] [157:11] [172:14] [195:18] [203:9] [205:4] basket [57:2] [157:12,21] bat [106:22] batch [70:21] bates [199:3] bausch [17:9] [36:17,19] [39:4,16] [40:5] beat [237:20] [249:13] became [29:2] [58:13] [254:10] beckett [1:4] [14:12,14] beckwith [1:5,12] become [111:21] **becomes** [55:6] beecham [83:18,22] begin [9:15] [11:8] [18:6] beginning [109:12] [207:14] [211:12] [230:21] **behaved** [70:2] behaves [65:4,6] behavior [145:20] [256:8] belief [147:19] [153:14,16] [173:18] [195:19] [203:10] [222:17] [236:12] [261:14,19] believe [18:3] [19:19] [28:3] [39:5] [60:21] [90:8] [98:7] [100:14] [109:22] [111:2] [113:21] [114:3] [141:17] [143:6] [147:9] [148:15] [150:15] [152:7] [153:19] [163:22] [164:2] [165:1,15] [167:1] [173:17] [174:17] [176:22] [177:8] [178:20] [207:7] [208:15] [210:9,10] [223:9,17,22] [231:13] [246:7] belong [187:13] benefit [6:18] [40:12] [51:15] [65:2] [68:16] [110:16] [123:17] [125:13] [197:11] [237:9] benefits [26:21] [66:22] [67:19] [68:15] [72:18] [84:15,21] besides [213:6] best [59:14] [225:14,19] **bet** [106:15] better [38:9] [58:21] [59:2] [69:5] [83:9] [84:14] [95:7] [121:18] [153:9,22] [154:4] [155:4] [156:22] [157:12] [219:17] [220:3] [221:2] [240:14,16,22] bextra [111:12,18] [112:11] [114:5] beyond [139:12] [151:9,17] [157:16] **bg** [46:15] bid [133:2]

[181:19] [187:2] [207:17] [230:21] [235:13] [244:20]

[249:14] [250:3]

big [141:16] [152:8] [162:19]
bigger [58:12,18]
biggest [238:11,12] [245:15] bill [206:1,22]
billing [206:18]
billion [259:4] binley [37:1] [38:3,21]
[40:21]
bit [9:12] [37:3] [77:20] blacked [200:20] [201:2]
bless [105:15]
blocks [181:1] blue [54:16] [55:18]
body [65:10,16] [83:10]
bone [121:10] bones [102:2]
book [156:4] [162:14] [182:
13] [260:12] bother [213:15]
bottom [101:7] [131:13]
[200:16] [210:17] bought [206:19,21]
boy [164:13] brand [19:6] [39:22] [46:8]
[59:15] [60:10] [62:9]
[71:5] [175:19] [215:8]
[258:19] branded [28:8] [86:8] [144:
8] [146:17] [215:16] [217:5] [218:15] [250:14] [257:21]
[258:5] [260:5,8]
branding [146:15] [250:5]
brands [258:9] break [110:9] [179:9,10]
breakdown [160:5] bringing [213:17]
bristol [50:14] [53:19]
[88:2,3,7,8] [234:15] bristolmyers [50:14] [53:19]
bristol-myers [50:14] [53:19]
brittle [102:1] broke [110:13]
brought [42:13] [59:20]
[181:15] buck [244:22]
bucks [145:6,8]
build [28:21] [213:21] [230: 1]
building [60:7]
built [205:16] bullet [62:6] [198:5,10]
bundle [57:6] [60:4] [252:9]
bundled [57:1,14,16,19,21] [58:2,7,11,22] [59:3] [154:
13] [158:5,10,15,18] [159:8]
[248:14,15] bundling [151:2]
burke [259:22]
business [20:22] [21:7] [27:1,12] [28:16,17,19,20,22]
[29:3,6,10,11,14] [30:1,3,22]
[32:12] [37:7] [51:21] [55:5] [59:6] [83:19] [126:4]
[127:22] [135:8] [144:18]
[155:8,11] [156:5] [162:15] [167:8] [182:14] [186:16,19]
. 1. 1

[189:12] [217:15] [234:10] [245:6] [253:2] [254:15] businesses [114:2] buspar [234:17] buy [24:14] [37:12] [153:10] [248:8] buyer [249:3] buying [41:8] [189:13] [248:11] С c101704 [1:4] [7:9] **c-1-01-704** [1:4] [7:9] **c103781** [1:11] [7:10] **c-1-03-781** [1:11] [7:10] call [19:15] [31:6,10] [35:1] [50:12,17] [51:4,9] [52:20,22] [53:3,20,22] [55:5,6,7] [126:8] [163:20] [164:2,8] [196:11] [219:8] [253:20] called [8:12] [19:3,4] [26:12] [37:1] [54:9,10,11] [65:8] [84:3,5] [110:16] [114:2] [161:8] [193:9] [196:4] [198:11] [253:16] calling [30:17] [52:13] [53:9,17] [54:4] [87:13] [102:14] [165:10] [166:11,17] [193:7,12] calls [93:11] [180:8] [192:21] [214:21] [232:22] cancel [136:21] [156:10] cannot [39:11] [106:19] [108:4] [114:16] [147:15] [148:11] [166:16] [215:12] [247:7] [258:11] cant [33:16] [70:10,12] [80:11] [90:9] [103:16] [113:8] [114:22] [115:4] [121:17] [128:6] [138:6,10 ,12] [166:8] [177:6,9] [194: 4] [196:10,11] [220:13] [222:18] [224:15] [226:2,8] [228:10] [231:20,22] [232: 5] [233:2] [239:16,18,19] [243:1] [248:4] [249:8] [250:16] [258:12] capoten [243:7] caption [13:16] captopril [242:18] [243:7] [248:6,8] carbamazepine [248:10] card [175:8] [191:4,9] [217: cardinal [25:13] [47:12] [48:7] [49:4,18] [59:19,21] [60:1] [66:9] [240:4,8] [248:7] [261:7,13] cards [51:22] care [20:21] [21:5] [22:1,8]

[23:6] [25:6,14,15,18,22]

,18] [32:3] [34:7,21] [35:8]

[26:1,6,7,11] [30:17] [31:10

[40:6,7,13,15,18,20] [45:17

,22] [46:4,6] [47:3,4] [50:12

,17] [51:11] [52:13,18,20,21] [53:3,6,8,11,17,20] [54:3,4 ,15] [55:3,19] [56:13,19] [57:11] [64:15] [85:5,18] [86:1] [88:11] [89:4,11] [106:5,12] [123:3] [127:21] [140:16] [141:8] [147:7] [151:10,16] [159:14] [160: 4] [161:8,9] [162:18] [163:8 ,12] [164:3,5,9,12,16,18] [165:12] [166:1,2,12,18,20] [167:18] [168:3] [170:10] [172:11] [175:1] [180:17] [184:9] [186:20] [187:17] [188:9,12,17] [190:6] [199:19] [201:11] [232:20] [235:19] [238:2] [247:22] [257:15] career [183:14] carnitor [253:9] carries [184:5] carry [133:19] [185:12,20] carter [45:21] [160:4] [164: 9] [174:22] case [4:4] [8:8] [9:1,22] [10:8,21] [11:9] [12:3,13] [14:9,15,18] [15:8,15,19] [16:12,14,22] [18:11] [21:7] [35:8,14] [36:11] [38:8,11] [42:1,20] [43:11,15 ,16,22] [44:1] [45:1] [56:1] [62:13] [77:16,18] [80:13] [86:22] [114:12] [138:1] [140:9] [147:11] [148:1] [149:1,9] [150:11] [158:1,13] [172:3] [174:4,7] [175:1] [178:1] [179:3] [180:13] [203:10] [209:19] [214:17] [225:5] [235:20] [247:21] [257:22] [260:13] cases [128:10] [135:8,19] [254:14] cash [185:12,20] [186:20] [187:4,16] [188:6,11,17] [189:8] [190:5,19] [191:20] [192:3,8] [194:13,17] [195:7,14,22] [196:6,9] [200:2] [202:10,19,22] [203:4,12,22] [204:8] [232:10,11,19] [233:4] [255:15] cashpaying [191:20] [192: cash-paying [191:20] [192:3] categories [133:10] [154:16] [186:16,19] [187:1,2] [263:15] category [21:1] [35:12] [112:18] [124:22] [125:1] [131:19] [146:18] [149:19] [150:10] [171:3] [180:19] [189:2] [227:11] [234:18] [235:14,16] [236:1,4] [252:2] [258:20]

celebrex [111:12] [112:11] [114:5] [129:8] [234:21] cenestin [35:9,18] [36:14] [46:9] [63:10] [64:17] [65:4,6,21] [66:9] [67:4,5] [68:8] [69:12] [70:1,6] [71:7,9] [73:14] [74:17] [75:19] [76:7,12] [77:19] [79:13] [81:3] [82:13] [87:1] [90:22] [91:6,11] [92:9,18] [93:9,13] [94:4,21] [96:1] [97:22] [98:1] [100:4 ,15,17,22] [101:5,13] [103: 11] [104:1] [106:7] [107:2,14 ,20] [110:15] [114:13] [115:22] [116:12,22] [117: 20] [118:21] [119:15] [120: 2] [121:3] [122:3,16] [124:22] [125:6,10,18] [126:14] [129:2] [130:6] [131:21] [132:18,21] [133:8,14] [134:5] [135:16] [136:4,20] [138:17] [141:21] [142:7,10 ,22] [145:11,22] [149:2,10,13] [155:19] [159:13] [160:11] [162:2,8,9,11] [163:1,10] [166:12,19,22] [167:12,20] [168:20] [169:3,10,11] [170:5,19] [171:8] [172:1] [173:18] [174:14] [176:11] [177:13] [178:2] [180:4,14 ,18,21] [181:1] [186:4,8] [187:1] [188:20] [194:13] [195:2,9,14,20] [200:14,16] [204:3] [218:20] [219:16] [221:10] [222:8,22] [223:18 ,21] [226:6] [227:22] [234:3 ,11] [237:20] [238:14,21] [239:1] [241:5,17] [242:21 ,22] [243:4,12,21] [246:1] [252:15] [253:5] [255:16,21] [257:8] [260:22] [261:14] [262:5,6,7,16] [263:9] cenestins [74:12] [76:22] [82:10] [179:21] [255:11] [257:18] center [43:19] cents [167:12] [233:5] century [251:2,7] ceo [23:18] certain [37:8] [61:17] [65:3] [163:8] [202:2] [216:11] [239:12] [264:7] certainly [22:11] [30:9] [57:8] [61:13] [63:21] [67:2,14] [75:9] [76:2] [93:22] [103:5,6] [114:12] [131:9] [134:3,18] [135:15] [146:15] [150:20] [152:22] [164:1] [177:4,8,11] [218:11] certificate [266:1] certify [266:6] cetera [26:4] [60:7] [65:11,16] [67:13] [105:3] [118:15] [158:16] [180:10] [229:7] **chance** [16:13]

4/1/2005 2:27 PM A.4

cbs [81:13]

change [36:20] [77:17]
[78:6] [81:17,19,22] [99:20] [109:5] [138:14] [176:15]
[190:13] [194:7] [211:5]
changed [207:22] [220:8] changing [21:6] [76:18]
chantelmeyer [209:17]
characterized [132:4] charge [13:8,10] [37:21]
[45:22] [47:2] [84:16,19] [88:8] [174:22] [197:17]
[198:17,19] [200:5]
chargebacks [37:2,6] [38:3] [41:4]
charged [12:21] [38:1] [261:8]
charging [22:15]
chart [70:10,12] [168:10] [176:18] [180:8] [200:11]
[204:7] [210:15] [255:10]
charts [199:22] cheaper [189:20] [190:20]
chemical [214:20] [221:10] [222:6,9] [223:20]
chicago [4:15]
choice [75:5] [113:19] choices [150:7,12]
cholesterol [188:3] cholesterolreducing [188:
3]
cholesterol-reducing [188:3]
cite [52:14] [82:16] [100:19] [132:11] [173:9] [181:3,4]
[209:6,8]
cited [129:14] [135:22] [139:8] [173:4,7,13] [247:9]
citing [129:21]
oivil [1:1 [7:0]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16] clearly [73:5] [108:10]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16] clearly [73:5] [108:10] [124:13] [236:21] [262:15] client [31:17]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16] clearly [73:5] [108:10] [124:13] [236:21] [262:15] client [31:17] clinical [18:15,16,17] [19:16] [20:1,5] [67:11,19,21]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16] clearly [73:5] [108:10] [124:13] [236:21] [262:15] client [31:17] clinical [18:15,16,17] [19:16] [20:1,5] [67:11,19,21] [68:2,7] [69:4,8,15] [72:11
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16] clearly [73:5] [108:10] [124:13] [236:21] [262:15] client [31:17] clinical [18:15,16,17] [19:16] [20:1,5] [67:11,19,21] [68:2,7] [69:4,8,15] [72:11 ,16] [73:21] [74:1,7] [94:3] [127:4,8,14,17,18] [128:1,9
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16] clearly [73:5] [108:10] [124:13] [236:21] [262:15] client [31:17] clinical [18:15,16,17] [19:16] [20:1,5] [67:11,19,21] [68:2,7] [69:4,8,15] [72:11 ,16] [73:21] [74:1,7] [94:3] [127:4,8,14,17,18] [128:1,9 ,18] [129:2,5,8] [130:1,6] [133:14] [134:15] [135:6]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16] clearly [73:5] [108:10] [124:13] [236:21] [262:15] client [31:17] clinical [18:15,16,17] [19:16] [20:1,5] [67:11,19,21] [68:2,7] [69:4,8,15] [72:11 ,16] [73:21] [74:1,7] [94:3] [127:4,8,14,17,18] [128:1,9 ,18] [129:2,5,8] [130:1,6] [133:14] [134:15] [135:6] clinically [131:21] [132:5]
civil [1:] [7:9] claim [67:7] [184:8] clarification [44:21] clarified [16:10] [223:9] clarify [45:7] class [3:3] [8:4,7] [14:18] [97:20] [108:7] [110:16,19] [111:1,7] [112:11,21] [113:9,10] [114:1,10] [148:7] [167:20] [222:16] [251:9,16] classes [112:4] [117:1] clean [39:20] cleaner [9:18] clear [94:16] [130:16] clearly [73:5] [108:10] [124:13] [236:21] [262:15] client [31:17] clinical [18:15,16,17] [19:16] [20:1,5] [67:11,19,21] [68:2,7] [69:4,8,15] [72:11 ,16] [73:21] [74:1,7] [94:3] [127:4,8,14,17,18] [128:1,9 ,18] [129:2,5,8] [130:1,6] [133:14] [134:15] [135:6]

10/14/2004
closed [160:10,17,22] co [162:12] [163:4] [170:21] [171:19] [173:19] [174:15] [175:14,19] [176:1,12] [194:10] [195:11,15] [200: 1] [204:9] [207:2] [255:12,15 ,20] [256:10,15,20] [257:5] cognizant [187:6,10] cohen [3:4] [8:3] [10:9,13] [13:21] [14:6] [44:20] [45:6] [47:19] [52:14] [76:16] [77:7] [79:18] [82:3] [85:7] [91:19] [94:8] [95:4] [96:3] [98:9] [104:6] [107:4] [113:11] [115:13] [119:16] [121:22] [122:8] [123:18] [125:19] [132:19] [137:1] [138:20] [179:7] [199:1,9] [204:18,21]
[265:11] cohens [131:15] collect [207:2] columbia [2:8] [266:3,] column [133:17] columns [133:20] comfort [230:15] coming [19:10] [23:18] [25:21] [27:5] [34:9] [94:18] [122:11] [190:2] [213:11] [232:17] [234:16] [240:8] [244:10] [260:1] commencing [2:3] comments [48:5] [122:18] commercial [176:20] commission [266:] commitment [11:3]
committee [23:20] [130:5] [132:2,4,12] [133:12] [134:4,8,17] [135:12] [136:5] committees [129:1] [135:19]
[194:1] common [35:1,6,15] [57:8,14] [201:8] [260:5,10] commonly [252:9] [255:12] community [6:20] [108:22] [197:12]
companies [18:21] [23:6] [24:14] [25:19] [28:5] [31:6] [34:21] [38:13] [51:19] [55:3,20] [59:7] [106:5,6] [146:7] [158:9] [163:21] [164:3,9] [167:18] [182:10] [193:21] [202:7] [210:3] [212:14,20] [213:12 ,15] [221:3] [224:20] [227:10] [228:2] [253:11] [257:22] [260:6] company [11:1] [17:22] [18:18] [19:3,11,21] [23:18
,22] [24:7] [25:21] [26:3]

[28:8] [30:17] [31:2] [34:7,9]

[36:22] [56:14] [85:15]

[90:3] [100:6] [106:12]

7] [152:16,22] [153:3,15]

[141:12,20] [143:21] [144:

```
[155:2] [162:19] [164:22]
 [165:7,18,21] [168:16]
 [176:10] [182:3] [207:19]
 [208:5,8,9] [209:1] [219:13]
 [231:15] [234:19] [237:13]
 [238:7] [239:12] [240:7,13]
 [241:2] [242:1] [245:5]
 [248:3] [249:1] [250:5]
 [257:13]
companys [19:8]
comparative [68:2,7] [74:5]
compare [154:6,8] [157:2,20]
  [203:20] [225:15] [228:10]
compared [64:21] [70:1]
 [154:14] [194:10] [195:14]
 [262:18]
compares [188:12] [255:11]
comparing [73:7,13] [75:12]
 [200:1] [204:8] [228:3]
compendial [105:18]
compensated [12:5]
compete [141:15] [146:7]
 [147:12] [151:4]
competed [146:18] [147:5]
competing [141:13]
competition [21:3] [22:12]
 [240:10] [247:13]
competitive [156:14] [162:
competitor [87:15] [249:8]
competitors [24:4] [60:9]
 [154:9,15] [229:18]
complains [190:11]
completely [9:5]
compound [98:10]
comprised [162:5]
computer [266:10]
computeraided [266:10]
computer-aided [266:10]
concentrate [16:9]
concept [87:9]
conceptives [24:8]
concerned [19:7] [128:11]
concerning [125:6]
concerns [99:19]
concessions [252:22]
concluded [124:8] [130:6]
 [132:13]
concludes [265:14]
conclusion [182:1] [183:22]
 [222:12] [232:21]
conclusions [173:14]
 [175:21] [202:1]
conclusively [112:13]
 [258:11]
conditions [6:19] [197:12]
confidential [1:17] [252:12]
confidentiality [20:18]
 [61:11]
confirm [99:15]
conflict [55:2]
congress [209:16] [211:19]
 [212:11]
conjugated [66:15] [67:1,8]
 [97:22] [98:20] [129:11]
 [149:12] [221:18] [229:2,3
```

0.001 [000.40 47 00] [007.40]
,8,20] [236:16,17,20] [237:10] [243:10] [244:8,16] [249:15]
connecticut [19:19] [178:13]
connection [8:22] [10:8]
[11:9,11,17] [18:1,10]
[31:6,10] [32:1,10] [35:13] [36:11] [42:1] [47:6] [49:8]
[50:20] [60:12] [61:2]
[62:12] [63:20] [79:16]
[88:10] [111:7] [181:1]
[184:19] [192:12] [201:9] [257:11,17] [260:13]
connotation [150:14]
consequences [61:15]
consider [89:3] [90:6]
[128:19] [130:2] [134:13,20] [213:16] [223:10] [227:1]
considerably [253:1]
consideration [127:3]
considerations [127:13]
[128:19] [135:20] considered [89:10] [90:18]
[100:9] [163:2] [165:18]
[170:19] [227:16] [228:1]
consult [165:18] consultant [12:16,19]
[18:16] [257:10]
consultation [165:11]
consulting [12:22] [17:5,7,17
,19] [20:14] [25:5] [41:12] [62:16] [164:22] [165:7]
[166:1]
consumer [78:16] [127:4]
consumers [115:20] [150:5
,11] contact [14:5] [20:1] [21:17]
[28:10] [39:17]
contacted [10:9,12,21]
[13:22] [18:17] contains [65:22] [207:10]
contemplating [22:18]
contents [6:1]
context [264:6]
contexts [40:15] contingency [29:9]
continue [89:2] [142:5]
[144:10] [182:11] [193:18]
[231:13] continued [4:1] [5:1,2]
[91:2] [117:12] [119:11]
[165:18]
continuing [204:22] [246:9]
contraceptives [153:5,8] [154:7] [155:3]
contract [25:7] [27:3] [38:7]
[40:8,19] [54:15] [57:16]
[58:2,7,12,22] [59:4] [136:
22] [140:18] [144:4] [146:8] [148:5,6,10,19] [149:17]
[150:9] [153:4] [156:10]
[158:10] [173:1] [198:4]
[227:18] [239:1,15,20] [240:4,5,13] [242:4,5,6]
[240.4,5,13] [242.4,5,6] contracted [26:22]

contracting [22:9,11] [23:5]

[45:18,22] [47:3] [248:22]

contractors [209:20] contracts [26:5,6] [37:7] [40:8,18] [52:3] [54:13,20] [55:7,13,14,19] [56:1,4,8,16] [57:1,15,19,22] [64:6,8] [137:15] [139:10] [146:19] [147:6,19] [148:2,12] [149:9] [155:13] [156:8] [158:1,5,14,18] [159:1,7,9] [172:12] [188:9,15] [199:12 ,18] [227:9] [235:4,11] [236:10] [238:2,12,16,18] [239:7] [241:6,8,17,21] [242:2] [247:5,7,15,17,22] [248:14,15] [249:10] [250: 9] [252:6,11,20] [253:16,19] [254:1,2] contractual [128:14] contrary [102:15] [104:3,11]
contrasting [120:16]
convened [2:2]
convenient [181:22]
convention [193:20]
convert [145:22]
copay [162:12] [163:4]
[170:21] [171:19] [173:19] [174:15] [175:14,19] [176:
1,12] [195:11] [255:15,20]
[256:10,15,20] [257:5]
co-pay [162:12] [163:4]
[170:21] [171:19] [173:19]
[174:15] [175:14,19] [176:
1,12] [195:11] [255:15,20]
[256:10,15,20] [257:5]
copayments [194:10] co-payments [194:10]
copays [195:15] [200:1]
[204:9] [207:2] [255:12]
co-pays [195:15] [200:1]
[204:9] [207:2] [255:12]
copies [159:17]
copy [6:7,13] [63:19] [65:20]
[118:8] [119:2] [140:6]
[159:20] [161:6] [209:15]
[215:4]
corner [131:13] corp [1:] [3:12]
corporation [7:5,6]
correct [13:12,18] [17:19]
[18:8,12] [23:7] [25:8]
[41:21] [44:2,5,6] [49:6,10
,12] [50:12,13] [51:16]
[52:8] [53:18,21] [54:3,7,18
,19,21] [55:15] [56:6] [58:17
,20] [63:7,20] [64:12] [65:4] [69:16] [72:19] [73:15]
[74:2,15] [79:2] [82:14,15]
[83:2,8,15] [84:17] [86:2]
[88:1,19,20] [89:13] [90:19]
[95:18] [99:22] [100:1,15,17
,18] [104:5] [106:9] [108:5]
[111:8] [112:1,8,9,21,22]
[114:17] [117:2] [119:15] [123:17] [125:13,14,18]
[130:14] [134:6] [137:19]
[138:5,19,21] [146:19]

10/14/2004
[147:1,8] [150:8,12] [154:9] [159:9,10,22] [160:11,17] [162:15] [164:19] [167:21] [168:22] [171:21] [172:16] [174:15] [177:14] [181:5,6 ,8,14,17] [185:13] [188:3] [189:9] [194:14,15,21] [195:3,4,11,17] [199:5] [202:12] [203:7] [204:10,11] [216:21] [223:2] [237:21,22] [238:3,4] [239:17] [240:15 ,17] [241:3] [243:16] [255:16 ,17,21,22] [261:11,18] correctly [218:19]
correlated [225:9] cost [37:15] [127:10] [130:2]
[134:20] [152:1] [162:7] [171:3] [180:18] [245:19] [258:18]
costs [179:1] [210:16] [258:20]
couldnt [29:7] [47:8] [107:8 ,17] [142:10] [153:3,6,15] [171:7] [232:7]
coumadin [239:12,16] [247:11]
counsel [7:20] [8:15] [42:6] [159:2] [266:13,17]
counter [26:13] [32:13] [33:22] [34:5,6] [35:2,9,15 ,17] [36:6,12] [176:5,8]
counteract [34:8]
counterdetail [35:2] counter-detail [35:2]
counterdetailing [32:13] [33:22] [34:5,6] [35:9,15,17] [36:6,12]
counter-detailing [32:13] [33:22] [34:5,6] [35:9,15,17] [36:6,12]
country [170:15]
couple [56:7] [144:18] [176:17]
coupled [115:21] course [102:15] [155:10] [189:12]
court [1:1] [7:7,18] [8:9] [9:9] [10:3] [266:1]
covered [41:11] [42:10] [48:22] [152:9,14] [153:5] [163:10] [233:12]
cox [111:7,11] [112:4]
cox2 [111:7,11] [112:4] cox-2 [111:7,11] [112:4]
create [26:11]
created [250:5] credibility [31:19] [87:10]
credible [34:14]
crestor [113:1,4] critical [218:20]
criticism [219:15] [245:16]
cross [199:8]
cross/blue [54:16] [55:18] crossexamination [199:8]
cross-evamination [100:8]

cross-examination [199:8]

csu [131:22]

cunniff [17:21] curious [61:20] curran [46:8] current [62:15] [100:20] [101:11] currently [126:15,16] customary [197:18] [198:13 ,19] [200:6] [202:10] customer [36:1] [37:11] [38:16,22] [52:21] [53:6,8,11] [58:12] [87:13] [126:8] [145:17] customers [24:3] [38:15] [51:7] [52:19] [57:5] [90:4] [126:7] [166:18] [187:4,5] [200:3] [202:14] [237:14] [254:16] cut [62:21] [248:17,18] cvs [1:10] [3:11] [7:5] [13:17 ,19] [14:1,3] [110:5] [199:16 .181 **cycle** [88:9]

D

d.c [2:5] [4:8] d/b/a [1:] daily [155:13] [156:21] data [67:20] [71:12] [72:9] [73:12,13,22] [100:12] [103:4] [105:20] [106:6] [107:15] [121:10,12] [151: 12] [168:7,15] [169:16] [170:4] [171:22] [172:6] [192:6,10,14,17] [200:13] [202:17,18,19,21] [203:11] [212:6] [216:16] [226:20] [235:16] [243:16] [256:3,7] [263:1,5,6,18] [264:8,9,17 ,22] [265:3] databank [105:8,17] [106:1] [182:4] database [106:12] date [106:18] [205:2,5] dated [205:3] day [13:9] [69:3] [80:19] [83:1,2] [84:13] days [13:7] [247:15] deal [38:19] [58:22] [61:5] [153:9] [155:4] [158:19] [241:1] [247:10] dealing [38:16] [176:3] decide [46:16] [95:17] [128:15] decided [152:1] [162:1] [221:21] [254:2,3,8] deciding [95:22] decision [59:8] [77:13] [93:12] [128:5,11,14] [135:3,9] [136:3] [153:21] [162:3] [171:7] [190:17] [257:18] decisions [135:6,18] decline [125:2] decrease [125:3]

deep [188:7] defendant [1:] [4:11] [5:2] [8:15] defendants [1:9] [7:4] defended [62:9] [63:4] defending [253:7] deficiencies [116:1,8,12] [121:7] [124:7,10] deficiency [116:9] define [127:16,18] [148:4] [229:4] [230:16] [233:22] [235:11,16] [244:5] defined [169:19,22] [234:9] [235:1,5,13] [236:9] [243:22] [244:7] defines [171:11] definitely [78:19] [80:13] [97:9] [231:10] definition [184:21] defy [243:21] delta [224:1] demand [126:22] [127:4,17 ,18] [181:11] [256:9] demands [127:15] democrats [80:5] demonstrated [108:11] [112:14,15] deny [208:22] denying [212:19] departments [54:2] [103:7] [128:1] depending [167:15] [218:10] depends [12:20] [30:19] [31:12] [35:20] [81:6,9] [127:16] [166:14] [167:6] [213:10] [235:17] [245:3] deposition [1:] [2:1] [6:7,11] [7:3,11,16,19] [8:22] [9:21 ,22] [10:5] [16:10,14,17] [17:16] [18:2,5] [28:4] [41:14,16] [43:12,13,14] [45:21] [46:11,14,15,17] [47:17,20,21] [48:1,2,11] [50:9] [52:11] [62:5] [63:19] [64:3] [109:7,13] [111:3] [129:15] [130:13,22] [131: 2,9,12] [133:6] [134:18] [136:19] [146:14] [159:21] [161:7] [165:5] [207:14] [209:11] [211:7,13] [215:3 ,5] [216:2] [217:2,3,18] [230:22] [265:15,19] [266: 5,7,11,15] depositions [44:10,12,14,19] [45:2,9,13] [47:1] [137:17] depth [42:16] derived [74:17] [75:6,13,14] [76:10,12] [79:4] describe [248:1] described [11:2] [36:13] [158:6] describes [197:8] describing [240:22]

description [6:5]

despite [108:14,16,19]

design [179:2]

4/1/2005 2:27 PM A.6

decreases [124:20]

[147:3]	/1 [190·7]	2] [490-2 0] [490-11 12 19]	[83:16] [86:17] [89:15]
destroy [249:9]	4] [189:7] differentiation [61:19]	,3] [180:3,9] [189:11,12,18] [190:13,16,22] [191:10,12	[94:17] [95:8,13] [96:2]
destroyed [43:8]	difficult [38:6] [58:4] [158:12]	,15] [232:6,20,22] [233:5]	[101:9] [103:4] [104:7,9]
detail [34:8] [65:20] [66:8]	[176:14] [244:13]	doctors [26:20] [32:20]	[105:4] [106:2] [107:5]
[72:15] [73:2] [211:3]	difficulty [68:12]	[33:7,22] [35:2] [53:21]	[114:6] [119:22] [122:10,12]
[225:14,16] [227:21]	dinner [26:19]	[69:6] [96:4] [98:22] [115:4]	[123:21] [125:21] [131:8]
detailing [26:13] [176:6]	direct [3:3] [8:3] [41:8]	[122:19] [176:16] [180:2]	[136:16] [138:3] [141:3,17
[220:9] [227:4]	directly [41:8] [54:22] [221:	[181:12,20] [182:6,12,18]	,19] [142:20] [143:6,10]
details [224:21] [225:9,10,21]	14] [237:4,8]	[183:4,19] [186:19] [187:3	[145:8,16] [146:6] [147:19]
[226:6,17] [228:5] [229:7]	disadvantage [97:3]	,6] [189:7] [190:7,10] [191:	[148:9] [150:14] [152:20]
[234:7]	disadvantaged [126:13]	18] [192:1,7] [194:2] [223:15]	[153:19] [156:1,16] [157:19]
determination [136:6]	[180:1]	[225:18,20] [228:15] [230:	[158:17] [159:17] [163:22]
determine [86:22] [159:7]	disagree [82:9] [127:11]	15] [245:22] [259:19]	[165:8] [166:15] [167:1,7]
[181:10] [258:7]	[141:1] [201:17,21] [220:20]	doctortodoctor [103:18]	[169:1,4,13] [171:20]
determined [67:22] [74:2]	[229:13] [245:11] [246:14]	doctor-to-doctor [103:18]	[173:21] [176:22] [177:15]
[133:12] [134:4,16] [251:5]	disbelieve [141:3]	document [15:11] [42:3,9,15	[178:13] [179:3,6] [182:20]
determining [134:21] [183:	disclose [70:18]	,17] [48:6] [50:2,7] [67:10]	[183:6] [184:1] [187:7,9,10]
2] [201:9] [225:13] [227:7]	discount [37:10] [188:7]	[101:14] [159:20] [160:2]	[189:2,11,21] [190:4,16,20]
detriment [167:17] [168:4]	[248:9]	[161:6,18,22] [186:14]	[191:18] [202:5] [204:7]
develop [20:22] [21:7]	discriminate [254:4]	[197:6] [209:15,21] [211:17]	[209:6] [210:9,20] [221:15]
[28:19] [86:16]	discuss [11:5] [69:1]	[212:11] [215:15] [216:1]	[224:18] [229:10] [230:5,11
developed [21:12] [27:2]	discussed [162:19] [194:6]	[242:12]	,18] [231:20] [233:13,17]
[28:16] [165:2] [182:17]	discusses [134:17]	documents [12:2] [35:22]	[234:22] [236:18] [237:12]
[185:16]	discussing [32:11] [133:5,7]	[41:18,22] [42:7,19,22]	[240:18] [244:9,11,13,19]
development [30:22]	[194:5]	[43:2,19,20] [47:14] [49:7]	[245:2] [246:14,18] [247:3]
diabetes [22:20] [250:11]	discussion [49:9] [65:22]	[124:18] [172:2,4,5,19]	[248:3,7,8] [249:7,12]
[253:8]	[161:12] [162:1] [199:17]	[173:16] [174:4,7,20]	[251:10] [252:4] [254:20]
diagnostic [22:20] dialysis [253:8]	[200:12] [207:18] [252:11] discussions [14:2,12,17]	[175:1,9,16] [199:4,6,7] [200:21] [205:1,2,3,6]	[256:12,17,18] [257:7] [258:12] [259:3] [261:3,22]
didnt [12:13] [22:6] [31:5,9]	[151:20]	doesnt [38:7] [59:5] [79:8]	[262:2] [263:11,13] [264:7
[38:21,22] [43:9,22] [44:11	dispensed [34:16] [226:10	[101:18] [102:7] [114:21]	,9,21] [265:13]
,16] [45:8,20] [46:12] [47:5	,12]	[115:3] [120:4] [121:3]	dosage [64:20] [70:7] [91:11
,20,21] [52:18] [53:20]	dispensing [197:20] [198:11	[135:16] [183:1,21] [186:10]	,13,16] [92:18] [93:1] [115:
[54:1] [60:18] [61:1] [81:17]	,12,18,19] [200:7,18] [202:	[188:22] [190:7] [191:11]	21] [116:7] [119:5] [120:3,7
[89:19] [90:11] [93:21]	3] [203:17] [205:19]	[195:7] [213:5] [227:14]	,11,18] [122:5] [123:13]
[95:1] [97:12] [102:18]	disputing [48:17] [171:4,10	[249:3]	[186:4] [204:1] [256:1,2,11]
[105:6] [108:2] [123:9]	,17] [174:13]	doing [11:8] [32:12] [36:5]	dose [71:22] [94:6,21]
[124:11] [129:5,7] [135:12	dissolution [65:5] [67:13]	[37:1] [38:3] [55:9] [73:7]	[97:1,6]
,13] [136:21] [137:10]	[71:1]	[87:21] [104:5] [126:4]	doses [92:11] [246:16]
[144:17] [146:14] [158:10]	distinctions [190:8]	[155:10,16,17,18] [156:20]	double [71:22] [94:6,21]
[159:2,4,9] [164:11] [173:9]	distribution [65:10]	[165:10] [171:21] [183:10]	[97:1,5]
[186:4] [192:13] [217:4,20]	district [1:1,] [2:7] [7:7,8]	[189:12] [220:16,19] [233:	down [9:10] [101:6] [105:5]
[222:2] [225:5] [226:22]	[266:3,]	1] [238:6] [259:6,12]	[123:5] [124:15] [191:13]
[250:19] [253:2] [259:8]	division [1:2] [7:9] [23:12]	dollar [111:21] [195:3]	[200:15]
[263:16,20] [264:14]	divorce [29:17]	[258:16]	downs [29:1]
differ [61:17]	dobie [4:12] [6:3] [7:22]	dollars [221:16] [222:2]	dozen [176:13] [196:4]
difference [37:22] [59:12,13]	[8:16,21] [14:21] [15:3]	don [47:8,11] [48:4] [49:8]	dr [6:14] [48:17,20] [64:18]
[78:7] [82:21] [83:3] [90:22] [98:21] [134:15] [194:17]	[16:7] [25:2] [45:3,15] [47:22] [52:15,16] [63:13,17]	done [17:15,22] [18:5] [27:21] [28:11] [35:14]	[82:9] [116:11] [124:7,10] [140:7,13] [143:9] [183:11
[195:1] [204:4] [205:10]	[77:4,11] [80:17] [82:5]	[65:3] [69:14,22] [70:9]	,14] [201:14,22] [225:8,11]
[223:7] [255:14,20] [256:20]	[85:16] [92:2,7] [94:11]	[71:3,12] [73:10] [74:7]	[245:11] [260:11]
[257:6]	[95:14] [96:5] [98:15]	[86:19,21] [87:2] [99:14]	draw [131:11] [140:11]
differences [132:16]	[104:10] [107:6] [109:4,17]	[104:12] [105:8,16] [106:17]	[183:22] [216:15]
different [26:15] [38:15]	[114:11] [115:15] [117:18]	[114:9] [138:15] [144:5]	drawing [161:11] [190:8]
[40:15] [41:1] [99:12]	[118:6] [119:19] [122:1,14]	[154:22] [195:13] [204:20]	[232:20]
[102:6] [111:11] [113:9]	[124:2] [126:5] [130:20]	[216:7] [217:21] [219:3,4,22]	drew [211:16]
[119:14] [133:10] [140:15]	[133:4] [137:4] [138:22]	[220:2] [222:22] [224:15]	drive [4:14] [59:15]
[146:1,16] [149:17] [170:16]	[140:1,5] [179:10,16]	[226:7] [238:8,10] [248:14]	driven [59:8] [78:16]
[186:15,18] [188:20] [196:	[196:20] [197:3] [199:6,10]	[256:13,18] [257:3,17]	drop [56:7] [86:10]
13] [207:5,16] [211:17]	[204:20] [205:7] [211:1,15]	[258:1,7,15]	drops [39:21]
[221:11] [223:22] [228:10]	[233:19] [254:20] [255:5]	dont [12:16] [13:10,21]	drug [65:11,13] [103:2]
[232:19] [233:5] [236:2]	[265:9]	[14:21] [18:19] [20:3]	[113:19] [125:12] [128:6]
[238:6] [242:18] [256:8]	doc [189:16] [233:1]	[37:3] [38:6] [44:22] [45:2]	[148:13] [155:16] [176:8]
differential [256:10,15,20]	doctor [33:10,11] [34:10,15]	[56:12] [58:4,9] [63:13]	[180:1,4] [187:14] [214:22]
[257:5]	[35:2] [67:19] [73:21]	[68:10] [72:2] [76:19]	[250:15]
differentiate [119:12] [187:	[103:1,9,18] [107:9] [146:1	[77:17,22] [78:10] [82:3]	drugs [111:1] [113:14]

[127:8] [133:21] [177:20] [181:12] [187:15] [198:12] [223:13] [250:14] [251:20] drugstore [51:20] [220:14] due [162:3,7] duly [8:13] duramed [6:8] [8:22] [9:22] [12:3,9] [15:8,14,19] [16:12,14,17,22] [18:11] [42:20] [43:2,11,21] [44:15,19] [45:1,22] [49:15] [63:20] [67:10] [68:1,6] [70:13] [71:12] [76:2] [80:14] [82:11] [85:1,4,5,19] [89:4,12] [91:15] [104:4] [107:12] [108:17] [114:15,20] [117:12] [122:3] [138:1] [139:15] [140:15,31] [141:5] [143:2,13,14,21] [144:13,14] [145:10] [147:12] [156:13,21] [158:9] [160:3] [161:9] [163:7,10] [166:4,4,16,17] [165:19] [166:2] [168:5,8] [169:2] [172:3] [175:5] [207:9] [208:5,8] [221:5] [224:7] [227:7] [228:13] [229:15] [234:2] [235:1] [236:10,12] [244:7] [249:13] [257:10] [260:16] [261:20]
[207:9] [208:5,8] [221:5]
[229:15] [234:2] [235:1] [236:10,12] [244:7] [249:13]
[257:10] [260:16] [261:20] [263:3] [264:18]
durameds [69:12] [85:22] [142:7] [143:5] [167:20] [172:10] [208:12] [227:7]
[235:6] [237:7]
during [51:13] [52:11] [66:10] [78:2] [83:14]
[93:1] [97:16] [125:10] [151:11] [161:9,14] [164:18]
[180:9] [208:5] [210:8] [212:15] [245:21] [246:19] [252:21] [255:13] [263:3]

Ε

e.g [205:19] earlier [56:10] [120:10] [242:11] early [28:15] [39:14] [259:13] earn [149:4] educated [68:18] [86:15] **education** [253:21] educational [100:21] [101: 12] [126:3,9] effect [97:20] [110:17,19] [113:9] [222:16] effective [83:10] [219:9] effectively [230:2] [246:8] effectiveness [225:14] [261:6] effects [65:8] [108:8] [111:1] [112:14] [114:1,10] [128:5] effexor [153:12] efficacy [71:22] [94:7] [97:1,6] efficiently [230:2]

efforts [36:2] [214:5] [220:10] eight [93:2] [236:1] einhorn [3:13] [109:20] [110:3] [199:16] either [16:9] [35:19] [45:5] [46:18] [56:5] [70:12] [141:18] [215:21] [222:3] [232:11] [243:12] electronic [264:20] [265:2,3] elements [207:10,11] eliminated [65:15] elimination [65:10] elliot [5:3] **elliott** [8:1] else [18:13] [33:3,5] [37:4] [67:4] [122:21] [154:2] [164:21] [189:1] [193:13] [239:15] [243:2] [244:6] [247:11] elses [58:6] emails [172:21] e-mails [172:21] **emergency** [191:6,7] employed [140:16] [266:14 **employee** [266:16] employees [27:4] [29:19] [33:22] employer [51:8] employment [41:12] empty [83:7] encompasses [21:2] end [4:3] [8:7] [55:9] [80:19] [109:7] [180:9] [208:16] [211:7] [230:10,11] [231:22] ended [60:21] endpayer [8:7] end-payer [8:7] enough [137:13,17] [159:17] [171:3] enter [238:1] [242:1] entered [55:13] [56:16] [59:3] entire [124:22] [136:14] [157:20] [180:18] entities [155:8] [214:20] **entitled** [76:3] entity [221:11] [222:6,9] entrances [62:10] **entrants** [63:5] environments [21:5] equal [59:11] [87:14] [159:13] [212:1] equalling [211:22] equivalent [134:5,10] [224: ert [115:19] [116:22] [149:22] [236:11] [264:2,5] escapes [178:12] esi [131:17] especially [193:19] [234:16] esquire [3:4,13] [4:5,12] [5:3] [7:16,19] esrd [62:11] essence [150:1] [151:14] essentially [212:13] [228:15]

esteemed [209:17] estimation [135:2,14] estrace [88:11] [228:20] estratest [262:20] [263:12] estrogen [68:8] [73:6] [97:22] [98:20] [102:2] [123:12] [149:12] [150:6] [167:20] [168:4] [228:3] [229:2,3,8,20] [236:16,17] [237:10] [241:13] [249:15] [261:1] [262:14] estrogens [66:15] [67:1,8] [129:11] [171:4] [221:18] [227:10] [243:10] [244:8,16] estropipate [228:21] estrotab [79:11,13] [80:10] [262:17] [263:9] et [1:] [26:4] [60:7] [65:11,16] [67:13] [105:3] [118:15] [158:16] [180:10] [229:7,10] even [9:17] [11:13] [13:8] [35:21] [39:21] [55:2] [71:18] [73:16] [89:2] [94:13] [100:19] [119:10] [122:5] [123:10] [136:16] [142:15] [147:9] [156:16] [158:12] [176:10] [177:19] [185:19] [191:6] [206:19] [213:2,7,16] [222:17,22] [224:10] [232:14] [233:4] [237:1] [240:12] [241:7,22] [242:3,12] event [195:9] eventually [29:12] [83:18] [134:12] ever [12:21] [14:11] [68:6] [74:7] [181:15] [182:6,17] [192:6] [199:15] [216:17] [233:13] [243:17] [244:7] [252:5] [256:13,18] [260:11] every [66:18] [70:21] [71:4] [77:19] [87:14] [155:18] [203:11] [244:21] [248:13] everybody [38:7] [170:1] everyone [37:4] everything [38:5] [144:5] [156:8] everywhere [122:21] evidence [35:7] [107:2] evil [37:7] exact [140:17] [148:2] [221:5] exactly [24:17] [34:3] [44:2] [47:15] [49:16] [52:7] [56:19] [98:17] [101:16] [106:10] [109:2] [143:8] [151:19] [226:21] [250:6] [256:22] examination [6:2] [8:12,15] [124:4] [151:7] [178:1] examine [224:19] examined [8:13] [127:10]

[55:3] [70:3] [93:9] [99:9] [100:20] [101:11] [107:8] [111:5] [113:2,18] [135:10] [139:5] [157:15] [162:17] [170:13] [180:1] [184:14] [185:8,17] [186:3] [187:21] [194:12,17] [195:16] [197: 16] [200:15] [203:14,15] [225:4,13] [236:3] [247:9] [255:14] [263:7,11] [264:1] examples [112:17] [113:13 ,14] [136:13] [140:14] [146:11] [151:15] [212:10] [252:14] except [25:13] excluded [91:15] [227:13] [241:6] [242:14] **exclusion** [113:20] exclusive [133:16,17] [148:7] [149:18,22] [239:7 ,8,14,20] [240:12] [241:21] [242:3] [250:8] [251:8,15,21] exclusively [59:8] excuse [33:12] [179:7] [199:1] executed [207:11] [219:13] executive [23:20] exhibit [14:22] [15:1,5,9] [17:1,11] [41:18] [43:10] [62:4] [63:15,18] [65:18,19] [117:16] [118:4,7] [119:2] [120:7] [130:17,18] [134:18] [140:2,3,6,12] [159:16,19] [160:20] [161:3,5] [162:20] [170:14] [196:15,16,17] [197:1,4,6,10,11,16] [198: 3,14] [209:12,14] [211:4] [212:12] [216:2] exhibits [6:4,22] [168:8] [197:5] [215:5] exist [147:19] exists [222:19] expect [98:1] [250:19] [251:7,10,22] expectation [99:20] expected [173:1] [209:2] [212:21] [224:10] expenses [211:20] [215:18] expensive [131:18] [190:14 ,15] **experience** [34:22] [48:19] [49:20] [53:13,15,17] [86:7] [87:19,21] [98:21] [127:20,21] [148:16] [164: 5,19] [165:22] [178:22] [181:4] [192:20] [193:4,5,7 ,12,13] [237:11] [239:5] [257:21] [261:8] expert [6:13] [10:8] [13:19,20] [16:22] [18:7,18] [36:12] [62:13] [64:6,15] [86:18] [110:4] [140:7,8,13] expertise [78:13] [102:14] **experts** [209:18] **expires** [266:]

explain [37:3] [45:20] [100:

4/1/2005 2:27 PM A.8

[142:6] [143:4] [225:4]

example [13:4] [19:2] [25:20]

[26:12,16] [33:9] [34:11]

[35:3,5] [45:16] [47:2]

7] [195:5]	[119:3,11] [120:1] [121:8]	florida [26:17] [53:10,14]	further [11:5] [108:3] [266:15]
explained [27:8] [28:19]	[123:11,15,22] [222:18]	focus [13:5] [17:14] [40:9]	future [21:6] [186:8]
[211:3]	[254:2]	[45:12] [88:18]	6
exposed [18:21] exposing [19:11]	fdas [120:17] feasible [141:17]	folder [191:11] folks [32:2] [44:14]	G
expressscripts [129:20]	feature [68:15,16]	follow [142:20] [234:4]	gave [58:22] [63:19] [196:6]
[130:5] [131:18] [132:13]	features [68:14] [84:21]	followed [82:11] [85:2]	[205:10] [217:15] [246:17]
[133:8] [134:4,20] [135:22]	february [6:12] [131:1]	following [142:1]	[265:4,6,7]
[136:3,12,15,18,21] [137:10]	fee [197:20] [198:11,12,18]	follows [8:14]	geez [191:16]
[138:5,18] [139:4,13] [167:11] [172:19,22] [241:	[200:7,18] [202:3] [203:17] [205:19]	footnote [172:15] [181:3] force [25:13] [28:7] [30:7,13]	generally [168:20] [178:21] generate [192:21] [213:18]
12,14]	feed [75:15] [76:13] [79:15]	[47:4] [68:18] [72:15]	[232:3]
extemporaneous [264:15]	feedback [90:3] [184:15]	[83:13] [86:1] [107:8]	generated [225:16]
extent [22:10] [40:7] [46:3]	feel [38:14] [44:11] [45:8]	[219:1] [225:18] [228:5]	generic [19:10] [59:6] [60:11
[71:18] [91:3] [138:4]	[62:22] [263:20]	[231:7,14] [260:16]	,13,18] [61:2,9,16] [62:10]
[180:17] [185:9] [186:2] [192:7] [213:20] [247:7]	feet [230:22] feinberg [5:3] [8:1]	forces [25:17] [84:12] foregoing [266:5,7]	[63:5] [71:5] [87:17] [100:10] [188:22] [198:12] [218:14]
[249:2] [252:8] [259:18]	felt [12:14] [16:20] [23:21]	form [47:19] [79:18] [85:7]	[239:10,16] [242:1] [244:17]
extracted [75:7]	[80:15] [220:12]	[94:9] [95:4] [96:4] [98:9]	[249:10] [250:1,4] [253:11
eye [39:21] [40:12]	femhrt [228:18]	[104:6] [107:4] [113:12]	,18] [254:14,18]
	fence [145:4]	[119:16] [120:4] [122:8]	generically [34:17] [224:4]
F	ferrell [4:4] [8:8]	[123:18] [125:19,22] [132:	generics [18:22] [59:11,20]
face [146:8] [147:12] [250:18]	few [211:17] [217:22] [255: 6]	19] [137:1] [138:20] [166:9] formal [193:14]	[61:5,6] [146:13] [228:21] [239:21] [242:18] [243:4,6]
faced [227:8]	field [193:4,5]	formally [164:10]	[247:10] [248:2]
facilities [22:2] [41:9]	fight [60:22] [139:16] [143:	format [264:20]	geography [53:14]
facing [146:13]	3,7,15]	formerly [54:6]	gets [36:1] [121:13] [141:2]
fact [48:21] [69:2] [72:14]	fighting [148:18]	formula [201:7,8] [205:11,17]	[185:10] [228:7]
[100:12] [104:1] [107:1,18 ,19] [108:14,16,19] [112:2]	figure [81:8] [174:12] [225: 17]	[209:6] [212:20] formularies [26:4] [169:7,17	getting [59:14] [69:11] [88:22] [97:11] [100:22]
[117:12] [121:8] [132:10,13	fill [206:17] [223:14,15]	,21,22] [170:10] [171:12]	[101:13] [113:7] [121:19]
,15] [134:15,21] [136:8,12,20]	filled [176:15] [220:2,14]	[174:9] [187:17] [220:1]	[124:4] [132:17] [143:22]
[137:9] [138:4,16] [141:5,7]	[226:2,18] [227:3] [231:21]	[235:18] [251:8]	[150:4] [155:5,15] [156:3]
[143:18] [146:16] [148:1]	[232:1,5,8] [243:1]	formulary [26:18] [127:5]	[157:3,14,16,21] [158:17]
[155:4] [158:5] [163:7] [166:21] [167:16,17] [168:	final [125:4] financial [127:3,13] [128:13	[128:16] [132:17] [133:8,22] [134:22] [135:10] [136:4,21]	[179:8] [184:15] [204:6] [220:1] [242:13]
2] [170:8,12] [171:3,5,18]	,19] [135:20] [136:5] [139:15	[137:5] [138:18] [149:10]	gibson [183:14]
[174:8,13] [180:15] [183:18]	,19] [143:3,14] [145:5]	[150:7] [152:15] [153:11,13]	gibsons [183:11]
[187:7] [190:5,7] [192:7]	financially [28:22] [143:6]	[157:9] [160:5] [162:13]	giralda [5:4]
[200:2,4] [202:8,13] [203:10]	[153:22] [155:1] [266:18]	[167:19] [168:3] [169:9]	give [19:2] [23:4] [52:14]
[212:19] [213:7] [238:11,13] [241:20] [251:12] [264:7]	find [47:8,10] [48:14] [49:11] [80:2] [105:14] [119:12]	[171:7,11] [177:5] [178:7,9 ,10,19] [181:12,21] [182:7,13	[64:6] [108:2] [131:6] [144:2] [150:19] [176:16]
factor [262:15]	[169:18] [213:7]	,19] [183:4,20,21] [185:11,19]	[177:2] [180:1] [189:19]
fail [183:22]	finds [190:10]	[187:14] [232:13,15] [233:	[190:19] [196:15] [197:4]
fair [248:22]	finish [9:15,16] [242:2]	3] [241:10] [242:4,7,9,11]	[240:9] [243:12] [248:9]
fairly [201:8]	finished [68:7] [204:18]	[243:1]	[249:20]
fairness [64:4] [173:12] falls [176:20]	finkelstein [4:6] [8:6] firestone [51:20]	formulation [128:20] forth [151:20]	given [6:8] [11:3] [38:14] [42:8] [74:20] [75:5] [100:2]
false [76:2,4]	firm [7:16] [14:9]	fortune [152:10,19] [155:9]	[104:19] [107:7] [113:13,14]
familiar [23:14] [29:2] [37:2]	first [8:13] [10:21] [28:2]	forwarded [265:7]	[125:5] [266:12]
[71:8,11,17] [82:13] [85:17]	[49:1] [55:10] [65:1] [76:17]	found [76:11] [110:9] [251:	gives [87:10]
[106:9] [110:21] [111:10]	[101:8] [105:8,17,20]	1]	giving [142:2,5] [246:4]
[112:2] [113:3,4] [187:20,22]	[106:1] [143:16] [144:6,11	foundation [77:9,10]	[257:14]
[192:16] [199:12] [250:8] far [34:20] [125:16] [128:11]	,20] [151:11] [158:13] [161:12,15] [182:4] [190:18]	four [11:10] [20:12,13] [27:22] [28:12] [83:2,22]	glib [249:21] go [14:21] [16:1] [23:5]
fared [81:4]	[101.12,13][102.4][190.10]	[27.22] [20.12] [03.2,22] [84:13] [100:6] [224:17]	90 [14.21] [10.1] [23.3] [26.2 10 14 18] [27.15]

[84:13] [190:6] [224:17]

frankly [29:9] [113:6] [186:

full [8:17] [84:14] [120:19]

12] [196:6] [202:18] [213:16]

frank [27:17] [33:3,4]

[236:5]

frame [83:15]

free [249:14]

fully [152:5]

friends [193:16]

funding [31:17]

[26:2,10,14,18] [27:15]

[53:19] [59:21] [60:1]

[63:13] [68:13] [71:4]

[81:20] [85:10] [86:18]

[89:15] [91:3] [102:17]

,14] [153:12] [156:7,9]

[105:15] [109:4] [118:3]

[129:11] [133:15] [134:21]

[136:9,20] [143:21] [144:7

[29:11] [32:19] [34:7,15] [35:1] [38:4,12] [39:1]

[191:2] [197:6] [198:5,10]

[203:19,21] [208:13] [209:

2] [210:8] [211:21] [212:15

[224:11] [226:8] [230:11]

fit [41:3] [110:20] [168:10,12]

five [111:16] [224:17] [262:

,21] [213:3,12] [218:6]

[239:21] [246:16,19]

fits [168:14]

flashes [101:22]

fared [81:4]

farms [5:4]

fd [119:3]

fast [233:11]

favorable [67:6] [82:17]

[73:12] [94:4] [97:21]

fda [59:10] [71:13] [72:5,10]

[100:8] [102:18] [107:19]

[108:15,16,19] [114:14,19]

[116:21] [117:11] [118:10]

favorably [64:21]

[158:20] [160:7] [175:7] [176:13] [184:10] [191:3,6 ,11] [193:19] [196:10] [198:3] [211:1] [221:15,16] [230:13] [233:15] [236:6] [242:19] [243:2] [248:3,4,7] [254:11,20] [255:7] [262:22] [263:20] goal [237:7] goals [208:13] goat [75:14] [76:14] [79:16] god [145:7] [189:16] godfrey [18:6,9] [43:6] [74:20] goes [127:7] [128:13] [132: 7] [143:20] [203:12] [230:6] [254:5] going [9:14,18] [11:2,14,21] [12:14] [13:2,4] [21:1,4] [23:13] [28:14] [29:10] [30:20] [31:13] [36:4] [38:10,17] [39:14] [52:9] [59:15] [60:8] [61:10] [68:11] [69:1] [70:22] [74:4] [79:20,21] [80:2,3,6] [81:10,12,22] [85:13,14]
[86:10] [87:9,17] [89:18] [93:17] [100:14] [106:22] [108:8,12] [109:8] [113:11] [123:4,5,13] [124:15] [128:6] [131:5,6] [133:15] [135:2,7,9] [136:11] [141:21]
[142:1,3] [144:2,4,7,10,20] [145:21] [146:5] [148:12,14] [149:18,21] [152:1] [153:21 ,22] [154:1,5] [156:5,7,13] [169:18] [170:13] [177:1] [179:11] [187:13] [189:13] [195:19] [197:9] [211:8,13]
[213:3,7,8,9,15,17,21] [221:9,11] [223:5] [230:7,10] [233:8,22] [236:19] [237:20] [240:8] [241:12] [245:7] [248:9,12] [249:20] [254:4 ,9] [259:2,19,20] [265:16] gone [91:4] [108:3] [137:15] [139:9]
good [92:6] [96:16] [102:16] [122:21] [167:13,14] [226: 3]
goodin [2:5] [266:4,] goodyear [51:20] gordon [3:13] [4:12] [7:22] [8:21] [77:7] [91:20] [179:7]
[199:1] gosh [11:21] [131:3] [250:16] gotten [76:3] [145:12] government [21:3] [104:17] [116:20]
governmentapproved [104:17] government-approved
[104:17] gpo [143:22] greek [61:22] green [39:5]

grid [133:2] grin [250:18] group [13:5] [26:10] [34:13] [49:4] [51:6] [107:10,12] [160:4] [161:8,15] [168:3] [176:20,21] groups [51:5] grow [28:17,20] guess [54:6] [68:11] [69:10] [75:22] [79:5] [80:18] [92:12] [96:14] [104:7] [113:7] [121:5] [125:4] [134:2,14] [143:5] [146:4] [169:11] [170:3] [171:10] [177:2] [183:10] [195:21] [212:17] [219:11] [224:14] [234:5] [244:19] guessing [11:22] guidance [257:14] guy [249:11]

Н

```
habit [9:13] [185:6,16]
habits [186:8]
hadnt [141:7] [219:22]
half [13:6] [176:12] [196:4]
 [203:20,21] [224:10] [234:
hallmarks [248:22]
hand [122:2] [196:14] [246:
handed [191:12]
handing [130:21] [196:22]
handle [164:17]
handles [170:15] [177:18]
hangley [3:14]
happen [156:15] [175:2,6]
happened [90:21] [136:14,19]
 [180:13] [202:14] [233:14]
 [261:22]
happening [38:10] [124:12
 ,13] [174:17] [191:17]
harbor [119:21]
hard [66:4] [145:10] [174:17]
harrisburg [3:17]
hasnt [125:16]
havent [14:5] [90:20] [106:17]
 [112:13] [137:15,16] [138:
 15] [143:4] [154:19,22]
 [157:22] [217:7] [235:8]
 [252:20]
having [8:12] [40:18] [58:7,11]
 [68:12] [70:6] [84:11]
  [91:16] [93:1] [98:19]
 [99:1] [110:2] [113:6]
 [163:11] [188:7] [193:20]
 [215:15] [216:19] [243:18]
 [247:22]
head [85:22] [160:3] [185:1]
 [215:12] [233:6]
headed [179:19]
heading [126:21] [207:9]
headings [73:17]
```

health [40:12] [88:11] [116:
15] [122:20] [124:17] [162:
18] [180:5] [193:21]
healthcare [170:15] [175:8] [179:1] [187:12]
hear [193:18] [194:2]
heard [156:11] [194:7]
heck [233:1,8] held [7:11]
help [32:17] [61:22]
helpful [44:18]
helping [146:12]
hence [114:20] hereby [266:6]
herein [55:1]
heres [226:4]
hereto [266:18]
hes [109:5] [191:16] hey [221:17] [248:7]
high [85:6,14,19] [86:1,4]
[89:5,12] [144:15] [147:1]
[164:10]
higher [196:7,9] [206:18] [213:9] [227:20]
highly [1:17]
hill [6:11] [43:12,14] [128:2
,10] [129:16,17] [130:22]
[132:11] [134:17] hills [129:15] [130:13]
[136:19]
hire [30:14] [34:13]
hired [28:18] [48:7] [60:17]
[164:8] [209:18] history [237:10]
hit [208:19]
hmo [26:5] [52:18] [160:5]
[162:19] [173:19] [176:21]
hmos [50:20] [56:5,9] [129: 1] [158:16] [160:17] [169:3
,10,17,21] [170:6,8,15]
[172:2] [178:22] [235:19]
hoc [19:1,15]
hoffmann [19:6] [20:14,17] [21:15,18] [22:17] [23:3]
[50:10] [52:10,12] [53:6,7]
[83:20] [88:2,3,6,16] [258:
15] [259:5,8]
hoffmannla [19:6] [20:14,17] [21:15,18] [22:17] [23:3]
[50:10] [52:10,12] [53:6,7]
[83:20] [88:16] [258:15]
hoffmann-la [19:6] [20:14,17]
[21:15,18] [22:17] [23:3] [50:10] [52:10,12] [53:6,7]
[83:20] [88:16] [258:15]
hogan [1:6]
hold [64:11,14] [86:17]
home [151:20] homes [21:5] [22:2,4]
honestly [19:22] [29:7]
[44:22] [78:10]
hoofs [75:14] [76:15] [79:16]
[80:11]
hopefully [41:19] hormone [150:6] [261:1]
horse [75:10 13] [76:10]

horses [75:7] hospitals [51:10] [52:22] hot [101:22] hour [12:6,21] [32:9] [33:1] hours [11:19] [20:10] [22:21] [29:5,8] [32:9] [180:10] however [52:22] [67:22] [70:8] [102:22] [127:9] [162:7] [163:2] [170:19] [177:17] hrt [115:17] [117:1] [236:11] [264:2] ht [229:10] human [71:3] humana [26:17,20] [27:4] [31:20] [33:10,12] hundred [240:6] hypothetical [76:19,20] hypothetically [75:11]

id [56:7] idea [69:20] [129:4,6] [130: 11] [168:14] [183:13,20] [202:5] ideal [30:7] identical [98:3,8] [223:21] identification [15:2] [63:16] [117:17] [118:5] [130:19] [140:4] [196:19] [197:2] identified [18:1] [51:6] identify [15:11] ill [217:10] illinois [4:15] [178:12] im [11:14] [35:6] [76:18] [85:8] [92:12] [101:14,16] [113:1] [114:20] [135:21] [147:21] [189:16,17] [230: image [115:17] [123:1] imagine [38:5] [166:8,16] impact [76:11] [80:22] [81:1,15] [93:3,11,21] [95:2] [97:14] [175:20] [242:15] impacted [246:19] impacting [177:7] impediment [178:8] [227:8] implemented [29:14] [180: 21] **implies** [64:18] important [24:2] [58:13] [60:4] [220:12] [231:8,15,17] impossible [238:21] impression [14:8] [98:13] improper [102:21,22] improved [64:20] [70:7] ims [168:17] [203:11] [216:

7,16] [235:15,16] [243:16]

incentives [139:16] [143:3,7

inaccurate [16:18,20]

inc [1:8,10] [3:11]

inclined [93:17]

[17:2]

,14]

4/1/2005 2:27 PM A.10

horse [75:10,13] [76:10]

include [12:1] [22:10,11,13] [35:12] [105:2] [202:18] [264:9,14] included [48:5] [102:11]
[143:19] [227:13,18] includes [203:7] [209:17] [234:11] [236:10]
including [120:20] [252:18] inclusion [128:20] [162:13] incorporated [7:6]
increase [12:8,11] [144:22] [145:6] [146:3] increases [145:7]
increasing [149:3] incurred [39:2]
indeed [49:1] [109:20] [114:19] indianapolis [113:20]
indicate [256:7] indicated [67:5] [72:15] [94:5,20] [100:13] [101:5,9]
[107:20] [113:15] [119:13] [120:19] [125:18] [160:7] [175:18] [200:1,3] [255:13]
[256:11] indicates [69:14] [96:21] [124:14] [197:16] [256:19]
indicating [101:5] indication [102:8,19] [105: 6] [108:2] [114:4,22] [116:14]
[121:4,13] [123:20] [124:1] indications [98:3,8,18] [99:2,7] [101:19] [102:6,12] [104:17] [114:16,19] [115:
18] [119:14] [120:19] [135: 15] [218:22] [223:4] [252:2] [253:13] [254:17]
indirect [8:7] [41:10] individual [27:17] [46:2] [171:5]
individuals [31:18] [37:8] [45:14] [51:15] [165:12] [166:17] [195:9]
industry [41:13] [166:1] inexperienced [219:1] inferior [218:21]
influence [145:20] informal [193:15] information [19:1] [42:12]
[47:9] [74:19] [101:1] [103:1,8,10,11,13,16,20] [105:10,18,22] [107:9,13]
[119:21] [121:16] [125:6,11] [126:7] [168:18] [193:21] [233:6]
informed [98:12] [190:17] ingredient [23:17] ingredients [24:10,15]
inhibitor [111:7] [112:5] inhibitors [111:12] [148:17] [250:10]
initial [78:3] [82:10] [93:2,13] [97:16] [151:11] [165:2,3] [252:21] [257:18]
initially [90:1] initiated [36:22] [68:1]

10/14/2004
initiative [116:15] [122:20]
[124:17]
input [89:19]
ins [64:5]
insight [19:1] insights [23:4]
insignificant [194:10]
instance [103:10] [131:20]
[232:6]
instances [129:12] [136:8] [175:17] [252:22]
instead [33:18] [35:4] [59:22]
[76:12] [121:9] [246:17] insulin [250:10]
insurance [187:8] [191:4,9
,21] [192:3,9]
insured [189:8] [195:8,19] [200:2] [202:8,14] [232:11]
insureds [195:8]
intended [126:2]
interact [31:15]
interchange [100:3,15,16]
interchangeable [99:22] [100:9]
interchangeably [223:18]
interested [11:4] [46:22]
[47:16] [266:18]
interesting [27:1] [110:11] [202:16] [207:8] [225:22]
[249:19] [253:15]
internal [124:18]
interpose [44:21] [204:22]
interrupt [77:8] intervention [162:10]
interviewed [28:6]
interviews [192:20] [193:2]
introduce [7:20]
introduced [28:2] [83:22]
[188:6] [214:21] introductions [31:21]
invested [214:8,13]
investigating [21:9]
investment [18:18]
involve [22:5,6,8] [33:2]
[40:5] [61:7] involved [19:14] [25:16]
[26:9] [88:12,13] [166:9,11]
[254:9] [258:5] [260:22]
isnt [56:21] [75:17] [105:11]
[139:4] [183:7,20] [184:1]
[189:6] [191:2,10] [216:5] [225:14,18] [247:21]
isoptin [214:1]
issue [38:16,17] [80:16]
[126:22] [127:22] [176:2]
[194:5] [215:21] [228:8] issues [11:1] [40:5] [42:13]
[45:10] [47:4] [130:2]
[134:20] [176:4] [178:15]
item [210:16]
items [43:5]
itself [125:3] [183:21] iv [159:12]
ive [42,22] [94,47] [95,0]

ive [13:22] [81:17] [85:9]

[190:19] [234:5]

[119:17] [146:2] [156:10]

J
j.b.d.I [1:] [7:5] [10:8] [11:9] [12:3] [13:20] [14:10,13] [43:22] [44:4] [110:4] james [5:8] [6:11] [7:15] [130:22] [225:5,8] jay [3:4] [8:3] [10:9] [11:18] [13:21] [45:4] [47:9] [265:7] jeff [46:8] jeopardy [29:18] jersey [5:5] [178:11] jim [129:17] job [1:21] [28:10] [30:13,14] [135:12] joe [151:21] johnson [23:9,11] [24:6] [153:7] [154:1,5] jones [21:19] journal [121:11,18] journals [110:22] judge [1:5,,12] june [92:4]
K
kaiser [40:22] [41:6,7,9] [56:9,17] [57:1,12,13] keep [42:19] [60:3] [217:12] [232:4,17] [244:10] [248:20] [254:15] keeping [143:22]

<u>n</u>
kaiser [40:22] [41:6,7,9]
[56:9,17] [57:1,12,13]
keep [42:19] [60:3] [217:12]
[232:4,17] [244:10] [248:20]
[254:15]
keeping [143:22]
key [99:19]
kill [128:6]
kind [13:5] [22:14] [25:12]
[27:1] [36:1,5] [37:6] [41:3]
[66:4] [68:19] [103:8]
[104:22] [105:9,18] [110:10]
[128:14] [148:2,5] [155:10]
[166:13] [167:6] [213:10]
kinds [11:1] [19:13] [21:4]
[68:12,13] [86:15] [111:4]
[184:15] [194:2]
kinetics [19:3]
knew [9:13] [79:13] [97:8]
[113:6] [223:4]
know [8:20] [9:3,17] [13:22]
[19:9] [28:11] [29:21]
[30:1,10] [34:20] [35:16,20
,21] [38:6] [45:2] [56:12]
[58:9] [60:19] [72:22]
[78:10] [80:9] [81:9,17]
[83:16] [89:15] [91:14,18]
[92:9] [95:8,13] [96:10,15,16]
[103:4] [104:8,9] [105:3,19
,22] [106:2] [107:5] [113:22]
[114:6,7] [117:10] [119:22]
[122:9,10,12] [123:21]
[125:16,21] [126:18] [128:
22] [131:8] [137:17] [138:3]
[139:12] [151:21] [152:20]
[154:17,22] [155:12] [156:
1,6,16] [157:19] [158:11,20]
[163:17] [164:11] [165:17] [166:15] [167:7] [169:1,4]
[100.10][107.7][109.1,4]

[171:20] [172:20] [173:10] [176:19] [177:15] [179:3,4 ,6] [180:20,22] [181:2] [182:16,20] [184:1] [186:15] [187:9] [188:10,13,14] [189:2,19] [190:16] [191:19] [192:1] [196:10] [201:1,6,12] [202:15] [210:20] [212:18] [213:21] [224:18] [229:10] [230:5] [233:13] [234:22] [235:1,4,22] [236:18] [237:4] [242:12] [244:10,11 ,17] [245:2] [247:3] [248:8,15] [252:4,8] [254:10] [256:4,12 ,13,14,17,18] [257:3,7,16] [258:12] [259:3,20] [261:22] [262:2,18] [264:7,10] knowing [95:20] [185:19] knowledge [74:5] [79:22] [107:16,17] [164:6] [258:13] [261:9] known [78:8] knows [190:14] kodroff [3:5] kolassa [6:14] [42:8,10,13] [45:13] [46:19] [48:17,20] [64:18] [82:9] [90:8] [116:2 ,11] [124:7,10] [127:2,7,12] [139:18,20] [140:7,13] [143:9,20] [214:21] [231:5] [245:11] kolassas [225:11] [245:15] [260:11] korbel [43:18] krishna [14:7]

L

lab [67:11] label [71:19] [94:22] [249:18] laboratories [1:8] labs [6:9] [104:4] [107:12] [108:19] [114:15] [117:20] [118:8] [120:8] lack [77:10] [102:2] [116:13] [126:22] [199:2] language [49:14] [184:11] [208:1] [227:19] large [51:11] [83:13] [103:7] [155:9] [210:2] [213:14] [254:13] largely [188:6] larger [150:19] last [9:12] [10:4,14] [16:10] [17:15] [18:1,5] [20:7] [25:3] [27:22] [28:4,12] [32:8] [41:14,16,19] [50:9] [52:10] [55:2] [62:5,6] [85:9] [111:3,16,18] [112:3] [122:20] [146:14] [152:5] [159:21] [160:20] [161:6] [190:21] [193:8] [208:18] [209:11] [215:3] [216:2,5,14] [217:1,18] [230:21] [252:11] [261:5] late [17:6] [39:14] [259:14]

[260:5]
later [69:1] [83:20] [186:14]
[215:14] [223:9]
latest [152:11]
laughlin [5:8] [7:15]
launch [61:2,8] [82:13]
[90:19] [92:3,11,16] [93:3]
[97:13] [146:13] [166:3]
[97.13] [140.13] [100.3]
[194:18] [195:2] [249:22]
[258:2,8] [259:8]
launched [61:9] [82:18]
[84:2] [90:14] [118:12,20]
[165:14,15,19] [216:8]
[221:4,21] [257:9] [258:6,14]
[259:6,11,17]
launching [221:7] [229:16]
[257:11] [260:8]
law [104:3] [177:13,15]
lawyers [14:6]
lead [173:17]
leap [222:14] [242:16]
learn [250:20]
learned [93:9] [186:3]
least [29:3] [34:20] [60:5]
[78:14] [92:17] [123:14]
[125:15] [126:10,11] [127:
20] [128:10,21] [130:13]
[148:15] [159:21] [160:10]
[162:14] [165:4] [192:21]
[200:3,13] [213:13] [216:19
,20] [219:7] [245:20] [246:19]
[259:18] [262:13]
left [54:11] [131:13]
lefthand [131:13]
left-hand [131:13]
legal [7:17] [104:8,9] [156:17]
lemmon [54:6,9,10]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17] [117:13] [118:1] [119:18]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17] [117:13] [118:1] [119:18] [126:21] [130:16] [140:1,11]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17] [117:13] [118:1] [119:18] [126:21] [130:16] [140:1,11]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17] [117:13] [118:1] [119:18] [126:21] [130:16] [140:1,11] [142:17] [150:13] [159:11,15] [176:17] [184:3] [189:19]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17] [117:13] [118:1] [119:18] [126:21] [130:16] [140:1,11] [142:17] [150:13] [159:11,15] [176:17] [184:3] [189:19] [194:8,18] [196:14,15]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17] [117:13] [118:1] [119:18] [126:21] [130:16] [140:1,11] [142:17] [150:13] [159:11,15] [176:17] [184:3] [189:19] [194:8,18] [196:14,15] [204:12,15] [210:12] [215:
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17] [117:13] [118:1] [119:18] [126:21] [130:16] [140:1,11] [142:17] [150:13] [159:11,15] [176:17] [184:3] [189:19] [194:8,18] [196:14,15]
lemmon [54:6,9,10] lend [31:19] lens [39:21] lenses [39:17,20] [40:1] lescol [112:18] [187:20,22] [188:1,5,20,22] [189:19] [190:5,14,18] lescols [188:10,16] [189:1] less [11:14] [18:22] [29:18] [49:4,19] [62:22] [63:3] [115:19] [177:9] [190:14,15] [195:3] [198:7] [206:12,19] [218:13] [228:13] [229:16] [236:12] [241:21] lessened [115:8] lesser [198:17] let [9:3,16] [13:13,14,15] [15:7,17,22] [17:10,16] [19:2] [26:15] [31:4] [50:16] [63:8] [65:1,17] [71:7] [81:5,12] [86:5] [88:5] [91:5] [92:5] [97:4,19] [104:13] [106:21] [113:17] [117:13] [118:1] [119:18] [126:21] [130:16] [140:1,11] [142:17] [150:13] [159:11,15] [176:17] [184:3] [189:19] [194:8,18] [196:14,15] [204:12,15] [210:12] [215:

04-SSB-TSH 10	Docum 0/14/2004
[227:22] [233:21] [243:21] [245:9] lets [13:5,13] [15:: [31:21] [37:12,13: [50:21] [51:7] [56:: [56:16] [59:17] [6:: [79:11] [88:7] [88:: [101:6] [109:4] [1:: [118:3] [142:15] [152:8] [153:4] [1:: [167:11] [170:13: [179:2,10] [192:2: [211:1] [220:17,7: [227:21] [233:15: [239:4,9] [240:1] [243:15] [260:19: [243:15] [260:19: [243:15] [260:19: [19:6] [120:6] [1:: [19:6] [120:6] [1:: [19:6] [120:6] [1:: [19:6] [177:14] [19:6] [177:14] [19:6] [177:14] [10:6] [155:17] [10:6] [153:10] [252:: [10:6] [153:10] [252:: [10:6] [153:10] [252:: [10:6] [188:2] [190:2: [247:20] [10:6] [188:2] [10:6] [10:6] [10:6] [10:6] [178:2] [10:7:13] [155:17 [10:	[242:2] [255:9] [22] [25:3] [3,15] [5:10] [53:9] [9:9] [92:17] [17:15] [145:9] [172:8] [2] [206:14] [18,21] [1236:6] [241:12] [1261:12] [1212:4] [230:16] [2
[63:20] [70:14] [' little [37:3] [109:2 live [173:1] lives [152:9] [159: 9,21,22] [161:16] [170:10] [173:19 load [180:3]	1] :14] [160: [162:15]
located [19:17] logic [141:20] [22 logo [66:13,19] lomb [17:9] [36:17	-

[39:4,16] [40:5] **long** [20:21] [21:5] [22:1]

longer [144:2]

,16] [115:18] [116:14]

longterm [20:21] [21:5]

[22:1] [26:7] [115:18]

[116:14] [123:3] **long-term** [20:21] [21:5]

[123:3] [126:18] [142:4]

[26:7] [32:7] [62:20] [104:15

[22:1] [26:7] [115:18] [116: 14] [123:3] look [18:20] [20:21] [21:6] [24:2] [28:22] [41:17] [42:15] [45:9] [66:3,13] [67:17] [68:22] [73:1,16,19] [86:12] [90:11] [106:19] [119:1] [138:16] [157:19] [158:21] [169:17] [172:8] [173:13] [176:3] [177:5] [186:15,18,22] [192:13] [194:16] [196:11,21] [197: 15] [203:14] [207:17] [211: 3] [213:1] [214:18] [218:1,3] [225:12] [226:5,8,9,12,16] [230:14,15] [232:18] [250: 12] [255:9] [260:2] [261:4] [263:16] looked [24:1] [42:16] [44:4] [67:9,14] [70:1] [73:2] [90:20] [106:11] [124:11] [158:8] [159:8] [168:1] [172:18] [174:19] [192:6,11] [215:20] [216:14] [235:15,18] [252:5] [263:15] looking [13:6] [18:7] [23:12] [28:7] [43:10] [46:4] [49:7] [64:2,16] [66:17] [95:16] [145:4] [148:20] [155:7,12] [156:4] [158:22] [161:14] [191:15] [202:17] [228:18,19] [229:1,6] [237:4] [242:4] [245:5] looks [15:6] [63:21] [66:4] [140:10] [160:15] lori [1:20] [2:5] [7:18] [39:5] [266:4,] lose [38:21] [136:11] [144:4] [151:16,17] [154:1] [238:19,20] losing [76:5] loss [39:2]
losses [19:12]
lost [89:7] [96:8]
lot [12:13] [29:8] [52:18] [56:19] [86:11] [102:10]
[114:8] [129:9] [136:8]
[176:3] lots [168:9]
loughran [4:6] [8:6]
love [213:22] [214:2]
low [85:15] [162:7] [171:3] [180:18]
lower [40:18] [197:17]
[198:6] [200:5] [202:10] [205:17] [213:9] [252:15]
[253:1] [254:14]
lowest [123:13] [157:13,18] luvox [262:20]
M

mackenzie [1:20] [2:5] [7:18] [266:4,] madison [5:5] magic [245:1] magistrate [1:] magnitude [256:10] mail [55:5] mailed [245:16] mailing [245:18] maintain [237:14] maintained [28:10] major [31:3] [34:20,21] [40:9] [45:12] [53:10] [62:9] [87:14] [163:20] majority [105:14] [128:9] [135:7,18] [148:15] [150:16] [163:3] [170:20] [175:17] making [82:2] [108:13] [135:3] [153:21] [190:17] [222:21] [223:10] [242:16] man [75:4] manage [54:1] [134:12] [178:18,19] managed [22:8] [23:6] [25:6,14,15,18,22] [26:1,6 ,11] [30:17] [31:10,18] [32:2] [34:6,21] [35:8] [40:6,7,14,17,20] [45:17,22] [46:4,6] [47:3] [50:12,17] [51:11] [52:13,18,20,21] [53:3,6,8,10,17,20] [54:2,4 ,15] [55:3,19] [56:13,19] [57:11] [64:15] [85:4,18,22] [89:3,11] [106:5,12] [127:21] [134:12] [140:16] [141:7] [147:7] [151:10,16] [159:14] [160:4] [161:7,8] [163:8,11] [164:2,5,9,12,16,18] [165: 12] [166:1,2,11,18,20] [167:18] [168:3] [170:10] [172:11] [174:22] [178:17] [180:2,17] [184:9] [186:20] [187:17] [188:9,12,17] [190:6] [199:19] [201:10] [232:20] [235:19] [238:2] [247:22] [257:14] management [21:10] [193: 21] manager [46:8] managers [49:21] [89:4] [90:3] managing [179:1] manner [207:12] [219:3,4,14] [220:20] manufacturer [23:16] [27:5] [37:21] [61:16] [84:4] [196:3] [214:4] [242:19,22] [249:10] manufacturers [61:17] [84:6] [103:7] [156:14,18] [205:17] [214:3] march [91:12,17,22] [92:1] mares [79:4,9] margin [142:7] margins [143:5,10] marjorie [4:3] [8:8]

4/1/2005 2:27 PM A.12

mac [198:11,16]

machine [266:9]

machination [39:1]

mark [14:22] [62:14] [119:1	[126:2]	[176:21] [177:2 12] [178:10]	microading [01:20]
mark [14:22] [63:14] [118:1 ,3] [196:16]	[126:3] math [141:1,19]	[176:21] [177:3,12] [178:19] [185:13] [186:21] [187:5]	misreading [91:20] missed [17:4] [241:15]
marked [15:2,17,18] [17:1,11]	matter [10:1] [14:6] [33:14]	[192:2] [197:7,13] [254:6]	mistaken [132:21] [133:3]
[62:4] [63:16] [65:18]	[95:16] [107:1] [144:17]	medicine [190:12]	mix [90:7]
[117:17] [118:5] [130:17,19]	[183:21] [219:21] [223:20]	medimed [191:16]	model [27:1]
[140:2,4] [159:15] [196:15	[227:14] [237:12]	medimpact [139:2,3]	moderately [83:14]
,18] [197:2] [207:14] [209:12]	matters [7:4]	medispan [105:9,17,19]	molecule [93:15]
[212:12] [216:2]	may [30:18] [34:11] [37:16]	[106:1] [181:5,7] [183:10]	moment [129:14] [233:16]
market [3:6] [18:20] [19:11]	[44:22] [59:6] [60:20]	[184:19] [193:1,13]	[241:16] [253:3]
[22:12] [38:9] [59:21]	[67:22] [69:4] [108:22]	medi-span [105:9,17,19]	money [181:19] [228:13]
[61:18] [62:10] [63:6,12]	[127:10] [174:14] [189:10]	[106:1] [181:5,7] [183:10]	[229:16] [236:12] [246:10]
[76:4] [80:3] [81:10] [86:12	[229:13]	[184:19] [193:1,13]	monies [155:15] [156:3]
,15] [87:21] [88:17] [89:15]	maybe [11:22] [20:12]	medium [265:2]	monitor [19:10]
[92:9,19,21] [93:4] [97:14]	[45:19] [73:1] [81:14]	meeting [26:15] [32:19]	month [112:3] [119:6]
[111:16] [112:8,12,20]	[107:8,9] [159:17] [248:18	meetings [21:12] [26:19]	[120:10] [256:11] [257:5]
[113:3,5] [114:22] [123:5]	,19] [251:3] [261:12]	[31:14] [32:1,5,7,11,22]	months [10:18] [11:10,15]
[125:3] [128:15] [141:5,22]	mc [25:14]	[33:1,15,20,21] [130:11]	[92:3] [93:2] [97:16] [208:13
[144:16,19] [145:1,11,13,15]	mcaccess [25:6,10,11,12]	[134:8] [193:17]	,16]
[146:22] [149:4] [150:17]	[27:9,16,20] [28:18,20]	members [14:18]	monumental [144:20]
[157:20] [160:8] [176:20,21]	[29:6,19] [31:1,7,11,16]	menopause [102:1,3]	morning [122:21]
[179:20] [181:16] [182:2]	[32:2,12] [33:2,22]	mention [251:20]	mother [189:15]
[183:2,3,7,8] [184:2] [185: 13,21] [186:11,22] [187:16]	m-c-access [25:10,11] mcdonough [44:7] [46:19,20]	mentioned [46:20] [60:12] [82:22] [139:1] [214:1]	motivate [153:20] motivating [99:19]
[188:6,11,16] [189:9]	[127:2,12] [201:15] [205:19]	[253:3] [257:9]	mouth [249:6]
[190:5,6] [195:7] [207:11]	[206:3,5]	merck [6:16] [197:7] [200:3]	move [200:12]
[208:15] [213:17] [216:18]	mcnealey [161:22]	[252:5]	moving [13:14]
[217:5,19] [218:12,14]	mco [194:10]	meridian [1:10] [3:11]	mplan [129:7]
[221:9,12,17] [223:1]	mcos [114:2] [205:16]	[7:6]	m-plan [129:7]
[224:16] [225:10] [229:4,5	meals [83:6]	message [78:16] [155:21]	mr [6:3,6,7] [7:22] [8:1,3,5,16
,6,9] [230:6,14] [233:22]	mean [31:12] [32:18] [35:11	messages [176:6] [220:5,8	,20] [10:13] [14:6,21] [15:3]
[234:1,2,3] [235:2,5,12]	,20] [40:10] [41:5] [42:4,5]	,11]	[16:7,8] [25:2] [44:20]
[236:9] [238:3] [243:18,22]	[45:16,17] [50:18] [51:9,14]	met [8:21] [28:9] [85:10]	[45:3,6,15] [47:19,22]
[244:2,5,8] [245:4] [253:12]	[53:16] [59:1] [76:6] [78:11]	metoo [214:22]	[52:14,15,16] [63:13,17]
[260:2]	[89:8] [91:2] [95:7] [115:3]	me-too [214:22]	[76:16] [77:4,7,11] [79:18]
marketed [108:18] [114:17] [218:21]	[122:20] [123:21] [137:22] [142:9] [143:12] [145:3]	mevacor [112:18] mid [259:22]	[80:17] [82:3,5] [85:7,16] [88:22] [91:19] [92:2,7]
marketer [77:14] [81:2,5]	[146:11] [152:21] [162:17]	mid80s [259:22]	[94:8,11] [95:4,14] [96:3,5]
marketing [21:21] [39:11]	[164:14] [168:8] [173:11,12]	mid-80s [259:22]	[98:9,15] [104:6,10] [107:4
[46:2,5,7] [75:3] [76:4]	[183:9] [188:20] [189:5]	middle [184:7]	,6] [109:4,8,13,17,20]
[78:13] [80:20] [81:17,18,19]	[190:4] [218:6] [225:17]	miller [172:21]	[110:3] [113:11] [114:11]
[90:7] [119:4] [165:4]	[226:18] [230:5,20] [239:10	milligram [91:12,16] [92:11]	[115:6,13,15] [117:18]
[167:10] [193:17] [207:9]	,18] [244:12,13] [245:2]	[93:10] [95:1,11] [97:10,12]	[118:6] [119:16,19] [121:22]
[210:8] [211:20] [212:15]	[252:17] [258:13]	[118:10,12] [119:5] [120:7	[122:1,8,14] [123:18]
[215:11,21] [219:12] [224:	meaning [133:16]	,11,18] [122:5] [162:4]	[124:2] [125:19] [126:5]
11] [228:14] [229:19] [231:	means [68:18,21] [69:3,8]	[194:20]	[128:2] [129:15,16] [130:13
8,16,18] [232:1] [234:10]	[148:4] [162:11] [183:7]	milligrams [95:9]	,20] [131:15] [132:11,19]
[237:12,16] [244:21] [251: 6]	[210:21] measure [260:2]	million [145:6,8] [152:9,13,14] [161:16] [162:15] [208:14,19]	[133:4] [134:17] [136:19] [137:1,4] [138:20,22]
marketplace [20:21] [24:8]	measured [213:5]	[213:18] [215:10,11,17,18]	[140:1,5] [161:22] [179:7,10
[36:21] [76:8] [80:7] [81:4]	measuring [234:12]	[224:9] [230:7,9,10] [232:2	,16,17] [196:20] [197:3]
[91:15] [95:3] [96:7] [98:22]	medco [6:16] [55:3] [100:22]	,3] [245:2]	[199:1,6,9,10,16] [204:18,20
[113:10] [121:20] [124:12]	[105:12,13] [106:9] [107:12]	mind [69:6] [176:16] [187:11]	,21] [205:7] [208:18] [211:1
[188:18] [215:1] [221:13]	[108:15] [125:6,12,17,22]	[194:7] [218:7] [222:11]	,8,15,16] [233:19] [254:20]
[230:1,17] [237:21] [238:15]	[126:6,10,11,13] [149:1]	minds [122:19] [222:10,11]	[255:5,6] [265:9,11,15]
[239:2] [241:18] [242:9]	[152:13] [197:7] [200:4]	[228:15]	multibillion [111:21]
[250:4] [264:16]	medcos [36:1] [101:4,13]	mine [60:3]	multiple [96:18] [127:8]
marks [109:6,11] [184:14]	[157:2]	minimal [177:3]	muscle [104:20,21]
[211:6,11]	medi [105:9,17,19] [106:1]	minneapolis [54:17] [55:18]	mylans [248:6]
marty [45:21] [160:4] [164:9] [174:22]	[181:5,7] [183:10] [184:19] [193:1,13]	minus [197:19] [198:17] [200:6,17] [201:7] [202:2]	myself [11:7] [64:11,14] [86:18] [252:13]
massachusetts [178:13]	medicaid [176:21] [177:2,5	[203:17] [205:18] [206:14]	[00.10] [202.10]
matched [253:17]	,11,19] [178:20] [185:12,21]	[207:1]	N
material [48:13] [49:14]	[186:20] [187:5] [232:19]	minute [191:8] [239:4]	· ·
[78:13]	medical [6:15] [108:22]	[259:4]	n.w [2:4] [4:7]
materials [12:2] [43:5]	[110:22] [114:8] [121:12,19]	minutes [81:13] [191:14]	name [7:15] [8:18,20] [20:3]
[47:21] [100:21] [101:12]	medicare [6:20] [22:7]	misapplied [245:13]	[39:5] [98:19] [189:1]

[215:15] [217:3,18] [236:16
,17,20] [237:10] [244:16] [249:15]
names [18:19] [110:18]
[213:13] national [6:20] [163:20]
[197:13] natural [100:6]
nature [30:7] [193:14,15]
nbc [180:22] nce [222:5]
nces [214:19] nda [72:13]
near [82:14] [257:19]
necessarily [36:4] [45:13] [51:2] [99:6] [105:5] [189:22]
[252:13] necessary [37:6] [45:9]
[47:5] [89:2] [91:7] [263:20]
need [9:8] [25:22] [30:18,21] [42:12] [81:8] [109:19]
[119:22] [148:4] [197:14] [221:15] [222:2] [224:14]
[243:3]
needed [11:6] [16:10] [44:11] [46:12] [139:16] [143:3,15]
[165:13] [186:6] [220:5,10] needs [209:1]
negotiate [138:8,17] [248:19]
[249:2] negotiated [196:2] [201:10]
[202:2,6,9] [203:6,15] [204:10] [232:12]
neither [266:13]
net [37:22] [38:4,5] [41:4] [162:7] [189:22] [252:18]
network [6:15,21] [197:8,13]
nevertheless [219:17] [224:8]
new [5:5] [16:11] [18:4,20] [20:14] [23:18] [25:21]
[63:5] [173:10] [178:11]
[214:20] [221:10] [222:6] [234:16,18] [243:3] [258:19]
news [81:13] newspaper [111:6] [164:13]
nexium [34:12] [35:3] [218:
4] next [132:7] [142:4]
nexus [264:8] nine [10:18] [92:3]
no [1:21,22] [6:5] [10:2,6]
[13:1] [14:4,16,20] [16:20] [18:14] [23:15] [29:13,16]
[30:4] [31:8] [35:12] [36:16] [40:14,20] [42:7,21] [43:4]
[48:2] [51:12] [53:7,22]
[54:4,20] [55:16,21] [56:1] [57:4,9,10,11,13] [59:12,13
,14] [60:15] [65:5] [68:10] [69:13] [81:9] [87:4] [88:2]
[99:17] [107:1] [117:5,22]
[118:17] [121:5] [126:17] [129:4] [130:8,11] [134:8]
[137:15] [138:9] [139:15] [141:2] [142:8] [143:2,13]
[,,,,,_][,,,_,,,][,,,0,,2,,10]

04-SSB-TSH Docume 10/14/2004
[144:2,5,6] [146:9] [147:16] [148:19] [149:7,11] [153:16] [154:18,20] [156:12] [157: 7] [158:3,7] [164:6,20] [165:10] [166:5] [168:6,14] [172:7] [175:10] [178:3,6] [180:14,21] [181:15,18] [182:22] [183:16] [184:13] [190:4,9] [192:15,18] [193:14] [196:8] [199:14,20] [201:20] [202:5] [204:14,20] [205:2,5,14] [206:6,10] [208:3] [209:10] [215:13,22] [216:16] [218:9] [219:5,21] [223:4,14] [225:7] [226:15] [227:5,12] [230:2] [231:2,3] [232:5] [235:8,21] [236:3] [240:20] [243:16] [250:12,16 [251:21] [252:7,17] [256:12] [257:20] [258:11] [260:14] [261:16] [262:7] [265:9] nominal [76:22] non [74:12,17] [77:2] [163:2] [170:19] nonanimal [74:12,17]
[77:2] nonapprovable [120:6]
[122:4] nonapproval [117:19]
[118:9] [119:6] none [227:12]
nonformulary [163:2]
[170:19] non-formulary [163:2]
[170:19] nor [266:13]
noramco [23:12,14,16]
[24:12] noreen [14:7]
norm [64:7] north [3:15]
northeast [19:18]
northwest [7:12] notary [2:7] [266:21]
note [91:10] [97:21] [179:22]
notes [92:8] [161:22]
nothing [194:7] [240:7] notice [62:15]

noting [118:11]

november [205:4]

notion [69:12] [128:17]

nowhere [147:14] [243:2]

number [7:3] [15:1] [37:14]

[63:15] [109:7,12] [111:11]

[201:12] [207:15] [211:7,12]

[216:3] [224:21] [225:9,15]

[117:16] [118:4] [119:14] [130:18] [140:3] [146:16]

[169:6] [171:10] [197:1]

[226:6,9,10,17] [227:3] [228:4,5] [230:22] [245:1]

[177:1] [196:17] [199:3] **numeral** [159:12] [204:17]

numbers [7:9] [141:2]

numerous [150:5,6] nurse [180:8] nurses [253:21] nursing [21:4] [22:2,4] nutritional [88:9] oath [64:7] object [47:19] [76:16] [77:9] [79:18] [85:7] [94:8] [95:4] [96:4] [98:9] [104:6] [107:4] [113:12] [119:16] [121:22] [122:8] [123:18] [125:19] [132:19] [138:20] [205:4] **objection** [94:8] [137:1] [204:22] [240:18] objectives [61:14] **observer** [32:15] obtained [73:12] [168:2] [199:7] obtaining [261:9] obviously [41:6] [42:9] [114:1] [129:7] [157:6] [218:20] occasion [31:5,9] [41:17] [50:19] [51:4] occur [36:6] occurred [29:17] [78:3] [124:16] [138:13] occurring [175:22] occurs [34:19] [90:21] oclock [179:8,12] ocs [151:17] [250:10] october [1:18] [2:3] [7:13] [10:16] [43:18] [45:4] [63:22] odds [243:21] [244:22] odyssey [17:20] [28:4,7] off [16:1,2] [24:19] [27:21] [28:12] [101:2,8] [109:4,8] [113:2,5] [132:22] [143:16] [147:10] [153:22] [154:5] [179:11] [186:16] [211:1,8] [212:5] [213:12] [215:11] [226:8] [239:21] [242:22] [254:20,22] [265:16] offandon [27:21] [28:12] off-and-on [27:21] [28:12] offer [58:21] [59:2,7] [142:18] [144:15] [153:8] [156:22] [176:5] [202:7] [240:14] [254:10] offered [77:16,18] [91:6] [93:10] [141:6,7,14] [154:15] [155:4] [157:6] [241:1] [253:19] offering [58:2] [154:6] [157:4,11] offers [146:19] [247:12] office [151:21] [180:8,10] [209:16] [211:19] [243:10] [245:20] [253:21] offices [2:4] [53:3] often [150:11]

[27:13] [32:21] [39:19] [66:20] [92:2] [101:22] [131:3] [145:7] [156:6] [172:9] [189:15] [190:19] [204:3] [239:21] [245:9] [247:5] [250:7,16] [252:5] ohio [1:] [7:8] [51:18] okay [9:20,21] [10:16] [14:11] [16:21] [20:13] [22:16,21] [23:2] [27:8] [33:20] [35:13] [36:7] [40:14] [44:13] [45:6] [46:7] [48:15] [49:2] [51:9] [53:5,12,19] [60:2] [63:2] [66:7,12,20] [69:10] [71:6,14] [73:19] [74:10] [75:11] [78:11,21] [81:16] [84:11] [87:16,19] [88:15] [89:17] [94:19] [96:9] [97:11] [98:19] [101:11] [102:13] [103:21] [106:4,14] [109:3] [110:2,13] [111:20] [116:5 ,19] [117:3] [118:19] [119:21] [120:13,16] [122:15] [123: 7,10] [124:21] [125:4,15] [126:6] [128:12,17] [129:21] [130:9] [131:8,14] [134:1] [136:12,18] [137:7,16] [138:15] [139:11] [140:11] [141:4] [143:1] [144:11] [145:5] [146:10] [147:22] [149:14] [150:22] [151:14] [152:3,21] [153:18] [154:18] [158:22] [160:12,14] [161: 1,13] [163:6,17] [164:7] [165:17,21] [168:1,18] [169:1] [170:3,12] [171:13 ,22] [172:5] [173:11] [174:11 ,19] [177:22] [178:21] [179:4] [185:2,8] [187:20] [193:5,8,11] [196:8] [198:22] [199:9] [201:5] [202:8] [203:9] [204:15] [205:20,21] [206:8] [210:14,22] [213:19] [215:20] [217:9] [218:5,17] [220:16] [222:15] [223:12,15 ,20] [226:11] [230:18] [231:4,13,19] [232:4] [233:10] [234:8] [236:6] [238:22] [244:4] [248:17] [250:13,17] [251:4,12] [252:1] [259:21] [261:4] [262:3] [263:22] [264:13,22] [265:5] old [42:9] once [8:21] [159:21] one [7:3] [17:3,4,5] [19:8] [20:6,19] [25:3] [28:5] [32:6,22] [33:15] [34:1] [35:19] [46:21] [47:7] [49:19] [52:21] [53:6,8,10] [59:15] [60:20] [62:15]

[63:2] [64:3] [75:12,13,17]

[80:4] [81:13] [86:11,14] [88:9] [96:20] [99:19]

[105:16] [106:3,8,11]

4/1/2005 2:27 PM A.14

oh [10:14] [11:21] [20:12]

[109:7,21] [112:3] [120:10] [122:12] [133:18] [143:16] [144:18] [145:17,19,22] [146:2,21] [148:10,13,17] [149:13] [150:12,14,18,21] [160:7] [166:9] [169:4,20] [170:1] [171:6] [178:12] [179:4,8,12] [181:15,18] [183:14] [196:13] [199:17] [200:14] [201:15] [205:3] [209:18,19] [210:17] [213: 22] [214:18] [216:4,19] [217:3,5,18] [218:6,7] [223:4] [238:13] [241:13] [242:22] [243:12] [248:17,18 ,21] [249:7] [250:21] [251:5 ,10,21] [256:11] [261:7,9] onebyone [160:7] one-by-one [160:7] one-by-one [160:7] ones [139:8] [155:9] [173:7] [205:8] [249:17] onto [132:7] [136:20] open [160:9,16,21] [169:7,9 ,19] [170:1,9,10] [171:11,12 ,15] [174:9] [177:5] operationally [149:17]
ophthalmic [39:22] opinion [75:2] [76:11]
[80:22] [81:1] [82:11] [85:1] [87:8] [98:6] [99:16] [117:12] [138:14] [222:21] [229:13,15,21] [231:6] [244:18]
opinions [16:11] [44:18] [47:6] [77:15,18] [89:3,10,13]
opposed [70:2] [136:5] [167:20] [196:1] [204:9] [226:13]
opposite [251:19]
optical [40:19] option [135:3] [232:14]
oral [24:8] [153:5,8] [154:7]
[155:2]
order [30:10] [45:9] [55:5] [66:10] [71:12,22] [81:8] [86:16] [94:7] [96:11] [97:1,6] [120:4] [141:14] [144:16] [216:11]
organization [23:21] [54:16] [140:16] [141:8] [151:16]
[152:8] [166:21] [201:11]
organizations [31:10] [32:3] [35:8] [51:5,11] [85:5,18] [89:4,11] [106:6] [147:7] [151:10] [163:9,12] [184:9] [199:19] [235:19] [238:2] [247:22]
organized [24:4] original [42:15,17] [48:5,9,11]
[50:4] [60:20] [67:9] [76:17] [78:8] [80:13] [138:8,13] [139:9] [165:5] [173:22] [263:4]
originally [74:20] [78:15]
[249:22] [263:2,19] osteoporosis [99:11] [101:

7] [102:1,18] [103:3,12] [104:2] [106:8] [107:2,15,21] [108:5,18,21] [110:15] [112:5] [115:18] [116:13] [118:15] [119:7] [120:5,20] [121:3,13] [122:17] [123:2 ,16] [135:15] [222:19] otherwise [266:18] otto [8:19] outcome [266:19] outfit [39:6] **outline** [91:8] outs [64:5] outside [104:16] [264:15] overall [157:11] [169:6,9] [228:12] overthecounter [40:2] over-the-counter [40:2] overtook [82:19] **overview** [47:13] own [39:22] [173:18] [177:18] [192:19] p&t [128:22] [130:5,10] [132:1,3,12] [133:11] [134:3,8,16] [135:11] [136:5] p.m [179:13] [211:10] [255: 2] [265:19] package [151:3,5] [245:13] page [6:2,5] [17:19] [18:7]

[25:4] [41:19] [49:2] [52:15] [63:10] [64:2,17] [66:3,14,18] [67:16] [73:1,20] [74:11] [82:8] [91:7] [97:19] [101:7] [115:13] [121:6] [127:1] [131:12] [132:8] [133:12] [139:14] [140:12] [160:6,13 ,20] [161:12] [162:20] [168:10] [172:9] [176:18] [179:18] [184:5,7] [194:14] [200:13] [201:7] [204:16] [205:15] [209:1] [210:12] [211:18] [212:4,8] [216:15 ,17] [245:12] [260:17] [263:8] pages [62:20] [66:6] [211:3 ,4,17] [212:6] [217:2] [255: paid [37:20] [52:1] [202:9,22] [254:5,9] [120:9] [161:15] [163:1] [115:22] [116:7] [257:19] [45:18] [55:1] [59:9] [67:2,13 ,14] [71:3] [75:15] [78:18] [90:7] [139:3] [140:10] [141:16] [145:18] [150:22]

paragraph [49:3] [118:11,18] paragraphs [207:16] parallel [94:2] parameter [133:15] 1] paraphrase [186:13] parity [82:14,18] [87:9] part [30:9] [35:10] [40:11]

[154:10] [198:1] [228:17] [249:6,7] participant [13:5] participate [21:11] [31:13] particular [17:14] [38:11] [51:8] [151:16] [214:17] [241:2] [249:8] parties [266:14,17] partners [261:21] party [98:12] patent [60:21] patient [69:15] [74:13] [104:18] [105:2] [125:9] [126:2] [127:14,17] [180:2] [186:5] [187:12] [189:13] [190:10,11,19] [191:19,20] [192:2,3,4] [200:5] [202:9] [203:12] [232:7,10,11,22] [256:8] [258:18] patients [33:12] [51:7] [71:7,9] [93:18] [95:6] [100:21] [101:12] [105:15] [146:5] [180:5] [184:8] [189:8] [192:8,22] [202:22] [203:2] [222:11] [256:21]

paul [1:16] [2:1] [7:3] [8:11,19] [109:8,13] [211:8,13] [265:15]

pay [27:4] [163:11] [195:9,22] [203:2,3] [206:8,11,13] [232:10,11,12]

payer [4:3] [187:2] paying [60:10] [151:9] [155:13,14,20] [156:2] [187:4] [189:17] [190:12] [192:8] [203:4,6]

pays [170:1] [203:12] pbm [26:5] [144:1] [145:4,19 ,22] [163:20] [200:17] [201:18] [204:14,15] [206: 18] [244:6]

pbms [54:21] [55:14] [56:2,5] [114:2] [129:1] [135:3] [155:8] [160:19,21] [176:3 ,5] [179:2] [199:13] [205:16] [206:1] [241:7]

pcs [6:17] [26:12] [34:12] [52:8] [152:8,17] [153:3,15 ,17] [155:17] [156:20] [157:15] [161:12,15] [162: 1] [176:10] [197:10] [198:4]

[200:4] pcss [51:22] [157:1]

peaks [65:14] [66:1] pennsylvania [3:8,17] people [26:2,14,18] [30:14,16 ,20] [33:2] [34:14] [35:1] [40:11] [47:2] [48:18] [80:10,15] [82:1] [85:4,13,14 ,18] [89:15] [95:8] [96:22] [99:10] [102:8] [108:8,22] [110:14] [114:8] [125:12]

[128:6] [135:8] [136:9] [144:15] [156:6] [164:16,17] [173:1] [175:2] [187:7] [221:8] [252:13] [260:21]

per [120:7] [132:1] [225:16] [227:21] [257:4] perceive [77:19,22] [98:13]

[108:9,12] [185:3,4] perceived [105:17] [116:1,8 ,9] [121:7] [124:6,9]

percent [36:1] [37:13] [49:4,21] [51:2,21] [55:4] [71:21] [76:13] [79:12,14,15] [92:13] [94:6,20] [96:22] [97:5] [141:5,6,22] [142:2,5 ,11,15,16,19,22] [144:9,19] [145:1,6,7,11,13] [146:3,4] [158:14] [159:14] [160:16,17 ,20,22] [162:5,14] [169:5,19 ,22] [170:9] [171:15] [173:19] [174:9,15] [177:6,9] [179:19]

[189:20] [200:17] [201:8] [203:17] [205:18] [206:14,15 ,19] [208:15] [209:2] [210:3 ,6,7,17,18] [212:1,14]

[213:3] [214:9,13] [216:18 ,20] [217:4,5,19,20] [218:13] [222:13] [224:9] [234:6]

[242:14] [243:17,19] [244: 3] [245:2] [248:16,18,20] percentage [91:14] [92:9]

[160:8,9,10] [197:19] [198:8,18] [200:6] [202:3]

percentages [175:18] perception [82:1] [108:10] [116:16]

perfect [226:1] [245:8] period [50:11] [51:14] [52:11] [66:11] [92:4] [93:2] [115:6] [117:10] [125:11] [133:7] [161:10,14] [164:18] [203:19] [208:6]

[245:21] [255:14] [261:20] periods [205:9] person [31:20] [47:11] [75:3] [81:19] [161:21]

[163:14] [164:8] personnel [172:9] persons [46:5]

perspective [20:22] [46:5,6 ,8] [145:5]

petroleum [75:15] [76:13] [79:15]

pfizer [252:6] ph [60:20] [84:3] [151:22] [161:22] [209:18] [259:22]

[262:19] pharmaceutical [23:17]

[24:7,16] [25:19] [31:6] [34:9] [39:18] [41:13] [49:20] [56:2] [63:11] [87:20,22] [146:7] [168:16 ,19] [182:10] [207:11] [210:3,4] [213:14] [215:9,16] [221:2,3] [224:20] [227:9,10] [228:2] [231:7,16] [237:13] [238:7] [239:6] [249:1] [251:6] [257:22] [260:8] [263:8]

pharmaceuticals [17:20]

[22:17,19] [23:6] [55:22] [62:3] [86:9] [147:4] pharmacies [199:13] [201:
4] [202:1] [205:16] [206:1] pharmacist [51:18] [95:17] [155:21] [196:1] [197:9]
[206:7] [243:11] pharmacists [34:14] [98:1,7] [99:1,15,21] [100:7,14] [155:18] [192:22] [196:2,5]
[204:10] [222:11,13] [223: 8,12]
pharmacokinetic [66:21] [67:6,20] [70:6] [72:17] [73:22] [82:17]
pharmacokinetics [65:7,9] [71:2] [72:10] [83:4,11] [84:18]
pharmacy [6:17] [51:15] [104:14] [180:7] [197:10,17] [198:4,5,16] [200:4] [201:10] [206:8] [207:4]
pharmacys [197:18] [198:13 ,19]
pharmokinetic [64:20] [65:2]
philadelphia [3:8] phone [109:20] [110:12] phrased [76:19,20]
physical [32:19] physically [26:10] [34:7]
[35:11] [36:3,4] [37:18] [53:22] [87:2] [103:14]
physician [34:8,11] [87:12] [93:8,16] [95:17] [127:4,14
,17] [145:20] [185:10,17] [186:3] [191:3] [192:20]
[246:3] physicians [36:9] [53:9] [72:16] [93:11] [98:1,6]
[99:15] [100:7] [102:14] [114:3] [115:20] [121:17]
[122:18] [145:21] [176:6] [181:8] [184:16,18] [187:11]
[193:7,9,12] [194:1] [220:7 ,11] [222:10] [223:17]
[228:6] [237:11] [242:15] [243:10] [245:20] [257:3,6] [261:1]
pick [16:19] [26:15] [59:15] [76:9] [79:11] [81:12]
[249:7] picked [213:13] picking [169:4]
piece [70:9] pieces [127:18]
place [35:17] [96:11] [115:1 ,5] [131:17,18] [144:6]
placed [138:18] placement [168:3] [178:9]
places [129:12] plaintiff [14:15] plaintiffs [1:] [3:3,11] [4:3]
[8:4,7] plan [6:18] [20:22] [21:13]
[28:16,19] [29:6,14] [30:1,3]

[31:1] [32:12] [113:20] [151:13,15] [165:2,4] [170:20] [171:5] [179:2] [187:8,12] [191:16] [197:11] [207:18] [208:4] [219:12] [237:17] plans [99:20] [146:1] [163:3] [170:16] [171:3] [180:6] plant [74:22] [75:2,8,20] [76:7,9] [78:15,17,19] [79:2,12] [80:21] plantbased [76:7] plant-based [76:7] **plantderived** [78:15,17] [79:2,12] [80:21] **plant-derived** [78:15,17] [79:2,12] [80:21] please [7:20] [8:10,17] [217:11] plus [197:20] [198:12,18] [200:6,17] [201:8] [202:3] [203:17] [205:19] [207:1] point [49:18] [56:10] [62:6] [67:20,22] [73:22] [74:10] [87:15] [89:21] [92:6] [108:7,13,21] [109:19] [116:18] [123:6] [127:2] [134:14] [141:11] [144:11] [157:5,10] [164:15] [171:13] [183:14] [198:5,10] [218:18] [220:15] [224:13] [225:22] [226:19] [228:12] [241:20] [243:14,20] [246:5,7] pointed [74:7] [129:13] **pointing** [75:18] poorly [218:21] population [74:14] [78:22] [79:7,10,21] [186:6] portion [6:11] [130:22] [131:4] [176:19] [179:18] [186:5] portions [6:13] [140:7] position [21:20] [39:9,12] [81:18,19] [93:14] [100:6] [116:21] [127:5] [134:22] [141:9] [147:6] [221:13] [229:22] [237:7] [238:7] [242:4,7] [251:8,15] [262:11] positioned [236:21] positioning [237:4] positions [132:17] [242:15] possibility [100:3] possible [36:5] [146:6] [171:2,17] [174:18] [186:9] [189:4] post [122:4] potential [21:8] [39:2] potentially [80:15] [238:20] **ppi** [146:18] [148:7] [149:19] [153:13] ppis [150:2,17] [154:8] [155:3] [236:4] [250:9] ppms [50:20] practice [102:16] predict [224:15] predicted [219:19]

preemptive [151:13,15] prefer [79:1,3,8,12] [177:20] preferred [134:22] [155:16] [176:8] [177:21] [182:12] [241:9] [242:7] pregnant [75:6] [79:4,9] premarin [35:18] [36:14] [64:21] [67:7] [68:8] [73:6,12] [77:20] [87:10,15] [90:22] [91:3] [93:12] [95:11] [98:2] [100:4,15,17] [114:13 ,17] [115:8,22] [116:17,21] [119:13] [121:4] [123:14,20] [124:21] [129:3] [130:7] [131:20] [132:4,16] [133:13 ,16,18] [134:5] [135:13] [140:19] [141:10,15] [142: 18] [143:17,18] [144:1] [145:2,7] [147:1] [149:21] [150:5] [151:9,17] [153:6] [155:6,21] [156:2] [157:1,17] [162:5] [173:20] [175:20] [176:12,16] [177:14] [185: 11,18] [194:13,18] [195:2] [219:9] [221:14] [222:9] [223:18,21] [224:12] [228: 16,20] [229:6] [233:7,9] [234:3,11] [236:22] [237:5 ,8] [241:9] [243:2,4,12] [244:2] [245:6] [247:21] [250:1,21] [251:4] [252:3] [253:2] [257:19] [264:2,12] premarins [92:20] [99:19] [252:16] premium [84:17,19] preparation [11:11,17] [79:17] preparations [214:3] prepare [38:18] prepared [15:8] [42:3,6] [160:3] [161:7] [204:7] preparing [11:20] [47:12] [192:13] prescribe [93:12] [95:18] [223:12] [242:15] prescribed [194:21] prescribing [97:9] [186:7] [237:11] prescription [35:3] [104:19 ,20] [124:13] [125:10] [136:10,15,17] [139:2] [151:22] [155:19] [176:7,8 ,14] [185:10] [190:13,18] [191:16] [206:17] [220:13] [226:2] [232:7] [243:7,9,18] [245:14] prescriptions [34:12] [52:1] [124:15] [180:4] [185:18] [220:2] [223:14,16] [225:15] [226:5] [227:3,19,21] [228:4] present [5:8] [21:9] [23:20] [64:9] [71:12] presents [121:11] [139:19] press [6:9,10] [118:8] [119:

pretty [23:8] [38:6] [110:18] [206:2,6] [247:19] prevacid [147:5] [222:1] prevent [101:7] [102:1] [104:1] [107:2] [125:17] preventing [105:21] prevention [106:8] [108:18] [119:7,13] prevents [103:12] [107:14] previously [43:6] [65:18] [131:2] [159:16] [199:4] price [37:9,16,19,22] [38:4,7] [41:2] [59:8,14] [60:9] [84:17,19] [85:6,11,13,15] [86:1,16,20] [87:1,9] [89:5 ,12,14,16,20] [90:1,7] [144:8] [156:22] [157:6,18] [176:11] [195:2] [196:1,2,12] [197:18,19] [198:7,13] [200:2] [201:10] [202:2,6,9 ,10,20,22] [203:6,13,22] [204:10,14] [205:18] [206: 13] [232:12] [233:4] [240:5 ,14] [247:12] [252:15,16,17 ,18,22] [254:11] [255:11,15 ,21] [257:18] [258:2,7,21] [259:16] [260:7] priced [82:14] [86:4] [253:1] [254:14] prices [22:12,15] [40:18,20] [41:4] [59:2] [86:8] [91:2,4] [154:6,12,14] [157:3,12,13] [194:9,13,17] [195:14] [196:6,9] [200:14,16] [204:9] [253:17] [256:5] pricing [40:8] [47:3] [82:10 ,12,14] [85:2,19] [86:13,18] [87:6,20] [88:12,13,19] [90:5,9,11,13,19,22] [259: 12] [260:12] prilosec [34:16] [35:4] [59:18] [60:11,12,14,18] [61:3] [146:12,22] [147:10 ,15,16,20] [148:3,10,17,20] [149:18] [150:2,9,17,19] [153:12] [221:22] primarily [112:6] primary [19:8] [62:10] [88:18] [259:15] principal [21:22] [46:1] [75:15] [209:20] principally [18:19] [22:4] [27:5] [88:1] [105:16] [127:21] [136:7] [138:2] principals [19:20] [27:16] [31:16] prior [89:19] [164:4] [180:16 ,21] prioritized [262:10] privy [134:8] probably [20:6] [69:1] [78:6] [83:21] [175:15] [187:19] [226:1] probe [173:15] problem [78:1] [141:20] [145:18] [183:17] [233:7]

4/1/2005 2:27 PM A.16

2]

[238:12]
problems [113:6]
proceedings [7:1] [10:4]
process [32:16,18] [128:3,8]
processors [52:8]
processors [02.0]
produced [70:13] [159:1]
[172:2] [199:4] [201:3]
[209:15] [235:20]
product [19:4,9] [21:1]
[26:21] [27:6] [33:16,18,19]
[34:1,2] [35:18] [37:14,19,20
[39:16] [55:8,14,20] [56:10
,18,20,22] [58:5,6] [59:7,10
,17] [60:9] [61:8,18,19]
[63:11] [66:10] [68:9]
[69:13] [70:20,21] [71:4,10
,19] [72:1,11,19] [75:6,20]
[76.7 0 10 14] [77.20]
[76:7,9,10,14] [77:20]
[78:14,16] [79:1,3,8,12,17
,21] [80:3,21] [84:1,3,5,7]
[85:11] [86:16] [87:11,14,18]
[88:11,12,14,19] [89:6]
[93:4,12,17,18,20] [94:21]
[95:1,3,18] [96:18] [97:2,3
,7,14] [98:20] [99:1,10]
[102:17] [103:12] [104:2]
[108:4,12,17,20] [113:2]
[114:4,15] [116:1,8,12]
[117:13] [118:10] [119:5,7
,13,15] [121:7,14] [122:3,5
,6] [123:12,14] [124:6,9]
[128:15,20] [130:1] [131:18
04] [400.5] [404.44.40]
,21] [132:5] [134:11,13]
[142:14] [144:8,9,13,16]
[146:22] [147:4,5] [148:11
,21] [149:19] [150:10]
[156:7] [165:14,16,19]
[167:21] [169:14] [181:11,15
,19] [182:2,6,9,17,18]
[183:3,6] [184:1] [186:6,10
,20] [188:1,5] [194:20,21]
[195:10,22] [202:20,22]
[203:12] [206:9] [211:21]
[213:8,10,12,16,17,21]
[244.6 24] [245.0] [246.47]
[214:6,21] [215:9] [216:17]
[217:3,6,19] [218:21]
[219:18] [221:8,9,12]
[223:5] [224:12] [228:14,18]
[229:1,16,17,19,22] [230:8
,11,17] [231:9,16,18,21]
[232:1,3] [233:2] [234:18]
[236:22] [237:8] [238:13]
[240:16] [241:2,9] [242:7,14
,17,20] [243:17] [246:10]
[247:13] [248:4,20] [249:21]
[251:1,2,5,6,9,14] [253:7,12
,14] [254:5,9,17] [257:11]
[258:6,19,21] [259:5,6,12,16
[261:9] [262:10] [263:12]
[264:11]
products [18:20] [22:16]
[24:8] [25:22] [26:3] [35:12]
[26:24] [27:0 42 40] [20:40

[36:21] [37:9,13,18] [39:18 ,19] [40:1,2] [50:21] [54:18 ,21] [56:2,6] [57:1,3,6] [58:3] [68:22] [75:12]

[80:6] [87:22] [88:9] [98:7] [99:21] [100:8] [102:10] [104:15] [111:11,15,20] [112:4,10,19] [113:9] [114:9] [115:17,19] [116:22] [119:12] [120:18] [123:2] [124:15] [125:1] [127:9] [129:10] [132:14] [134:16,21] [135:7] [141:14] [143:19] [144:3] [146:11,17] [148:14] [149:3] [151:9] [154:13] [156:15] [157:1,3,13,16] [158:19] [162:8,12] [167:18] [168:4,19] [171:18] [175:13 ,18] [181:20] [182:7,12,13] [183:4,19] [188:3] [189:2,8] [192:21] [198:16] [213:22] [216:7] [217:22] [218:1,2,11 ,16] [221:4] [222:17] [228:3 ,9] [230:16] [234:12,17] [236:2,5,11] [239:6] [241:13] [242:8] [248:10,16] [249:4] [251:16] [252:1] [256:9] [258:13] [261:2,17] [262:5 ,8,14] [263:15] [264:3,5] professional [2:6] [103:6,17 ,19] [107:10,11] professionals [108:11] professionaltoprofessional [103:19] professional-to-professional [103:19] [82:18] [129:2,5] [130:1,7] profitably [142:10] [162:10,14] [197:11,12] [244:21] programs [26:11] [155:17] [176:5] [178:16,17]

profile [64:20] [67:6] [70:6] profiles [127:8] [128:18] profitable [142:14]

program [6:17,19] [31:22]

project [12:20,22] [13:2] [17:6,7] [18:9] [20:4,15] [21:14,18] [23:2,3] [26:8] [28:14] [29:3] [30:8,10,14] [31:1,4] [35:10] [39:13] [40:9] [60:16] [61:5,7] [184:19] [209:19]

projected [246:15] projects [13:9] [17:17,20] [18:4] [25:5] [30:12] promark [178:18]

prometrium [262:19] [263: 131

promise [191:15] **promote** [66:10] [81:20]

[102:17] [245:7] promoted [83:12,14] [187:16] promoting [27:7] [78:14] [83:17] [106:7] [125:17,22]

[166:19,21] [229:17] [262:

promotion [125:20] [126:1] [209:3] [210:15] [212:22] [216:11] [224:18] [228:20]

[231:11] promotional [78:6] [216:9] [219:20] [220:5] [221:16] [231:17]

promotions [78:3,18] [126:

pronouncement [237:3] proportion [227:4] proposition [129:22] **protect** [238:3] proton [148:16]

protonix [147:4,16] [153:13] [221:21]

provide [18:22] [103:1,7,12 ,15,16,19] [107:9,13,15] [139:15] [143:2,7,14] [149:9] [159:2,5] [163:19] [253:20] [254:11]

provided [72:9] [74:12] [77:1] [100:21] [101:12] [110:15] [123:16] [151:13] [168:15] [253:22] [263:2] -[264:8,17]

provider [239:10] [241:1] **providers** [254:13] provides [140:14] [148:6] providing [105:9] provisions [247:18] prozac [153:11] prt [162:8]

prudent [82:12] [85:2] [87:6] [245:22] [246:2] public [2:7] [81:22] [105:10] [108:3] [114:14] [121:17] [266:21]

publications [182:11] publicly [152:16] publiclytraded [152:16] publicly-traded [152:16] **publishes** [121:11] pudlin [3:14]

pull [175:7] [180:8] pulling [262:22] pump [148:16]

purchase [37:8] purchased [19:5] [83:19] [182:3]

purchaser [3:3] [8:4,7] purchasers [41:10] purpose [46:1] [58:1] [181: 10] [234:10]

purposes [199:8] [234:9,12] [263:1]

pushed [249:17] **pushing** [78:17] put [29:15,18] [58:9] [105:5]

[128:15] [133:9] [136:3,10] [144:8] [153:11,12] [155:8] [165:3] [181:19] [204:7] [214:5] [221:16] [224:17] [227:17]

putting [12:14] [22:13] [25:17] [29:6,22] [30:2] [158:18] [167:12] [233:6] [249:5]

Q

quantitative [86:22] quarter [92:17,19,20] question [9:5,14,17,18] [51:12] [55:2,6] [60:6] [75:16] [76:18,20] [77:5,8] [78:5] [79:19] [80:1] [82:4] [92:5] [102:20] [117:14] [120:21] [122:9,11] [125:5] [131:16] [134:1] [135:1] [139:13] [142:17] [158:12] [165:11] [166:13] [169:13] [180:11] [182:15,16] [186: 17] [196:5,13] [197:15] [215:8] [217:11] [219:11] [220:17] [223:8] [226:4] [230:19] [233:15,20] [236: 7,19] [244:10] [249:20] [253:15] [257:2] [258:12] [261:3]

questioning [204:19] questions [9:2] [73:3] [95:21] [96:9] [176:18] [234:15] [255:7] [265:10,13]

quickly [82:18] quite [204:20] quotation [184:14] quote [48:9] [116:17] [184: 6,12,13

quoted [48:8] quotes [64:8]

r.ph [1:16] [2:2] raise [225:22] range [120:19] rapidly [65:15] rate [12:6,19] [175:19] [206:18]

rated [59:11] [224:5] [249:22] rather [37:1] [38:3] [60:10] [83:2] [153:11,13]

re [43:22] [252:20] reached [173:14] reaching [47:6] [89:13] [220:11]

react [237:13]

read [44:7,10,11,14,18] [45:1,21] [46:10,16] [66:4] [70:10,12] [77:4,6] [89:19] [119:17] [127:19] [130:12] [131:2,9] [133:6,11] [136:19] [137:13,14,16] [183:11] [233:18] [260:11]

reading [47:1] [133:12] [210:10]

reads [144:4] really [51:14] [52:17] [55:9] [64:4] [90:20] [97:13] [108:13] [113:16] [158:11]

[178:11] [186:12] [233:13] [253:17]

realtime [2:6]

reason [29:16] [44:9,13]
[59:14] [68:11] [80:8]
[124:19] [136:5] [140:22] [141:3] [153:2] [182:2]
[190:9] [201:17,21] [202:5]
[208:1] reasonable [90:16,17]
[145:14]
reasonably [100:13] reasons [144:18]
rebate [64:8] [141:6,7]
[142:3,11,15,16,19,22] [143:17] [144:9,10,12,22]
[146:8,18] [147:6] [150:20]
[151:3,5] [155:4] [163:8] [235:4,10]
rebated [252:15,16,17]
rebates [136:11] [139:16] [140:17] [141:9,14,22]
[142:5] [143:4,8,15,18,22]
[144:2,15] [147:13,15,17] [149:5] [151:8,17] [157:15
,16] [163:11] [235:8] [238:19
,20] [252:18] rebuttal [45:12]
recall [39:10] [115:6,10]
[207:20] [208:12,20,21] [209:21] [210:1] [257:12]
receive [140:17]
received [110:1] [117:20] [118:9] [120:9]
recent [28:14] [111:5]
recently [101:2] recess [16:4] [24:21] [109:10]
[179:13] [211:10] [255:2]
recognize [15:19] [189:6] recollection [48:10] [50:1]
[138:7]
recommendation [38:19] recommendations [21:11]
[22:14] [24:5]
recommended [163:7] reconsidered [90:5]
record [8:18] [9:19] [15:4,12] [16:1,2,6] [24:19] [25:1]
[34:4] [36:11] [63:18]
[65:19] [77:6] [91:21] [109:4,8,14] [118:2,7]
[129:15] [130:21] [140:6]
[159:19] [161:5] [179:12,15] [196:14] [197:5] [199:2,11]
[209:14] [211:2,8,14]
[233:18] [254:21,22] [255: 4] [265:16] [266:12]
redo [156:8]
reduce [188:2] refer [47:21]
reference [42:13] [43:17]
[48:16,20] [106:6] referenced [41:18]
references [36:9] [46:18]
referring [56:13] [149:6] [170:9]
refers [65:9]
reflection [149:15] regard [19:2] [61:18] [83:6]
· O · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

4-SSB-TSF	1 Docum
[108:7] [165:1 [238:12] [242 regarding [14 [109:21]	2:21] [257:14]
regimen [84:1 regional [170: registered [2:6	16] 6]
regulations [1 reimburse [17	'1:8] [197:9]
reimburseme [198:6] [201:	
reimbursing [relate [172:19]	169:11]
related [46:14 [78:15] [102:] [54:17]
relates [35:17] [87:22] [125: [160:11] [168 [180:18] [200] relating [22:6]] [65:12] 17] [147:20] 3:19] [170:5]):13] [257:2]
[72:18]	
relation [67:7] [226:6,17] [2:	28:4] [251:11]
relationship [167:15]	
relationships relative [266:1	
relatively [25:: relaxation [10	21] [252:12]
release [6:9,10	0] [66:15] 6,20] [69:13,2 8] [119:2]
relevant [63:1]	
[30.13,16] [3. [103:5] [142: [145:16] [175 [227:6] [229: [235:2,5,11,1	5:11] [194:5] 11] [234:12]
relying [193:1 remember [18	1]
[44:22] [138: [173:21] [178 [264:21]	12] [165:4,8] 3:14] [210:10]
reminded [110 remove [116:8	3]
removed [62:1,20] [116:1,17	
[124:6,9] [20 rendered [16:	7:15]
renegotiate [1 [138:4] [139:	37:9,21]
rent [30:13] rentarep [30:1	

rent-a-rep [30:13]

[102:16]

reorganization [23:13]

rep [34:9] [36:4] [68:13]

repeat [75:16] [212:8]

rephrase [9:4] [150:13]

```
replacement [123:12]
      [150:6] [261:1]
    report [6:6,13] [11:12,17,20]
      [13:15,16] [15:6,7,14]
      [16:22] [18:7] [38:18]
      [41:19] [42:8] [43:12]
      [48:12] [49:3,15] [50:4]
      [61:21] [62:13] [63:9]
      [64:17] [74:11] [75:19]
      [76:7,22] [78:8] [82:8]
      [85:9] [87:5] [91:22] [97:20]
      [98:5] [99:18] [100:5]
      [101:15] [109:22] [115:1,5
     ,12] [121:6] [124:5] [125:18]
      [126:22] [132:12] [135:22]
      [136:14] [138:8,13] [139:9
     ,20] [140:7,8,13] [143:9]
      [151:3,12] [159:12] [168:11]
      [171:14] [172:8,10] [173:5
      ,9,22] [179:18] [183:11]
      [192:13] [194:9,14] [195:6]
      [205:15,20] [207:13,15]
      [208:22] [209:7] [210:13]
      [212:19] [215:4] [216:15]
      [225:5,11] [231:22] [238:9]
      [245:12] [255:8,13] [256:3]
      [260:15]
    reported [1:20] [172:10]
    reporter [2:6,7] [7:18] [8:10]
      [9:9] [266:1,4]
    reports [44:8] [67:11] [109:
     21] [174:20,21] [264:9]
    representative [103:15]
      [151:20] [190:15]
    representatives [49:19]
21] represented [58:12]
    represents [199:16]
    reps [49:5,21] [66:9] [67:18]
      [261:7] [262:4,11]
    republicans [80:5]
    reputed [176:11]
    request [38:14,20] [42:8,11]
      [47:7] [120:3]
    requested [36:19] [42:7,18]
      [46:21]
    require [249:3]
    required [38:12] [72:13]
    rereview [43:22]
    re-review [43:22]
    rereviewed [252:20]
    re-reviewed [252:20]
    research [21:21] [80:12]
      [86:12,15,19] [87:21]
      [88:17] [158:13] [217:21]
      [220:6] [222:12] [223:10,16]
      [234:10] [256:13,18,19]
      [257:3] [259:15]
     researched [215:19]
    resist [259:20]
    respect [113:12]
    respond [9:5,8,15] [45:10]
      [200:9]
    responding [45:11]
    response [219:2,5]
    responsibility [52:13]
      [167:2,4]
```

responsible [47:12] [51:6]
[54:2] rest [133:19]
restate [92:5] [97:4] [117:14]
[227:22] restricted [1:17] [172:11]
restriction [179:19]
restrictive [179:1] result [115:8] [124:16]
[189:22] [225:20] [227:8]
[241:6] resulted [116:20] [132:16]
[246:9]
results [74:8] [216:12] resume [15:18] [20:15]
[25:4] [61:20] [62:4,12,19]
retail [6:15,17] [194:9] [196:1] [197:7,10] [205:16]
[206:1] [255:11,16,21]
retained [10:7] [20:20] [39:4]
returning [114:13]
revenue [19:8] revenues [39:2]
reverse [142:17]
review [16:13] [47:5] [48:13]
[128:9] [139:20] [159:3] [162:1] [180:9] [252:21]
reviewed [42:1] [43:19,21]
[140:9] [158:1,13] reviewing [12:2]
reward [148:13,14]
rich [144:12] richard [4:5] [8:5]
ride [249:14]
right [10:20] [17:10] [18:4,15]
[20:10] [23:9] [34:4] [40:16] [41:11] [51:13] [53:5]
[54:1] [55:17] [56:11,15]
[57:7,18] [58:16] [62:14] [63:8] [64:3,16] [65:17]
[66:11] [67:3,17] [68:5]
[69:20] [70:5] [71:16] [72:14] [75:1,20,21] [76:4]
[78:12] [80:18] [82:20]
[84:8] [86:5] [88:21] [91:5]
[92:3,13,15,19,22] [93:8] [94:7,15] [95:15] [96:12,13
,17] [97:15] [99:4,8] [101:21]
[102:21] [104:12] [107:18] [111:5,13,14,22] [112:12,16]
[113:10] [114:12] [117:8]
[118:18] [120:14,15,20] [121:15,20] [124:22] [125:
2] [130:12] [134:14,19]
[137:20] [141:9,11] [146:21] [149:16] [150:1,2,22]
[152:17] [154:21] [159:6]
[160:22] [161:4,19] [162:21] [163:5] [167:16] [168:21]
[170:21] [173:7,8] [177:1]
[180:15] [181:9] [182:1,5,15]
[184:17] [185:15] [188:7] [192:12] [193:20] [194:6,11]
[198:2] [200:19,22] [203:2
,18,20] [204:3,12] [206:16]

[209:13,14] [210:8] [212:7,8]	sandra [1:5,12]	school [164:10]	[257:18]
[214:10] [216:5] [218:2,8,15]	savings [258:22]	schwartz [46:20]	setting [40:19] [86:8] [89:20]
[219:14] [220:4,17,19]	saw [35:11] [80:12] [95:5,6]	schwartzs [46:15]	several [84:6] [184:8] [238:
[222:20] [224:2] [226:1,22]	[100:12] [152:12]	schwarz [60:21]	10]
[231:19] [232:16] [235:15]	say [10:14] [11:14,21]	scott [172:6]	share [76:4] [141:6,22]
[237:6,17] [239:7,13]	[13:5] [19:14] [23:8] [27:22]	script [96:1] [175:3,5] [195:	[144:19] [145:1,11,13,15]
[240:1,11] [241:10,14,19]	[28:15] [31:4,21] [33:16]	20] [243:3]	[146:22] [149:4] [159:18]
[243:5] [245:17] [247:4,16]	[37:12,13,15] [39:14]	scripts [225:20]	[188:11,16] [190:5] [208:16]
[250:2] [251:18] [254:7]	[41:15] [43:13] [47:20]	scroll [233:16]	[216:10,18,20] [217:4,5,19
[256:14] [259:10] [261:5,12]	[49:18] [50:17] [51:1,7]	second [17:18] [18:7] [76:21]	,20] [218:13] [219:20]
[263:10,14]	[54:19] [56:16] [58:4,11]	[162:19,22] [169:11] [171:	[224:9,16] [225:10] [229:19]
righthand [67:17]	[59:17,21] [60:2] [61:12,13]	8,19] [174:14] [195:11,15,22]	[234:6,9,12] [236:13]
right-hand [67:17]	[69:4,7,9] [75:5] [79:11]	[204:9] [210:16] [212:1]	[238:3] [243:18,19] [244:3]
rights [19:6]	[80:9] [81:5] [82:9] [83:21]	[214:4] [255:12,15]	shared [252:13]
risk [6:20] [197:13]	[84:18] [85:1,15] [87:5]	secondary [127:3,14]	shares [186:22] [232:18]
rite [1:] [3:11] [7:6] [13:17,19]	[90:9] [91:6] [92:17] [97:20]	secondtier [174:14] [195:11	shea [27:17] [33:3,4]
[14:1,3] [110:5] [199:16,18]	[101:9,10,18,20] [104:8]	,15,22] [204:9] [255:12,15]	sheep [75:14] [76:15] [79:16]
roadblocks [219:22]	[106:11,16] [113:22] [115:	second-tier [174:14] [195:11	[80:11]
roche [19:6] [20:14,17]	16] [120:4] [121:5] [122:16]	,15,22] [204:9] [255:12,15]	shield [54:16] [55:18]
[21:15,18] [22:17] [23:3]	[124:5] [127:1] [128:3,10]	section [98:5] [132:11]	short [13:3]
[50:10] [52:10,12,17]	[131:7] [135:2] [136:14]	[151:3] [159:11] [179:22]	shorter [12:13]
[53:6,7,17] [83:20] [84:2]	[137:8] [138:6,10,12]	[181:4] [194:8] [195:6]	shortest [123:13]
[88:16] [258:15]	[139:14] [142:15] [143:1,12]	[207:15] [218:19] [261:5]	shorthand [266:9]
role [163:19] [164:2]	[145:5,10] [147:21] [148:5]	sedatives [154:7] [155:3]	shouldnt [265:6]
roman [159:12] [204:16]	[153:4,7] [156:6,9] [157:15]	seeing [166:19]	show [13:14] [15:7,17]
room [62:14] [187:12]	[162:22] [166:6] [167:5,11]	seek [163:8]	[65:17] [71:13] [118:1]
[191:7]	[170:18] [171:1,12,14]	seeks [242:6]	[130:16] [140:1] [159:15]
roseman [3:5]	[172:9] [174:8] [179:2]	seemed [9:13]	[160:19] [175:2,16] [182:12]
rpr [1:20]	[180:3] [186:14] [192:2]	seen [15:10] [35:7] [36:7,8,10]	[210:12] [216:1] [219:6]
ruane [17:21]	[194:4,9] [196:12] [205:15	[70:15] [111:6] [117:19]	[255:14]
			showed [80:13] [158:14]
rubber [51:19] [52:4]	,18] [206:14] [209:5] [213:2	[159:20] [161:2] [174:4,7]	
rule [212:13] [214:15] [230:	,5] [214:19] [217:12] [220:15	[175:9] [235:8,10]	[205:8] [209:12]
3]	,21] [221:17] [227:21]	segal [3:14]	showing [202:4] [203:22]
rules [9:1]	[230:4] [232:18] [234:3]	segment [74:13] [76:8]	[204:2] [229:2]
rx [6:20] [197:12]	[239:9] [241:12] [245:12]	[78:22] [79:7,10,20] [80:2,7]	shown [161:6]
	[248:4,5,7] [249:8,10]	sell [36:20] [37:18] [38:4]	shows [143:9] [160:15]
S	[250:7] [254:3] [258:11]	[48:7] [54:21] [55:8,14,20]	[172:1] [202:19,21] [210:15
	[260:4,9] [263:3] [265:6]	[56:1,5,10,17,20,22] [59:22]	,17] [262:14]
safe [119:21]	saying [35:6] [40:11] [73:16]	[60:2] [85:11] [142:14]	sic [91:13] [148:14] [223:15]
sake [228:19]	[76:21] [78:2] [82:7] [90:2,6]	[206:22] [230:17] [239:16,18	side [31:16,18] [46:13]
sale [144:13] [213:4] [242:3]	[91:21] [101:8] [102:7]	,19] [247:10] [254:16]	[67:18] [80:14] [88:16]
sales [19:12] [25:7,13,17]	[103:14] [106:10] [108:6]	sellers [111:21]	[112:14] [128:5] [145:3]
[28:7] [30:7,13] [34:9,14]	[125:1] [134:7,9,11] [135:5	selling [22:18] [37:17]	[173:12]
[36:4] [47:4] [49:4,5,21]	,11,17] [136:2] [137:11,20]	[40:22] [50:20] [52:19]	sides [31:16]
[58:8] [66:9] [67:18] [68:13	[144:14] [146:2,4,9] [148:8	[59:10,18] [84:7,8,10,12]	sidmak [28:3,6]
,18] [72:15] [83:13] [84:12]	,9] [149:20,21] [150:3]	[225:18] [227:10] [239:5]	sigma [62:1,2,3,6] [63:4]
[86:1] [88:16] [102:16]	[157:14] [169:15,16] [170:	[241:13] [260:22] [261:14,17]	[253:6,13,15] [254:17]
[107:8] [124:14] [125:2]	7] [171:9,10,14,16,20]	[262:5]	sigmatau [62:1,2,3,6] [63:4]
[126:13] [179:21] [208:13,14	[174:10,12,16] [185:9]	sells [24:7,9]	[253:6,13,15] [254:17]
,19] [209:2] [210:4,7,18]	[186:14] [194:3] [207:6]	send [155:20]	sigma-tau [62:1,2,3,6]
[211:22] [212:2,15,21]			[63:4] [253:6,13,15] [254:17]
	[219:7,21] [220:4] [233:7]	sending [41:8]	
[213:18] [214:5] [215:10,17]	[240:8] [244:4] [259:13]	senior [21:10]	signature [265:20]
[218:22] [219:8,18] [220:10]	says [13:16] [43:11] [59:10]	sense [82:2] [117:7] [186:2]	significance [67:21] [69:15]
[224:8,11,21] [225:18]	[64:3] [67:18] [73:5,17,20]	[245:8]	[72:17] [73:21] [74:1,8]
[228:3,5] [231:7,14] [236:14]	[90:8] [91:22] [101:11,17,18	sensitivity [259:2]	[96:11]
[244:15] [246:15,16,19]	,20] [102:8] [106:13] [133:11	sent [36:9] [42:22] [43:4,6]	significant [19:12] [52:2]
[260:16,21] [261:7,8]	,15] [144:2] [155:21] [159:12]	sentence [116:7] [261:5,6]	[132:16] [180:17] [186:5]
1000 41	[400 40] [404 40] [400 40]		1 10 11 1400 71

separate [260:12]

seriously [179:20]

[183:19]

service [107:11] [126:8]

services [6:17] [7:16,19]

[253:20,22] [254:11]

[103:6,18] [107:10] [197:11]

set [23:22] [26:15,18] [37:8]

[89:14,16] [98:3] [220:21]

significantly [196:7]

[224:12] **similarly** [129:6]

[128:18] [129:2,5,22]

simon [1:16] [2:2] [7:4]

similar [25:12] [98:2] [127:8]

[130:6] [132:14] [152:13]

[8:11,19,20] [14:22] [15:1,4]

[16:8] [17:11] [63:14,15,18]

[160:18] [161:18] [162:16]

[177:16] [189:15,18] [216:

22] [222:18] [230:6] [233:1]

schafermeyer [44:8] [109:22]

[127:2,12] [201:14,16]

schafermeyers [201:22]

schedule [6:15] [197:8]

[258:18] [261:6]

scared [122:22]

[262:4]

[175:4]

,18]

sally [172:21]

sample [245:13]

sampling [245:10]

salespeople [25:17] [48:7]

samples [245:16,19] [246:5

salesperson [85:10]

[00,22] [400,0 42] [445,6]
[88:22] [109:8,13] [115:6] [117:16] [118:2,3,4,7]
[119:1] [130:18] [140:3]
[179:17] [196:17] [197:1]
[211:8,13,16] [255:6] [265:9,15]
simons [6:6,7]
simply [110:14] [113:8]
[153:3,6] [171:7] [244:14] single [248:13]
singled [238:13]
sir [9:21] [12:7,10,11,17]
[14:4,16,20] [15:10,22] [23:15] [24:11,13] [25:9]
[33:8,11] [36:15] [43:3,7]
[50:5] [53:16] [55:12]
[57:8] [62:17] [63:18] [64:13] [71:20] [73:4]
[78:9] [85:3] [86:6] [87:3,4]
[93:6] [97:17] [98:6] [102:15]
[106:22] [109:18] [115:10] [118:2,16] [129:16,18]
[130:21] [134:15] [139:5,21]
[140:9] [143:1] [159:15,19
,22] [163:6] [168:13] [171:5] [175:1] [180:11] [184:12]
[190:4] [196:22] [197:5]
[199:11,21] [205:13] [207:
13] [209:9] [212:18] [215:7] [216:6] [217:17] [219:12]
[225:1] [226:14] [235:7]
[238:8] [249:12] [253:3,10]
[256:6] [258:10] site [100:22] [101:4,13]
[103:22] [105:12,13] [125:
7] [126:19]
sitting [139:11] [169:2] [191:12] [215:14]
situation [11:6] [38:2]
[94:14] [108:1] [114:6] [188:21] [203:4,5] [227:14]
[228:11] [232:13,14] [240:
21] [247:21]
situations [172:20] [177:12] [258:5]
six [210:2] [213:12]
size [95:2] [152:13] [186:6]
[204:1] [208:9] [256:1,2] skilled [48:21]
skills [48:6]
slowed [245:14]
small [27:21] [51:4,5] [164: 8] [207:19] [208:5] [218:22]
[219:13]
smaller [236:13] smithkline [83:19] [259:9,11]
smooth [66:14,22] [67:8]
[68:15,20] [69:13,21]
[72:18] sold [83:20] [142:10] [182:3
,6,17] [246:17]
sole [227:13,18]
solutions [39:21] [136:10,15 ,17] [139:2] [151:22]
solvay [66:10] [68:1,6]
[166:4,7,8,11,17,21] [167:

)4-SSB-TSH 1	Docume 0/14/2004
10,17] [168:2] [26 [261:21] [262:3 [263:8,12] [264	,4,5,7,11,15] :4]
solvays [261:17] somebody [33:3 [102:13] [172:2 [247:10,11]	,5] [78:12] 2] [239:15]
somehow [115:8 [124:8,9] [126:1 someone [11:6] [81:10] [103:17]	[3] [244:22] [58:5] [176:15]
[196:11] [257:1: something [13:7 [33:17] [41:1] [5 [69:21] [73:9] [7	[] [26:12] [55:4] [60:6] [75:7,10]
[81:13,16,21] [1 [107:11] [131:6] [183:9] [185:13] [204:4,5] [206:1 [236:18] [258:1]	04:4]] [158:14]] [190:13,20] [2] [221:10]
sometimes [57:4 [245:19] somewhat [225:	1,5] [110:16] 10]
somewhere [92: sophisticated [1 [156:20] sophistication [52:21]
[259:15] soriatane [19:4,5 s-o-r-i-a-t-a-n-e	5] [20:6,11] [19:5]
sorry [27:14] [48 [87:20] [96:22] [108:15] [117:15 [159:16] [204:16]	[100:16] 3] [121:22] 6] [253:8]
sort [30:5] [174:2 sound [92:13] [1 sounds [92:15] source [19:8] [74	61:19]
[77:1] [78:17,20 [126:3] [168:17] [227:13,18] sources [74:18,2)] [79:14]] [226:20]
[77:2] [78:1] [10 southern [1:] [7:3 span [219:20])5:18] 8]
spasms [104:21] speak [26:20] speaking [28:12] speaks [72:10]	
specialist [7:17] specialize [25:14 specific [37:10] [61:15] [79:22] [148:10] [158:19	[42:7] [80:8]
[260:3] specifically [25: [113:12] [163:9] [180:14] [193:6] [245:6] [248:15] [255:10]] [168:20]] [216:6]
specified [148:2 specifies [148:10 spector [3:5]	0]
spend [11:19] [2 [209:1] [212:21] [216:9,11] [222] [213:21]

,
[220:46 49] [220:4 6 0]
[229:16,18] [230:4,6,9] [232:2] [236:12]
spending [212:14] [213:3]
[215:10,17] [216:19] [224:
10] [262:16]
spends [229:17] spent [12:2] [22:22] [210:7]
[216:10] [246:10] [262:19]
spill [186:7]
spillover [184:4,22] [185:4,5]
[186:2] [189:6] spoke [48:6]
spoker [78:21]
square [212:18]
squibb [50:15]
staff [209:19]
stand [80:4] standard [207:10,12] [209:
5] [212:13,20] [219:3,14]
[230:3] [244:21]
standpoint [100:8] [127:10]
[167:10] stands [25:15]
start [55:10] [88:7] [260:19]
[261:13]
started [31:22] [54:10]
[109:16] [123:4,5] [176:4]
[260:1] starting [133:17]
starts [184:4,7]
state [8:17] [64:17] [124:19]
[127:7,13] [143:20] [149:11]
[177:18] [178:9] [192:19] stated [128:2] [149:2]
statement [68:17] [74:16]
[76:2] [87:7] [135:13]
[209:9]
statements [35:22] [85:21]
[127:12] states [1:1] [7:7] [128:10]
[177:19] [178:11] [209:16]
[210:2] [211:19] [212:11]
[266:2]
statin [112:17] stating [64:10] [116:11]
status [183:21]
stay [93:15]
steady [66:14] [67:1,8]
[68:16,20] [69:13,21] step [50:16] [108:3] [218:10]
stephen [209:17]
steps [56:8] [86:11]
stock [208:18]
stocks [75:15] [76:13]
[79:15] stomach [70:3] [83:7] [84:14]
stop [50:21] [60:8] [240:7]
stopping [220:1]
strategic [163:19,22]
strategies [86:13] [90:10,12]
[140:15] strategy [21:8,12] [81:18]
[82:12] [85:2] [87:6] [89:22]
[90:2,13,19] [146:15]
[163:16] [166:2] [237:19] strawn [2:4] [4:13] [7:12]
311 awii [2.7] [7.10] [1.12]

street [2:4] [3:6,15] [4:7]
[7:12] [230:22] [237:2]
strength [93:10] [97:10,13]
[115:22] [116:7] [119:5]
[162:4] strengths [91:7] [92:18]
[93:1]
strictly [46:4] [169:19]
strike [54:13] [56:2] [105:21] [139:13] [174:5] [256:15]
structure [28:21] [36:20]
[38:4] [78:7]
structured [171:6] [238:16 ,18]
structuring [239:1]
struggled [234:15]
studies [65:3] [67:5,10,11] [69:4] [70:15] [96:18]
[257:17] [258:16] [260:6]
study [47:13] [65:7] [69:8,14]
[71:4,6,8,9,16,21] [72:3,4,6 ,7,11] [73:14] [74:5,6]
[94:4,20] [95:6,21] [96:11,16
,20,21] [99:14] [115:7,16]
[116:6,20] [117:11,21]
[119:10] [121:10] [123:15] [210:1] [216:7] [222:20,21]
[223:4] [258:1,6] [259:2,7,12]
stuff [189:17]
subject [33:14] submission [72:13] [120:2]
submits [121:10]
submitted [72:5,8] [73:13,14]
[94:4] [120:1] substance [63:9]
substandard [64:18]
substantially [132:14]
substitution [155:17] successful [158:9] [187:16]
[238:21]
successfully [62:9] [63:4]
sudden [59:19] [69:7] [123: 8]
sufficient [179:20]
suggest [183:1] [243:15]
suggesting [142:9] [163:14] suite [3:7,16]
sum [50:9]
summary [174:21]
summer [119:10] superior [131:21] [132:5]
[133:13] [135:14]
supplier [58:15,18] [155:2]
suppliers [105:16] supplies [22:20]
support [121:13]
supported [83:13]
supporting [96:18] supportive [183:20]
supposed [198:17]
sure [46:19] [48:13,22]
[60:5] [70:8,22] [88:5] [131:8] [151:1] [152:4,5]
[229:14] [239:6,21] [247:19]
surprise [250:20]
surprised [250:22]

survey [181:7] susman [18:6,9] [43:6] [74:20] swear [8:10] [177:9] switch [155:2] [157:8] [223:11] sworn [8:13] [266:8] symptoms [99:9] [118:14] synthesized [75:8] synthetic [97:22] synthroid [251:3]

table [22:14] [162:1] [168:17] [194:14] [195:15] [196:9] [200:1] [202:12,17] [203:1] [204:8] [225:13] [255:10] [263:1,2,7] tables [265:1] tablet [118:12] tagamet [258:19] [259:4,8,9 .111 takeda [255:13] [256:3] taken [6:12] [7:4] [10:1] [83:5,6] [95:13] [113:2,5] [125:16] [126:12] [130:22] [207:22] [266:5,9,15] taking [60:9] [75:10] [84:14] [95:11] [104:22] [105:3] [116:21] [189:16] [233:5] takitomo [151:22] talk [10:10] [14:7] [17:11] [25:3] [38:13] [61:21] [62:8] [64:19] [65:15] [68:15] [70:5] [72:21] [74:11] [84:22] [99:18] [100:4] [103:18] [109:20] [115:2] [116:5] [124:8,11] [127:1] [139:18] [156:13,17] [184:3] [194:2] [198:15] [211:19] [238:22] [239:4] [241:5] [260:15] [261:4] talked [10:10] [28:3] [62:5] [71:18] [103:2] [110:11] [172:21] [184:16,18] [208: 17] [228:8] [247:6] [250:9] talking [23:3] [32:20] [33:6] [40:14] [45:17] [48:15] [55:11] [65:2] [66:22] [67:12] [68:14] [70:16] [72:2,12] [73:11,17,20] [77:1] [110:13] [126:15] [145:17] [148:22] [151:2] [162:18] [165:9] [168:7] [172:6] [184:18] [193:16] [218:11,15] [228:9] [232:4] [233:11] [242:17] [264:11,12] talks [66:14] [262:14] [264: 101 tampa [39:7] tap [147:4] [222:2] tape [7:2] [109:5] [211:5] [265:15]

target [162:9]

targeted [78:19]

targeting [253:4,10] targets [21:8] [30:19] tarnished [115:17] [123:1] taro [55:22] [56:1,4,22] [57:2,18,22] task [144:21] tasked [260:21] teacher [164:10] technology [209:16] [211:20] tegretol [248:9] tell [19:22] [23:1] [29:7] [34:15] [35:2] [39:11] [61:10] [62:19] [72:16] [98:21] [104:18] [114:20] [181:12,20] [182:18] [186: 16] [190:7] [214:11,12,14,16] [217:22] [224:14] [234:14] [250:18] [252:10,19,21] telling [34:10] [81:10] [89:11] [120:8] [167:17] [259:5] tells [87:12] [182:6] [183:3,19] tend [192:20] tenncare [178:17] tennessee [178:16] terminated [54:18] [247:8,15] terms [13:13] [25:4] [53:12 ,15] [77:15] [81:3] [89:22] [95:16] [104:12] [106:4,22] [117:8] [151:8] [154:4,12] [158:22] [167:8] [173:11] [175:12] [178:9,10] [179:2] [199:22] [206:18] [219:8,18] [224:20] [228:2] [231:11] [239:8] [254:1,19] [256:8] [257:4] [258:9] test [69:22] [70:17,18,19,22] testified [8:13] [148:1] testimony [64:7] [130:4] [133:11] [134:3] [205:10,12] [266:7,8,12] testing [22:20] tests [65:5] [67:13] [70:16] [71:11] teva [54:5,8,11,14,15,17] [55:10,11,12,18] [56:8,17,22] [57:2,7,15] [58:1,8] [60:13 ,14,17] [88:1] [248:1] thank [265:11] thats [12:8] [13:12] [23:17] [44:2] [58:17] [83:11] [92:2] [100:22] [101:16] [116:6] [133:14] [172:6] [173:4] [207:7] [239:13] [240:10,11,21] [242:5] [244:17] [263:5,6,14] theirs [150:20] themselves [254:2] theoretically [52:6] [97:18] [167:22] [234:17]

therapy [150:7]

thereof [131:4]

[151:15]

theyll [206:13]

thereafter [266:10]

theres [79:7,10] [94:2]

[111:12] [112:17] [143:16]

theyre [45:5] [193:20] theyve [80:4] [120:6] [160:8] thing [13:3] [17:3] [25:13] [29:9] [36:8] [43:4] [68:19] [105:1] [135:21] [152:12] [155:10] [156:12] [170:2] [175:22] [177:19] [187:11] [191:2] [212:8] [214:19] [231:8] [238:5] [248:13] things [10:10] [16:9] [17:15 ,22] [19:13] [21:3,4] [22:3,7] [24:2,4,9] [26:19] [27:21] [29:18] [32:13] [42:9,12,14 ,17] [44:3,4] [52:5] [62:21] [63:1,2] [65:12] [67:12] [68:12,13] [69:7,9] [71:2] [86:11,14] [96:10,15] [99:12] [102:11] [103:6] [105:15] [111:4] [116:17] [127:19] [143:16] [176:13] [193:17,18,22] [194:2] [220:16,20] [228:22] [238: 10] [252:12] [253:21] [260: think [9:17] [12:13] [44:17] [46:12,20] [47:5] [52:20] [60:19] [64:4] [72:2,7,9] [76:19] [77:17] [79:6,8,9] [82:6,22] [85:9,13] [88:10] [89:1] [91:20] [92:5,8,22] [93:5,10,16] [94:17,19] [96:2,6] [104:13,14] [105:4] [115:13] [123:19,21] [128: 2] [129:9,10,19] [135:1] [136:16] [139:4] [145:12,14 ,16] [146:6] [152:11] [153:20] [154:10] [155:7] [157:5,11] [159:20] [166:10,14,20] [167:3,9,13] [175:8] [177:18] [183:6] [185:15] [186:1,9,12] [187:3,10] [189:4,10,11,21] [190:20] [191:18] [192:1] [208:4,7,17] [212:5] [213:1] [215:8] [217:10] [219:16] [221:1] [225:12] [226:1] [230:12,13,18] [231:11,21] [233:17] [236:15,17,21] [237:12] [238:9,10,17] [244:15,20] [245:18] [246: 2,18] [247:2] [249:5,6,7,12 ,16,19] [251:4,14] [252:10] [265:7] thinking [113:1] [173:16] [212:7] thinks [206:3] third [51:22] [52:8] [98:12] [118:11,18] [120:9] [169:5] [180:2] [214:4] thirdparty [51:22] [52:8] third-party [51:22] [52:8] thompson [4:6] [8:6] though [37:4] [53:10,16]

[60:16] [104:12] [106:21]

[176:10] [177:12] [191:8,19]

[206:19] [219:12] [222:18]

[231:21] [232:15]

thought [12:12] [16:9,17] [17:1] [30:6] [63:3] [86:1,3] [88:6] [89:5,12] [105:11] [129:1,4] [183:10] [185:5] [217:8] [253:4] threaten [136:9] threatened [132:22] three [11:10,14] [20:12,13] [27:22] [59:20] [62:10,20] [63:4] [69:3] [83:1,21] [84:13] [93:18] [94:1,5] [145:6,8] [149:3] [160:9,16 ,21] [169:10] [171:6] [178:11] [180:5,7] [211:12] [212:6] [219:18] [234:21] [236:5] [265:16] threequarters [93:18] [94:1,5] three-quarters [93:18] [94:1,5] threetier [160:9,21] [171:6] three-tier [160:9,21] [171:6] threetiers [160:16] [169:10] three-tiers [160:16] [169:10] thrilled [75:9] throughout [75:18] [216:8] [261:20] [262:1] thumb [212:13] [214:15] thursday [1:18] [2:2] [7:13] thwart [61:8] tier [169:5,12,20] [170:1] [171:8,19] [256:5] tiers [256:4] time [9:12,13] [10:17] [12:1 ,14] [13:11] [16:3,6,12] [49:1] [50:11] [51:13,19] [52:1,11,19] [53:11] [66:11] [82:13] [83:15] [90:5] [92:4,16] [93:2] [104:16] [109:9,14] [119:20] [123:14] [125:10] [146:21] [152:5] [155:19] [161:6,9,14] [163:1] [164:18] [170:18] [179:9,12,15] [186:10] [190:17,21] [193:8] [203:19] [205:9] [208:6,18] [211:9,14] [216:5,14] [223:3] [234:16 ,21] [245:21] [252:11] [255:1,4,13] [257:8] [260:7] [261:20] [262:16,19] [265: 10,16] times [69:3] [83:1,2] [84:13]

[24:20] [25:1] [27:4] [30:2,17]

[189:10] [190:6] [219:18] [220:9] [262:15] timothy [1:6] titrate [93:14,22] [94:6] today [9:3] [16:8] [63:1] [90:10] [94:15,18] [100:20] [102:10] [114:7] [138:7] [139:11] [142:3] [169:2] [196:12] [205:11] [215:14] todays [90:11] [265:14]

todd [21:19] together [25:17] [29:6,22] [30:3] [158:18] [165:3]

[204:8] [261:21]	two-thirds [96:21]	undertaken [21:14]	vaginal [99:11] [118:13]
told [10:20] [35:15] [67:18]	twotier [171:6]	undertaking [154:3]	[120:12] [122:7]
[85:5,19] [88:6,10] [89:8]	two-tier [171:6]	unfairly [253:12]	valium [104:19,20]
[108:3,15,17,19] [114:14,15]	type [34:14] [42:5] [47:4]	unfortunately [17:4] [234:20]	valleys [65:14] [66:1]
[143:4] [146:13] [151:21]	[72:12] [86:21] [192:14,16]	uniformly [64:21]	value [63:11] [236:20]
[172:22] [190:9,15] [196:8]	[241:21,22]	unintentional [100:3]	[253:22] [260:3]
[208:18] [216:4] [233:13]	types [190:8]	union [52:3]	valueadded [253:22]
tomorrow [142:4]	typical [72:20] [90:1] [150:7]	united [1:1] [7:7] [52:4]	value-added [253:22]
took [35:10,17] [129:7]	[207:18] [208:5,7] [219:13]	[162:18] [170:14] [175:8]	various [151:10] [235:19]
[131:10] [132:22] [225:2]	[255:20]	[209:15] [210:2] [211:19]	vasomotor [99:9] [118:14]
[257:1]	typically [13:10] [33:4]	[212:10] [266:2]	verapamil [214:1,4]
tool [231:15,18]	[37:14] [57:21] [65:8]	unless [81:21] [95:5,6,7]	verbally [9:8] [200:9]
top [17:20] [185:1] [187:10]	[68:14] [135:6] [202:1,14]	[98:20]	version [198:4,15]
[215:11] [250:13,14]	[205:14] [100:0] [202:1,14]	unprofitable [144:13]	versus [7:5,7] [13:17] [35:18]
totacillin [84:5]	[258:3]	until [89:16] [91:11,16,22]	[41:4] [75:7] [84:13] [162:8]
total [151:3,4] [177:6] [211:		[93:2] [240:22]	[171:12] [177:6] [191:20]
22]	U	unwilling [139:6]	[192:3,9] [195:14] [243:4]
totally [90:14] [170:1] [229:		update [161:8] [164:16]	[254:17] [255:11]
10] [238:14] [239:1] [241:17]	uh [14:11] [30:15] [62:7]	upon [65:3] [137:22] [174:3	veterans [49:22]
[242:9,10]	[91:9] [118:22] [119:8]	,6] [193:1] [210:2] [219:19]	viable [77:20]
tough [178:11]	[127:6] [132:9] [151:6]	[256:8,9]	video [1:22] [7:17]
touting [104:2]	[153:1] [161:20] [170:17]	ups [29:1]	video [1.22] [7.17] videographer [5:8] [7:2]
towards [78:19]	[172:13,17] [173:3] [188:4]	ups [29.1] uptake [93:3] [95:2] [97:14]	[8:9] [16:2,5] [24:19,22]
town [193:20]	[200:8] [207:21] [216:13]	[245:14]	[109:6,11] [179:11,14]
track [186:17]	[260:18]	urine [75:7,10,13] [76:10]	[211:6,11] [254:22] [255:3]
trained [48:18] [104:11]	uhhuh [14:11] [30:15]		[265:12]
	[62:7] [91:9] [118:22]	[79:4,9] us [35:15] [88:6] [107:13]	videotape [7:3] [109:7,12]
training [104:14] tranquilizer [104:22]	[119:8] [127:6] [132:9]	[118:10] [153:9] [199:4]	[211:7,12]
	[151:6] [153:1] [161:20]		videotaped [1:] [2:1] [265:14]
transcribed [266:10] transcript [6:22]	[170:17] [172:13,17] [173:	[253:17,20] [254:12] usage [105:20] [230:1]	view [30:5] [32:15,18] [97:2]
transcription [266:11]	3] [188:4] [200:8] [207:21]	use [24:15] [33:18] [34:1]	[99:1] [102:5] [174:3,6]
translates [180:7]	[216:13] [260:18]	[35:5] [39:20] [60:7] [66:8]	[222:8] [224:7] [228:13]
treat [101:22] [120:4]	uh-huh [14:11] [30:15]	[80:10] [94:1] [99:4,8,10]	[242:6] [244:12,14] [245:3]
treated [93:19] [170:5]	[62:7] [91:9] [118:22]	[101:14] [102:7] [105:20]	[248:21] [253:11] [259:10]
treatment [101:6] [107:21]	[119:8] [127:6] [132:9]	[110:19] [115:4,18] [116:14]	viewed [228:15] [245:19]
[108:5,21] [118:13] [120:12]	[151:6] [153:1] [161:20]	[122:17] [123:1,2,3] [125:19]	viking [161:7,21] [163:7,19]
[122:6]	[170:17] [172:13,17] [173:	[133:16] [143:8] [147:15]	[164:19] [165:10,22] [166:
tremendous [259:15]	3] [188:4] [200:8] [207:21]	[154:13] [170:4] [187:9,13]	3] [174:21]
trends [124:13]	[216:13] [260:18]	[192:21] [205:1] [222:18]	vioxx [111:8,12] [112:3,15]
trial [68:2,7] [74:5]	ulcer [258:21]	[237:9] [245:22] [248:4,5]	[113:19] [129:6,7] [234:20]
tried [133:1] [178:4] [188:8]	unable [187:3]	[249:8]	viratin [84:3]
true [41:6] [50:10] [51:17,18]	unavailability [162:4]	used [49:13,14] [65:20]	virtue [244:16]
[56:21] [64:13] [76:1]	unavailable [238:14] [239:2]	[70:9] [71:10] [79:16]	vis [141:9]
[80:21] [81:11] [83:16]	[241:17] [242:9,10]	[80:10] [101:10] [102:11,17]	visavis [141:9]
[97:8] [137:11,18] [170:11]	unclear [9:2]	[104:1,15] [106:7] [108:4]	vis-a-vis [141:9]
[178:21] [222:4] [225:3]	understand [9:4] [23:19]	[112:5] [113:15] [114:4,5]	visit [50:19]
[231:12,14] [239:13] [261:	[24:18] [54:12] [66:7]	[164:13] [180:16] [181:1]	visited [110:2]
20] [266:11]	[68:10] [69:22] [76:18]	[185:10] [201:9,12,13]	voice [216:10,20] [217:4,20]
truly [79:11] [225:13]	[82:6] [116:19] [120:21]	[206:6] [221:19] [236:3]	[219:20] [224:9] [229:19]
try [9:3] [34:8] [62:21] [93:17	[133:6] [135:1] [151:1]	[243:8] [246:8] [263:1]	[234:6,9,13] [236:13]
,19] [144:16] [145:21]	[152:4] [164:7] [169:13]	[265:1]	[243:19] [244:3]
[173:12] [176:15] [200:12]	[170:4] [171:1] [173:14]	user [77:14] [93:13]	volin [4:5] [8:5]
[237:20] [238:1] [245:22]	[174:2] [190:2] [199:21]	uses [98:3,18] [99:3] [101:18	volume [218:14]
[254:15]	[218:19] [229:12,14] [244:	,20] [102:5] [104:16] [114:17]	vulvar [118:13] [120:12]
trying [45:6] [52:20] [95:17]	18,19] [250:2] [261:3]	[141:1] [143:17]	
[101:14] [140:21] [145:19]	understanding [38:9]	using [26:21] [75:5] [101:16]	W
[170:3,4,22] [174:1,11]	[64:5] [95:7,21] [110:3]	[102:9] [221:17] [256:2]	
[188:15] [195:5] [249:22]	[116:10] [128:8] [149:8]	usp [70:17,19]	wacker [4:14]
[250:3] [253:12]	[163:18] [175:12] [205:22]	usual [197:18] [198:13,19]	wait [50:16] [191:8] [259:4]
turn [17:18] [40:22] [63:10]	[206:3,5] [237:3] [247:6,14	[200:5] [202:10]	waived [265:20]
[67:16] [153:4,7,10] [160:6]	,17] [260:20] [261:16]	utilization [114:9] [162:6]	walgreens [175:6]
turned [80:19]	[262:4,9]	utilized [255:12]	walk [145:9]
twelve [10:18] twopart [166:13]	understands [37:4] understood [84:22] [88:5]	V	wall [237:2] want [10:14] [16:8] [17:14]
two-part [166:13]	[152:6]	<u> </u>	[26:1] [38:21,22] [45:4,19,20]
twothirds [96:21]	undertake [74:4]	va [37:12,16,18,19]	[46:10] [58:5] [67:22]
		- · · · · · · · · ·	

[76:16] [77:4] [83:21] [96:10
,15] [97:9] [123:11] [124:19]
[126:8] [129:19] [131:11]
[135:4] [145:8] [151:1]
[152:3] [156:9] [158:18]
[179:17] [214:7] [225:17]
[229:12,14] [248:17] [254:
3] [263:3]
wanted [19:9] [23:19] [24:1]
[38:4,8] [42:15] [44:20]
[48:3,12,21] [85:10] [91:19]
[46.5,12,21] [65.10] [81.18]
[153:17] [159:6] [167:19]
[204:21] [243:12] [250:7]
wants [125:9]
warnings [117:1]
washington [2:5] [4:8]
wasnt [48:1,2] [51:15]
[76:1] [80:21] [94:18]
[123:8] [182:15]
water [65:4,6] [70:1,2,10,18
,19] [71:15] [73:10]
ways [98:8] [260:2]
weatherhold [47:9,11]
[48:4] [49:8] [50:2,7]
web [100:22] [101:2,4,13]
[103:22] [105:12,13] [125:
7] [126:19]
welcome [207:16]
well [15:20] [17:3,5] [24:3]
[26:6] [31:17] [32:17]
[33:16] [37:5] [42:14]
[45:3] [46:7] [47:7] [50:21]
[55:6,9,10,17] [58:4,15]
[59:21] [63:12] [65:13]
[72:4] [75:14] [80:14]
[85:18] [88:3,7,17] [90:8,20]
[92:20] [94:1] [95:15,18] [96:9] [100:13] [104:7]
[110:6,10] [113:16] [114:3]
[115:19] [116:14] [124:4,17]
[128:2] [130:12] [131:8]
[142:6,13,17] [143:12]
[146:4,10] [152:3] [154:21]
[156:19] [158:22] [163:17,18
[164:15] [170:7] [173:8,11]
[175:17] [177:17] [183:9]
[188:9] [189:13,18] [191:2]
[193:3] [203:14] [205:8]
[211:1,18] [214:17] [219:3]
[221:20] [227:16] [229:22]
[230:6] [237:5] [240:1]
[243:15] [246:10] [256:2]
[258:15] [261:17] [262:1,13]
[264:6]
went [83:18] [107:12] [111:
2,15] [121:7] [132:21]
[133:2] [137:5] [147:10]
[157:5] [190:21] [225:8]
[237:1] [254:13]
werent [46:22] [48:21]
[88:7,11] [166:17] [221:7]
[227:18] [249:15] [253:10]
[254:4]
west [4:14]
western [1:2] [7:8] [37:1]
[38:3,22] [40:22]

```
weve [198:14]
whatever [37:13] [106:22]
 [128:14] [152:1] [224:1]
  [233:3] [240:4] [245:3]
 [247:11]
whats [155:20] [198:11]
whenever [237:2]
whereby [128:3]
whether [33:2] [35:16]
 [48:17] [66:9] [68:6] [69:8]
  [71:5] [87:1] [95:22] [103:3]
 [105:19,22] [106:4] [107:14]
 [110:14] [117:9,10,11]
 [126:7] [128:14] [129:4]
 [137:17] [138:16] [139:12]
 [154:4] [157:18] [158:8]
 [165:17] [168:2] [169:2]
 [175:6,12] [178:1,4] [180:12
 ,16,22] [181:11,12,20]
 [182:7,16,18] [183:4]
 [191:19] [192:8] [201:6]
 [225:19] [227:7,17,19,20]
  [233:13] [235:22] [244:6]
  [248:22] [249:1] [256:15,19]
 [257:4,16] [259:1] [260:20
 ,21]
whi [115:7,9,16] [116:5,20]
 [117:10,21] [119:10] [120:
 10] [121:6,9] [122:4] [123:10]
 [124:6,8]
whoever [59:9] [239:19]
whole [125:1] [144:1] [156:
 4] [216:8] [235:14] [262:1]
wholesale [36:21] [37:15]
 [197:19] [198:7]
wholesaler [37:17,20]
 [38:1] [57:17] [58:13,14,16
 ,19] [59:9] [240:5] [248:5]
wholesalers [37:9] [38:13]
 [57:20] [58:2] [59:3]
whom [18:18] [129:16]
 [266:5]
whose [106:12] [266:6]
why [12:11] [14:21] [33:17]
 [34:15] [35:4] [37:3] [44:9,13
 ,17] [45:19,20] [46:10]
 [47:16] [58:1] [63:13]
 [89:1] [94:14] [96:14]
 [122:11] [129:6] [131:17]
 [133:9,14] [142:13,16]
 [143:5] [147:11] [152:7]
 [153:2,6,14] [156:21]
 [173:9,14] [201:2] [208:1]
 [214:7] [219:16] [221:1]
 [244:19] [247:21] [254:20]
 [263:17]
widened [91:1]
will [7:20] [8:9] [9:3,7,16,18]
 [23:4] [34:7] [50:8] [51:5]
 [60:2] [61:13] [62:15]
 [70:17,20] [77:9] [81:14]
```

[93:19] [113:18] [118:1]

[122:16] [128:3] [131:7]

[148:21] [150:11,16,17]

[153:7] [162:11] [167:5] [178:18,19] [196:16,21]

```
[197:4] [204:22] [211:2]
 [217:8] [230:3] [242:14]
 [248:18,20] [254:10,11]
willing [106:15] [138:4,7,10
 ,17] [181:19] [249:2]
winning [60:21]
winston [2:4] [4:13] [7:12]
wish [51:17]
within [10:14,17,18] [20:7]
 [74:13] [111:16,18] [148:7]
 [149:19] [150:2] [160:17,19]
 [163:18] [168:10] [170:5]
 [175:4] [176:20] [177:11]
 [188:11,17] [189:8] [190:5
 ,6] [200:3] [236:1]
without [83:5] [185:19]
 [215:10,17] [216:19] [243:
 18] [253:12] [258:16] [259:
witness [8:10,12] [10:3]
 [45:8] [79:19] [85:8] [94:10]
 [95:5] [98:11] [104:7]
 [107:5] [109:15] [113:17]
 [115:14] [119:17] [122:10]
 [123:19] [125:21] [130:15]
 [132:20] [137:2] [138:21]
 [199:8] [266:6,8,12]
witnessed [33:21]
witnesses [44:19] [147:22]
women [71:21] [88:10]
 [94:5,21] [95:10,12] [122:19
 ,22] [123:4,11,16] [195:19]
 [246:9]
womens [116:15] [122:20]
 [124:17]
wondering [75:22] [79:5]
 [80:19] [96:14] [143:5]
 [179:8] [256:6]
word [102:8] [110:20] [125:
 20] [154:13] [161:17] [183:
 16] [187:9]
words [40:21] [101:8] [108:
 14] [141:4] [143:8] [181:18]
 [189:5] [249:5]
work [11:8,16] [12:16]
 [13:7] [18:10] [25:18]
 [26:1,2] [27:19] [31:11]
 [35:14] [36:11] [59:5]
 [61:1] [81:7] [87:22] [88:17]
 [93:22] [98:2,7] [103:2]
 [106:17] [137:22] [138:16]
 [146:11,14] [260:12]
worked [23:11] [51:21]
 [61:4] [150:10] [165:1]
 [207:3,4]
workers [52:5]
working [13:2,21] [14:9]
 [27:18] [60:13] [253:7]
 [257:10] [261:21]
works [230:12]
world [37:7] [157:2] [226:1]
 [232:2]
worldwide [211:22] [212:2
worth [13:7] [236:18]
wouldnt [57:5] [76:3] [81:20]
```

```
[96:1] [133:9] [137:9,21]
 [158:20] [188:19] [232:3]
 [248:4] [251:7,22]
write [35:4] [95:22] [186:19]
 [190:18] [225:20] [232:6]
 [233:8] [243:6]
writes [35:3]
writing [34:12,16] [185:10,17]
written [180:3] [226:5,9,17
 ,20] [227:2] [228:4] [243:9,13]
wrong [16:17] [17:2]
wrote [78:8] [151:12] [161:21]
 [163:14]
wye [172:15]
wyeth [1:] [4:4,11] [5:2]
 [6:10] [7:5,7,22] [8:2]
 [13:17] [35:18,21] [46:13,14]
 [47:1] [80:13] [98:12,20]
 [100:13] [119:3] [121:9]
 [124:18] [125:15] [126:12]
 [132:21] [136:8,20] [137:8
 ,21] [138:4,10,17] [139:6,16]
 [140:19] [141:6,13,15]
 [143:3,7,15] [144:1] [147:3]
 [149:2] [151:8] [153:5]
 [154:6] [155:5,16,20]
 [156:9] [157:4,11,21]
 [158:12] [169:16] [172:4,5
 ,9] [173:12,17] [178:8]
 [199:7] [221:20] [222:12,22]
 [223:3] [227:21] [229:17]
 [234:7] [235:10,11,13]
 [236:10] [238:5,22] [241:11]
 [244:7] [247:5] [249:13]
 [253:4] [264:1,9]
wyethayerst [1:] [7:5]
wyeth-ayerst [1:] [7:5]
wyeths [99:20] [100:6]
 [119:12] [120:17] [153:13]
 [154:12,14,15] [172:11]
 [222:6] [227:8] [241:7]
Χ
xyz [58:5] [191:17]
 [49:19] [65:20] [95:10,12]
 [111:19] [120:10] [142:1,4]
 [144:10,20] [191:1] [200:14]
 [209:2] [210:8,17,18]
 [211:21] [212:1,16,21]
 [213:4] [216:19] [224:11]
```

year [10:15] [17:6] [20:7] [230:11] [246:16,20] [261: years [28:1,13] [83:22] [95:11] [102:15] [111:16] [165:5] [185:18] [215:6,7,14] [217:17] [231:6] [251:21]

[261:11] yes [9:7,11] [11:7,13] [12:4 ,7,10,18] [15:13,16,21] [22:4] [24:11,13] [27:13]

yep [121:21] [191:5] [198:21]

A.23 4/1/2005 2:27 PM

[29:4] [31:4] [32:4] [33:5,8,11] [35:21] [36:15] [39:8] [41:9,15] [42:2] [43:3,7] [50:5] [55:16] [62:17] [63:21] [64:1] [68:4] [72:20] [73:4] [74:3] [75:17] [78:9] [83:4] [84:19] [85:12,21] [86:4] [87:3] [89:8] [92:2,21] [93:6] [96:19] [97:17] [99:13] [100:11] [101:3] [105:4] [109:18] [110:6] [111:4] [113:7] [115:11,14] [116:4] [121:1] [123:22] [125:3,8,21] [128:21] [129:18] [130:3] [131:7] [136:7] [137:12] [138:2,6] [139:17,22] [140:21] [146: 20] [147:2] [149:15] [152:18] [160:1] [161:20] [167:22] [168:13] [169:8] [172:4] [179:10] [182:8] [183:12] [184:20] [194:22] [195:12] [196:10] [197:22] [200:10] [201:19] [203:7] [205:13] [206:2] [207:5] [208:8,11,16] [209:4,22] [210:5] [216:6] [217:14] [222:7,10] [223:11] [224:1] [225:1] [226:14] [235:7] [237:18] [239:3] [243:22] [246:3,13,22] [253:10] [254:19] [258:3,10] [261:15] [264:19] yet [20:9] [67:21] [74:1] youll [105:14] [208:12] youre [147:7] [224:2] [242: yourself [221:13] [230:20] yourselves [7:21] youve [14:2] [80:5] [89:7] [101:15] [102:4] [112:18,19] [136:13] [145:22] [157:20] [172:14,20] [173:14] [178: 16] [179:22] [194:16] [200: 16,17] [203:15,16] [230:4] [235:10] [263:9] [264:1,2,4]